

NOTICE OF ELECTION TO INCREASE TAXES / TO INCREASE DEBT / ON A CITIZEN PETITION / ON A REFERRED MEASURE

THIS PAMPHLET CONTAINS INFORMATION ON BALLOT ISSUES AS REQUIRED BY THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 FOR LOCAL ISSUES.

A "YES" vote on any ballot issue is a vote in favor of changing current law or existing circumstances, and a "NO" vote on any ballot issue is a vote against changing current law or existing circumstances.

Date: November 6, 2007 Mail Ballot Election

NONPROFIT ORG PERMIT NO. 3 PERMIT NO. 3

ROBERT C. "BOB" BALINK 200 South Cascade Avenue Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903

NOTICE OF ELECTION TO INCREASE TAXES / TO INCREASE DEBT / ON A CITIZEN PETITION / ON A REFERRED MEASURE

ELECTION DATE: November 6, 2007

ELECTION BY MAIL BALLOT: Mail ballots for the Coordinated Election must be received by the Clerk & Recorder's Office by 7:00 p.m.

on November 6th, 2007.

ELECTION OFFICE: El Paso County Clerk & Recorder, 200 South Cascade Avenue, Colorado Springs, CO 80903

TELEPHONE: (719) 575-VOTE (575-8683).

INTERNET ADDRESS: http://car.elpasoco.com/election

EMAIL ADDRESS: carweb@elpasoco.com

This Notice of Election was prepared in accordance with Section 20 of Article X of the Colorado Constitution and the Colorado Uniform Election Code of 1992, as amended. The information contained in this Notice was prepared by persons required by law to provide summaries of ballot issues and fiscal information. The El Paso County Clerk & Recorder's Office does not warrant, verify or confirm the accuracy or truth of the ballot titles, questions, text, and summaries of comments as presented below, nor is it responsible for errors in spelling, grammar, or punctuation of the materials presented below. For further information or clarification concerning any of the following ballot questions, please contact the respective Designated Election Official as indicated below. This Notice does not contain issues for those jurisdictions conducting separate elections. A separate TABOR Notice will be mailed to the appropriate voters within the jurisdictions conducting an election by mail/poll place. Voters may receive additional materials from other jurisdictions conducting independent elections.

TOWN OF MONUMENT **QUESTION 2A**

Designated Election Official: Scott W. Meszaros Town Clerk PO Box 325 166 Second Street Monument, CO 80132

> NOTICE OF ELECTION TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND EXPEND REVENUE TOWN OF MONUMENT EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO

Election Type: Coordinated Mail Ballot Election

November 6, 2007 Election Date:

Ballot Title and Text: QUESTION 2A

WITHOUT ANY INCREASE IN THE CURRENT RATE OF TOWN TAXES, SHALL THE TOWN OF MONUMENT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND EXPEND THE FULL AMOUNT OF TOWN REVENUES GENERATED FROM ALL SOURCES DURING FISCAL YEARS 2008, 2009, 2010 AND 2011, WITH THE AMOUNTS THAT WOULD OTHERWISE BE EXCESS AND SUBJECT TO BEING REFUNDED, TO BE USED FOR TOWN PARKS, RECREATION, AND SENIOR SERVICES, UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR UNDER SECTION 29-1-301, SUCH AMOUNTS TO CONSTITUTE VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES; AND THEREAFTER SHALL THE TOWN UTILIZE FISCAL YEAR 2011 DOLLAR AMOUNTS AS THE BASE UPON WHICH FISCAL 2012 LIMITATIONS SHALL BE CALCULATED?

The Town of Monument receives revenues from sales taxes, property taxes, use taxes, court fees and fines, State grants, licenses, and fees for a total of approximately \$5,250,000 in the General Fund. The State's Tabor (Taxpayers Bill of Rights) amendment to the State Constitution limits the use of any increase in revenues a municipality may keep and spend to a formula of inflation and a growth factor. If revenues above this limit ("excess") are received, they must be refunded to the citizens unless the voters approve a ballot measure allowing the Town to keep and use these "excess" funds. The voters of Monument have approved three times ballot measures allowing the Town to keep "excess" funds - once in 1996 for 1996, 1997, 1998, and 1999; then once again in 1999 for 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003; then once more in 2003 for 2004, 2005, 2006, and 2007.

Summary of written comments for the proposal:

The Board of Trustees is now asking the voters to again approve a four-year approval to keep any "excess" revenues. Future budgets for at least the next four years are expected to be tight with the construction of the new Town Hall/Police Department and Capital Improvement Plan. Consequently, any revenues received above the Tabor limits could be used for needed community purposes which the Board of Trustees has designated as Parks, Recreation, and Senior Services.

Summary of written comments against the proposal:

The Town government should operate within constitutional budgetary constraints.

Any "excess" revenues should be returned to the voters for their private use.

LEWIS-PALMER SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 38 QUESTION 3A, 3B

Designated Election Official: Joanne V. Jensen

Lewis-Palmer Consolidated School District No. 38

146 Jefferson Street, P.O. Box 40 Monument, Colorado, 80132 Telephone: (719) 488-4700

> NOTICE OF ELECTION TO INCREASE TAXES ON A REFERRED MEASURE LEWIS-PALMER CONSOLIDATED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 38 EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO

Coordinated Mail Ballot Election Election Type:

November 6, 2007 **Election Date:**

Ballot Title and Text: BALLOT ISSUE NO. 3A

SHALL LEWIS-PALMER CONSOLIDATED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 38 TAXES BE INCREASED \$3,000,000 ANNUALLY (THE MAXIMUM AMOUNT WHICH MAY BE COLLECTED IN ANY YEAR ABOVE 2007 TAX COLLECTIONS) FOR THE PURPOSES OF PROVIDING:

- COMPENSATION TO TEACHERS EMPLOYED BY THE DISTRICT (ONLY LICENSED INSTRUCTIONAL STAFF) AND
- OPERATIONAL FUNDS FOR THE SECOND HIGH SCHOOL (PALMER RIDGE HIGH

AND SHALL THE REVENUES FROM SUCH TAXES AND ANY EARNINGS FROM THE INVEST-MENT OF SUCH REVENUES CONSTITUTE A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND EX-CEPTION TO THE LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?

Ballot Title and Text: BALLOT ISSUE NO. 3B

SHALL THE LEWIS-PALMER SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 38 TAXES BE INCREASED \$418,237 ANNU-ALLY IN THE 2008 TAX COLLECTION YEAR AND BY WHATEVER AMOUNTS ARE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER THROUGH AND INCLUDING TAX COLLECTION YEAR 2017, BY THE IMPOSITION OF A MILL LEVY NOT TO EXCEED ONE (1.0) MILL FOR THE CAPITAL CONSTRUC-TION NEEDS OF MONUMENT ACADEMY, A PUBLIC SCHOOL OF CHOICE WITHIN THE DISTRICT, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, LAND ACQUISITION, BUILDING DESIGN AND CONSTRUC-TION, INCIDENTAL AND APPURTENANT FACILITIES, EQUIPMENT AND OTHER COSTS NECES-SARY FOR THE OCCUPANCY BY PUPILS TO BE ENROLLED IN MONUMENT ACADEMY; AND SHALL THE REVENUES FROM SUCH TAXES AND ANY EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH REVENUES CONSTITUTE A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLO-RADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?

Total District Fiscal Year Spending:

Fiscal Year \$53,303,632 2007-08 (estimated) 2006-07 (actual) \$51,156,318 2005-06 (actual) \$48,537,696 2004-05 (actual) \$40,377,460 2003-04 (actual) \$38,385,130

Overall percentage change from 2003-2004 to 2007-2008 38.8% \$14,918,502 Overall dollar change from 2003-2004 to 2007-2008

Proposed Tax Increase:

District Estimate of the Maximum Dollar Amount of the Proposed Tax Increase For Fiscal Year

(the First Full Fiscal Year of the Proposed Tax Increase):

BALLOT ISSUE NO. 3A: \$3,000,000 BALLOT ISSUE NO. 3B: \$ 418,237

District Estimate of 2008-2009 Fiscal Year Spending Without Proposed Tax Increase:

\$54,603,777

Summary of written comments for Ballot Issue No. 3A:

Please VOTE YES on 3A this November!

We have a beautiful new high school (PALMER RIDGE) under construction. It's being built as promised...ON-TIME and WITHIN BUDGET.

However, we only did half the job in 2006! While a majority of residents passed the bond

LEWIS-PALMER SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 38 QUESTION 3A, 3B (cont)

issue to build the new high school, we did NOT PASS the funds to operate it. That would be like building a new home without furnishings, appliances or utilities.

We need to vote YES on 3A to pay for new teachers, computers, desks, equipment and overall operations of the new school.

Some have suggested leaving the new high school vacant.

- That would mean ever increased crowding at Lewis-Palmer High School;
- That would mean we keep paying taxes on a building we can't even use;
- That would mean lower property values as homebuyers shun our community for neighborhoods with new, functioning schools.

That seems penny wise and pound foolish!

If the District opens the new Palmer Ridge as they have promised the cost for opening without YES on 3A means CUTS in our other neighborhood schools.

- CUTS to teachers and staff (as many as 30 over the next 2 years)
- CUTS in transportation (route reductions as fuel and driver costs increase)
- CUTS in textbooks in the classrooms

The impact of the cuts will be felt at every level!

YES on 3A Adds Up to Common Sense for All of Us

- 1. Ensures strong property (resale) values and promotes economic development
- 2. Seniors 65+ who qualify under the Homestead Exemption will only pay HALF
- Keeps teacher pay competitive with Academy, Douglas and other districts so we can keep and recruit the best teachers for L-P
- 4. 3A will only cost less than \$1/month for each \$100,000 of actual home value

 The tax in 3A is capped at \$3 million per year so as we grow the tax paid by
- The tax in 3A is capped at \$3 million per year so as we grow the tax paid by homeowners will, by law, go DOWN
- Better Management In addition to the new superintendent, an independent committee of citizens will provide oversight and public reports about how EVERY penny of this new money from 3A is being spent!

A CONTRACT for the KIDS

An independent citizens' oversight group will be forming a "contract" with the administration and board that will guarantee how the money from 3A MUST be spent...every penny! A public report will be made available to EVERY taxpayer in the Lewis-Palmer School District.

Please VOTE YES on 3A...it's the Right Way

<u>Summary of written comments against Ballot Issue No. 3A:</u> No comments were filed by the constitutional deadline.

Summary of written comments for Ballot Issue No. 3B:

A YES vote on 3B supports a new K-8 facility with the capacity to educate 800 students within D38 school district. The cost to taxpayers to support the construction of Monument Academy is approximately \$7625 per student vs. other district school construction costs to taxpayers of \$16,148 per student (based on the actual cost to build Prairie Winds Elementary and Creekside Middle School without any adjustment for inflation over the last 6 years).

Without Monument Academy, D38 would be in an overcapacity situation with elementary schools. The cost to build a new D38 elementary school would run around \$12,000,000. Ballot issue 3B supports construction of a school supporting both elementary and middle school students at a cost of around \$5,000,000 to taxpayers.

The Mill Levy will be for a period of 10 years, not the typical 30-year bonds that are issued for most school construction projects. The balance of funds needed for construction will come from operating funds. The 1 (one) Mill Levy override will cost a taxpayer \$7.96 per \$100,000 of assessed property value but will expire in 10 years.

Monies from the Mill Levy override can be used only for the new school.

3B will fund approximately 40% of the construction costs for Monument Academy. The balance will come from Monument Academy operating revenues and fundraising.

The Monument Academy school is being built at an estimated cost of \$125/sq. foot versus the current Palmer Ridge high school cost of \$190/sq. foot, thus providing a facility for D38 students at a much lower cost to taxpayers.

Monument Academy Charter School is a tuition free K-8 grade public school operated by parents, teachers, and community members as a semi-autonomous school of choice authorized by Lewis-Palmer District 38. The charter school is accountable to the families in the school, the Lewis-Palmer school district, and the state. Monument Academy has been educating D38 students for 11 years. Currently, Monument Academy is funded 100% by the Per Pupil Revenues (PPR), or money designated by the State Legislature each year for operating expenses, capital reserve and risk insurance held and financed by Monument Academy.

Approximately 8% of students at Monument Academy receive Special Services or are English Language Learners.

This Mill Levy override will support the building of a new K-8 facility for the students of Monument Academy including land acquisition, construction and other costs associated with the facility. Currently, the elementary program is housed in a series of modular classrooms near the I-25 weigh station and along the Monument Hill Frontage Road. The secondary program is located in the former Tri-Lakes Recreation Center and in modular classrooms. Passage of this ballot issue will move the school away from the frontage road and the weigh station, will provide a single campus with a better learning environment and will alleviate traffic congestion near Palmer Ridge High School.

<u>Summary of written comments against Ballot Issue No. 3B:</u> No comments were filed by the constitutional deadline.

HARRISON SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 2 QUESTION 3C

Designated Election Official: Mark Zamora Harrison School District NO. 2 1060 Harrison Rd. Colorado Springs, CO 80906 719-538-1334

> NOTICE OF ELECTION TO INCREASE TAXES ON A REFERRED MEASURE EL PASO COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 2 EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO

Election Type: Coordinated Mail Ballot Election

Election Date: November 6, 2007

Ballot Title and Text: BALLOT ISSUE NO. 3C

SHALL EL PASO COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 2 TAXES BE INCREASED \$4,500,000 ANNUALLY BY AN ADDITIONAL PROPERTY TAX MILL LEVY IN EXCESS OF THE LEVY AUTHORIZED FOR THE DISTRICT'S GENERAL FUND, WITHOUT LIMITATION AS TO RATE, PURSUANT TO AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 22-54-108, C.R.S., SUCH ADDITIONAL TAXES TO BE PHASED IN WITH COLLECTION BEGINNING IN 2008 AND WITH THE FULL AMOUNT EXPECTED TO BE COLLECTED IN 2010, AND WITH SUCH TAX REVENUES TO BE DEPOSITED IN THE GENERAL FUND AND USED FOR DISTRICT PURPOSES, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE FOLLOWING:

- · ENHANCING SAFETY AND SECURITY;
- $\cdot \ \mathsf{ATTRACTING} \ \mathsf{AND} \ \mathsf{RETAINING} \ \mathsf{HIGH} \ \mathsf{QUALITY} \ \mathsf{TEACHERS} \ \mathsf{AND} \ \mathsf{STAFF};$
- SUPPLYING UP-TO-DATE COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY TO FACILITATE CLASSROOM LEARNING;
- · FUNDING ADDITIONAL INSTRUCTIONAL DAYS;
- PROVIDING PERFORMANCE PAY INCENTIVES FOR TEACHERS BASED ON DEMON-STRATED IMPROVEMENT IN STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT;

AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND ALL REVENUES FROM SUCH TAXES AND THE EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH REVENUES AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?

Total District Fiscal Year Spending

Fiscal Year

2007-2008 (estimated)	\$ 69,483,314
2006-2007 (actual)	\$ 67,459,528
2005-2006 (actual)	\$ 66,072,016
2004-2005 (actual)	\$ 65,678,906
2003-2004 (actual)	\$ 66,341,940

Proposed Tax Increase

District Estimate of the Maximum Dollar Amount of the Proposed Tax Increase For Fiscal Year 2008-2009 (the First Full Fiscal Year of the Proposed Tax Increase):

BALLOT ISSUE NO. 3C: \$4,500,000

District Estimate of 2008-2009 Fiscal Year Spending

and making greater investments in our children's future.

Without Proposed Tax Increase: \$ 71,567,813

<u>Summary of written comments for Ballot Issue No. 3C:</u>
Harrison School District Two is asking the community to approve a \$4.5 million dollar mill levy override to continue the district goals of student academic achievement and quality of instruction. Our district must have a sense of urgency about closing the achievement gap

VOTE YES ON 3C

Harrison School District Two has embarked on an urgent mission to reform its educational practices and significantly raise student achievement. Community support for the mill levy override will support our students by:

- <u>Maintaining and enhancing building safety and security</u> schools must be safe so that a culture of learning can be implemented at all times
- Enhancing district technology students must compete in an ever changing technological world and teachers will need to increase the use of technology to deliver instruction and integrate technological applications in lessons and assignments. Parents must be able to access student information in real time. Parents want to be able to obtain their student's grades, attendance record, and performance data at any time.
- Attracting and retaining quality personnel educational reform and increased academic achievement can only be sustained by continuing to maintain competitive salaries in all areas and the attraction and retention of the best and the brightest.
- <u>Funding additional instructional days</u> for student academic instruction to improve student contact days must increase to 178 in order to meet educational goals.
- Implement performance incentive pay effective schools and districts build a
 culture of excellence one that is demanding, but also places a premium on
 distinguished performance. As the district focuses on raising the quality of
 instruction, explicit standards for distinguished teaching and reward for those
 who consistently meet this higher level of performance is necessary.

Harrison School District Two has a history of spending tax dollars wisely and being a good steward of district resources.

Harrison continues to be responsive to the community by ramping up the total mills over three years which means a graduated increase in tax revenue.

Money has always been in short supply in our school district, we believe your personal sacrifice of two value meals a month is a good investment in our children's futures.

Harrison School District is concerned about all of its patrons: Senior citizens living on fixed incomes should seek tax relief through the Homestead Act. Qualified seniors can have as much as half their property tax burden reduced.

Good school districts attract more parents with children. More families attract more business. More business means more opportunities for employment and recreation. Successful business attracts other business which results in more individuals and business sharing the tax increase.

VOTE YES ON 3C - Our students deserve the best from their community.

HARRISON SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 2 QUESTION 3C (cont)

Summary of written comments against Ballot Issue No. 3C:

- This \$4.5 million annual tax increase is FOREVER. Read it carefully. It's vague. How does a \$4.5 million tax hike increase student achievement? There are no standards. Vote NO on 3C.
- · 72% of our property tax bills is for schools already; ENOUGH!
- · No proof more taxes will improve school security. They exploit fears based on problems elsewhere. Other goals mean "pay raises" for them, lower after-tax pay for you.
- · Don't raise property taxes during this recession.
- Study their five year spending history. Harrison also gets money from Amendment 23 and Referendum "C", which kept for "education" billions more in state tax refunds than the politicians promised. The legislature also just raised your school property taxes forever, without voter approval.
- We won't reform schools by rewarding the status quo. Only a "NO" vote will force change – removing bad teachers, increasing school choice, less bureaucracy, higher standards, more discipline, fewer dropouts, higher test scores, etc. Throwing bonuses at administrators won't improve education.
- What about wasting existing revenue? Why are salaries (for five class hours 180 days per year) higher than those of taxpayers, who work more hours? Over 80% of school budgets go for salaries; extra pay raises don't improve learning.
- Is this a good reason to raise taxes? Is there other school spending you dislike? Voting "NO" is the only way to make them change budget priorities. Harrison should focus on academics, not raising salaries or expanding bureaucracies.
- Their revenue grows automatically yearly by inflation, student enrollment, property assessment increases, new fees, etc. That's ENOUGH! Note the measure says your property taxes can go up "without limitation as to rate." Do you want unlimited property taxes? Note the ballot title says they are "not limited" to listed spending purposes. L ke us, schools must live on a budget, not a blank check.
- Taxpayers must make the hard choices school boards won't. Raising taxes will further hurt our shaky economy. Is this form of tax fair? Property taxes will further hurt our shaky economy. Is this form of tax fair? Property taxes hurt people on fixed incomes, particularly senior citizens. Colorado already has the 6th highest local taxes and 2nd highest local sales taxes in America.
- Higher taxes take money out of circulation. Prices rise to offset higher taxes. Business lays off workers and cuts paychecks because of higher taxes and lower demand form consumers, who also have less money. Taxes are almost 50% of income now; every tax dollar saved here is like a \$2 pay raise.
- Are there too many administrators? Can surplus assets be sold? Have they considered all alternatives? We must practice "tough love." This money is not "for the children," but for the bureaucrats.
- Who can spend your hard-earned money better you or government? Reject tax and spending increases like 3C that lack accountability and performance review.
- $\cdot\,$ If you want school reform, and to protect your family budget, vote "NO" on issue 3C.

MIAMI/YODER SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 60-JT QUESTION 3D

<u>Designated Election Official:</u>
Tina Woodrum
MIAMI/YODER SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. JT60
420 South Rush Road,
Rush, Colorado 80833,
Telephone: (719) 478-2186

NOTICE TO ALL REGISTERED VOTERS OF ELECTION TO INCREASE TAXES/TO INCREASE DEBT MIAMI/YODER SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 60-JT EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO

Election Type: Coordinated Mail Ballot Election

Election Date: November 6, 2007

Ballot Title and Text: BALLOT ISSUE 3D

"SHALL MIAMI/YODER SCHOOL DISTRICT 60-JT'S DEBT BE INCREASED \$2,000,000, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF \$3,900,000 OR SUCH LESSER AMOUNT AS MAY BE NECESSARY, AND SHALL MIAMI/YODER SCHOOL DISTRICT 60-JT'S TAXES BE INCREASED \$165,000 ANNUALLY OR SUCH LESSER AMOUNT AS MAY BE NECESSARY FOR THE PAYMENT OF SUCH DEBT, ALL FOR THE FOLLOWING PURPOSES:

- CONSTRUCTING, FURNISHING AND EQUIPPING A NEW JUNIOR/SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL AND ACQUIRING ANY LAND NECESSARY FOR SUCH SCHOOL; AND
- PROVIDING THE MATCHING FUNDS REQUIRED FOR AN APPROXIMATELY \$2,000,000 COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION GRANT FOR THE NEW JUNIOR/SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL;

WITH SUCH DEBT TO BE IN THE FORM OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, WHICH BONDS SHALL BEAR INTEREST AT A MAXIMUM NET EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE NOT TO EXCEED 6.0% AND MATURE, BE SUBJECT TO REDEMPTION, WITH OR WITHOUT PREMIUM, AND BE ISSUED, DATED AND SOLD AT, ABOVE OR BELOW PAR AND AT SUCH TIME OR TIMES AND IN SUCH MANNER AND CONTAINING SUCH TERMS, NOT INCONSISTENT HEREWITH, AS THE BOARD OF EDUCATION MAY DETERMINE; AND IN CONNECTION THEREWITH (I) TO LEVY AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES IN AN AMOUNT SUFFICIENT TO PAY THE PRINCIPAL OF AND INTEREST ON SUCH BONDS AND TO FUND ANY RESERVES FOR THE PAYMENT THEREOF, AND (II) TO COLLECT AND SPEND THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH BONDS AND OF SUCH GRANT AND THE REVENUES FROM SUCH TAXES AND ANY EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT THEREOF WITHOUT LIMITATION BY THE REVENUE AND SPENDING LIMITS OF, AND WITHOUT AFFECTING THE DISTRICT'S ABILITY TO COLLECT AND SPEND ANY OTHER REVENUES OR FUNDS UNDER, ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?"

Fiscal Year Spending Information:

Year Fiscal YearSpending (FYS)*

 2003-04 (Actual)
 \$3,203,499

 2004-05 (Actual)
 3,324,299

 2005-06 (Actual)
 3,311,493

 2006-07 (Actual)
 3,389,526

 2007-08 (Current Year Estimated)
 3,900,000

MIAMI/YODER SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 60-JT QUESTION 3D (cont)

Overall FYS percentage change through 5 years (2003-04 - 2007-08):

21.7%

Overall FYS dollar change through 5 years (2003-04 - 2007-08):

3-04 – 2007-08): \$696,501

* Includes payments on outstanding bonded debt of \$100,039 in fiscal year 2003-04; \$101,777 in fiscal year 2004-05; \$100,703 in fiscal year 2005-06; \$103,565 in fiscal year 2006-07; and \$125,516 in fiscal year 2007-08.

Fiscal year spending without the tax increase in the ballot proposal is estimated to be up to \$3,900,000 in the 2007-08 Fiscal Year. The proposed maximum dollar tax increase in the ballot proposal is up to \$165,000 in any Fiscal Year.

The ballot proposal for bonded debt includes the following:

Principal Amount: \$2,000,000

Maximum Annual Repayment Cost: up to \$165,000 in any fiscal year

Maximum Total Repayment Cost: up to \$3,900,000 (maximum principal and interest

over the life of the debt)

The current bonded debt for the District is as follows:

Principal Balance: \$820,000

Maximum Annual Repayment Cost: \$106,425

Remaining Total Repayment Cost: \$975,911

Summary of written comments for the proposal:

Yes on 3D will provide a new school building for our kids

We cannot afford to let our kids continue to attend classes in modulars. We can not have them study in overcrowded, unsafe classrooms. Miami-Yoder students need something better. Your "yes" vote on issue 3D will provide the type of learning environment that allows our children to compete with their peers from neighboring districts. A "yes" vote will provide the facilities our district desperately needs to offer our students a competitive education

Yes on 3D will mean a safer & healthier learning environment

Everyone is aware that there are numerous health and safety issues with our existing school facilities. The most pressing are the modular classrooms that have been a fixture at the school campus for years. **Yes** on Ballot issue 3D will give our kids more space and the right type of space.

Yes on 3D matches our local dollars with \$2 million of state Money

Your "yes" vote on 3D will match our \$2 million bond with a \$2 million grant from the Colorado Department of Education. This is one of the largest grants given to a school district in the history of the grant program, and it is critically important in helping to build the facilities we need. The fact is the bonding capacity of our district only allows it to bond for about \$2 million, total. By itself, that is not enough money to build what we need. That is why the state grant money is so important. With \$4 million total to build the project, Miami-Yoder residents are getting a lot of bang for our buck. We're getting a dollar-fordollar match on all the funds raised locally. If we do not match the grant money with a bond, we don't get the grant money. We may never have this opportunity again! The time is right to do the right thing for our kids. Vote "yes" for ballot issue 3D!!!

Summary of written comments against the proposal: No comments were received by the constitutional deadline.

EDISON SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 54JT QUESTION 3E

<u>Designated Election Official:</u>
David L. Grosche
EDISON SCHOOL DISTRICT 54JT
14550 EDISON ROAD, YODER, CO 80864

Phone 719-478-2125

NOTICE OF ELECTION TO INCREASE TAXES AND TO INCREASE DEBT ON A REFERRED MEASURE EDISON SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 54JT EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO

<u>Election Type:</u> Coordinated Mail Ballot Election

Election Date: November 6, 2007

<u>Ballot Title and Text:</u> BALLOT ISSUE 3E SHALL EDISON SCHOOL DISTRICT 54JT DEBT BE INCREASED \$450,000, WITH A REPAY-MENT COST OF UP TO \$785,000, AND SHALL DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED BY UP TO \$38,000 ANNUALLY FOR THE PURPOSES OF

- PROVIDING REQUIRED MATCHING FUNDS FOR AN APPROXIMATELY \$2,036,400 COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION GRANT TO CONSTRUCT A NEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL BUILDING INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, SIX CLASSROOMS, A LIBRARY/MEDIA CENTER, A KITCHEN AND A CAFETERIA,
- REFURBISHING THE AUDITORIUM,
- •ASBESTOS ABATEMENT IN THE BOILER ROOM

AND, TO THE EXTENT FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE AFTER PROVIDING FOR THE ABOVE PURPOSES, FOR THE PURPOSE OF EQUIPPING AND FURNISHING SAID FACILITIES, BY THE ISSUANCE AND PAYMENT OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, WHICH BONDS SHALL BEAR INTEREST AT A MAXIMUM NET EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE NOT TO EXCEED 6.25% AND MATURE, BE SUBJECT TO REDEMPTION, WITH OR WITHOUT PREMIUM, AND BE ISSUED, DATED AND SOLD AT SUCH TIME OR TIMES, AT SUCH PRICES (AT, ABOVE OR BELOW PAR) AND IN SUCH MANNER AND CONTAINING SUCH TERMS, NOT INCONSISTENT HEREWITH, AS THE BOARD OF EDUCATION MAY DETERMINE; SHALLAD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES BE LEVIED IN ANY YEAR, WITHOUT LIMITATION AS TO RATE AND IN AN AMOUNT SUFFICIENT

EDISON SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 54JT QUESTION 3E (cont)

TO PAY THE PRINCIPAL OF, PREMIUM, IF ANY, AND INTEREST ON SUCH BONDS AND TO FUND ANY RESERVES FOR THE PAYMENT THEREOF; AND SHALL ANY EARNINGS FROM THE IN-VESTMENT OF THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES AND BONDS, AND THE GRANT FUNDS, REGARDLESS OF AMOUNT, CONSTITUTE A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE?

Summary of written comments for the proposal:

- We cannot afford to let our children continue to attend classes in a building that was designed in 1922. The requirements of today's education (computers, activity areas, adequate power availability) are not met in our current classrooms. Secondary students are attending classes in rooms with less than 300 square feet because the elementary utilizes all of the larger (625 square feet) classrooms. The library, art and special education classrooms are all located in former teacherages that are 60 to 80 years old. The cafeteria is overcrowded for our current numbers, forcing three lunch times. The current building has inadequate restrooms for the number of students. The new building would provide a new library, new classrooms for all elementary students, which would include art and computers, and a new cafeteria. This building would allow for eventual splitting of all classes into individual classrooms as opposed to the current combined classes.
- The bond would also pay to refurbish the auditorium chairs. The auditorium is the historical center of the school. The chairs are deteriorating with time. They can be refurbished at a cost of \$50,000. Refurbishment needs to be accomplished if the chairs are not to be replaced.
- The bond would pay for the abatement of the asbestos in the old boiler room at a cost of less than \$5,000.00. Abatement would not only eliminate a health hazard, but would allow the room to be used for storage.
- The state construction fund has provided a \$2 million grant that only requires a match of \$390,000.00. With the auditorium refurbishment and asbestos abatement, the match amount increases to the requested \$450,000. Edison must take advantage of this opportunity to receive a 7 to 1 benefit on money expended. This bond issue will coincide with the freeze on mill levies, dropping Edison's millage from 36 to 27 mills. The bond issue will cost 11.7 mills. The actual amount realized with the freeze will be only 2.7 mills

Summary of written comments against the proposal:

No comments were filed by the constitutional deadline.

EDISON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT QUESTION 4B. 4C

Designated Election Official: M.B. Anderson Chairman 3688 County Road E Rush, CO 80833

> NOTICE OF ELECTION TO INCREASE TAXES ON A REFERRED MEASURE PROPOSED EDISON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO

Election Type: Coordinated Mail Ballot Election

Election Date: November 6, 2007

Ballot Title and Text: BALLOT ISSUE NO. 4B

SHALL THE EDISON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$27,474.00 ANNU-ALLY OR BY SUCH LESSER ANNUAL AMOUNT AS MAY BE NECESSARY TO PAY THE DISTRICT'S OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE, AND OTHER BUDGET EXPENSES; SUCH TAXES TO CONSIST OF AN AD VALOREM MILL LEVY IMPOSED AT A RATE NOT TO EXCEED NINE MILLS OR WITH SUCH ADDITIONAL LIMITATIONS AS MAY BE DETERMINED BY THE BOARD, AND IN AMOUNTS SUFFI-CIENT TO PRODUCE THE VOTER AUTHORIZED AMOUNT SET FORTH ABOVE OR SUCH LESSER AMOUNTS AS MAY BE NECESSARY, TO BE USED FOR THE PURPOSES OF PAYING THE DISTRICT'S OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE, AND OTHER EXPENSES; AND SHALL THE PRO-CEEDS OF SUCH TAXES AND INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON CONSTITUTE VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES AND BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT IN 2008 AND IN EACH YEAR THEREAFTER WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, OR SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES?

Ballot Title and Text: BALLOT ISSUE NO. 4C

IF THE EDISON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT IS ORGANIZED SHALL THE EDISON FIRE PROTEC-TION DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN, AND SPEND THE AMOUNT OF ALL TAXES, GRANTS, OR ANY OTHER FEE, RATE, TOLL, PENALTY, OR CHARGE AUTHORIZED BY LAW TO BE IMPOSED OR COLLECTED BY THE DISTRICT AND ANY OTHER REVENUE, INCOME, OR PAYMENTS RECEIVED BY THE DISTRICT (INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, REVENUE RE-CEIVED BY THE DISTRICT FROM THE STATE, ANY POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE, OR ANY OTHER GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY), DURING FISCAL YEAR 2008 AND EACH YEAR THERE-AFTER FOR AS LONG AS THE DISTRICT CONTINUES IN EXISTENCE WITHOUT LIMITATION BY THE REVENUE AND SPENDING LIMITS OF ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTI-TUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW, AS THEY CURRENTLY EXIST OR AS THEY MAY BE AMENDED IN THE FUTURE?

Fiscal Year Spending Information:

2007: None 2006: (The proposed Edison Fire Protection District is seeking voter approval for None 2005: None formation and funding. There has been no prior fiscal year spending) 2004: None

2003: None

Overall percentage change in fiscal year spending: (No previous tax revenues received) Overall Dollar amount change: (No previous tax revenues received)

Estimated maximum dollar amount of tax increase for 2008: \$ 27,474.00 Estimated 2008 fiscal year spending without tax increase: 0.00

Information on Current Bonded Debt: There is no current bonded debt

Summary of written comments for the proposal:

The Edison Volunteer Fire Department has provided fire protection for the proposed district since 1980. The volunteers who operate the Department have been dependent upon donations and fund raising projects to try and meet the financial needs of the Department. The rising insurance, equipment, and supply costs have created a burden which no longer can be met with

EDISON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT QUESTION 4B, 4C (cont)

year to year donations and fund raisers. Equipment must be maintained and upgraded and the increasing demands for the training of personnel must be met if fire fighting and other necessary fire suppression services are to continue in the proposed District. A stable budget would allow time for the volunteers to do a better job, better maintain the equipment, and recruit other volunteers. The Department is not eligible for the grants that often are awarded to fire protection districts. The District, if formed, would be able to apply for and receive grants that are available for the purchase of new vehicles and equipment.

Approval of Ballot Issue 4C would allow the District to retain any awarded grants, and other lawful revenues without violating the revenue and spending limits imposed by law.

Summary of written comments against the proposal: No comments were filed by the constitutional deadline.

UPPER ARKANSAS WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT QUESTION 4D

Designated Election Official: Ralph L. Scanga, Jr., General Manager, UAWCD 339 E. Highway 50, P.O. Box 1090 Salida, CO 81201 (719) 539-5425

> NOTICE OF ELECTION ON A CITIZEN PETITION FOR INCLUSION UPPER ARKANSAS WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO

Coordinated Mail Ballot Election Election Type:

Election Date: November 6, 2007

Ballot Title and Text: Question 4D

SHALL ALL LANDS LOCATED IN FREMONT RE-1 SCHOOL DISTRICT, AND ALL LANDS LO-CATED IN FREMONT RE-2 SCHOOL DISTRICT THAT ARE NOT ALREADY INCLUDED WITHIN THE UPPER ARKANSAS WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT BE INCLUDED WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE UPPER ARKANSAS WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT, WHICH INCLUSION SHALL AUTHORIZE THE IMPOSITION OF A 0.478 MILL TAX TO BE LEVIED FOR/BY THE UPPER ARKAN-SAS WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT UPON EVERY DOLLAR OF ASSESSED VALUE ON ALL PROPERTY, REALAND PERSONAL, LOCATED WITHIN THE ABOVEDESCRIBED LANDS, AND SUCH OTHER TAXES AS MAY BE LEVIED FOR/BY THE UPPER ARKANSAS WATER CONSER-VANCY DISTRICT, SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING TERMS AND CONDITIONS SET BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE UPPER ARKANSAS WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT ("DISTRICT"): SUCH LAND SHALL BE INCLUDED UPON THE STANDARD TERMS AND CONDITIONS APPLI-CABLE TO ALL LANDS WITHIN THE DISTRICT, THE DISTRICT'S POLICIES AS SUCH MAY BE REVISED FROM TIME TO TIME, AND THE FOLLOWING SPECIFIC TERMS: 1. THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE DISTRICT ARE NOT REQUIRING THAT THE PETITIONERS POST A SUFFI-CIENT BOND TO COVER THE COSTS OF THE ELECTION AND HAVE AGREED TO PAY THE COSTS OF THE ELECTION; AND 2. THE ABOVE-DESCRIBED LANDS ARE TO BE DIVIDED INTO DISTRICT DIVISIONS AND GIVEN BOARD OF DIRECTORS POSITIONS AS FOLLOWS: a. FRE-MONT RE-1 SCHOOL DISTRICT LANDS (TO BE CALLED DIVISION 5) - 2 BOARD POSITIONS DESIGNATED AS DIVISION 5 SEATS A AND B; b. FREMONT RE-2 SCHOOL DISTRICT LANDS (TO BE CALLED DIVISION 6) - 2 BOARD POSITIONS DESIGNATED AS DIVISION 6 SEATS A AND B, c. THE EXISTING AT-LARGE DIRECTOR POSITION OF SUCH BOARD OF DIRECTORS SHOULD BE MODIFIED SO THAT THE AT-LARGE DIRECTOR REPRESENTS AND RESIDES IN DIVISIONS 1, 2, 3, 5, OR 6 OF THE DISTRICT AS ESTABLISHED; AND d. THE DISTRICT COURT, FREMONT COUNTY SHALL APPOINT DIRECTORS TO SUCH NEW BOARD OF DIRECTOR POSITIONS SO AS TO MAINTAIN STAGGERED TERMS FOR THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS, IN COMPLIANCE WITH C.R.S. § 37-45-114

FISCAL YEAR SPENDING INFORMATION:

2007 (ESTIMATED) \$263,754.00 2006 (ACTUAL) \$278,288.00 2005 (ACTUAL) \$254,350.00 2004 (ACTUAL) \$159,917.00 2003 (ACTUAL) \$169,220,00

Overall percentage change in fiscal year spending: 55.8%

Overall dollar amount of change: \$94,534.00

Estimated maximum dollar amount of tax increase for 2008: \$10,141.00 Estimated 2008 fiscal year spending without tax increase: \$283,800.00

Summary of written comments for the proposal:

The Upper Arkansas Water Conservancy District was formed in 1979 by petitioners from Fremont and Chaffee Counties, spearheaded by the Fremont Water Users Association. It encompasses nearly all the counties in the Upper Arkansas except Eastern Fremont County. Over the past several years a large majority of citizens and water users in Eastern Fremont County have petitioned the District for inclusion. It is endorsed by Canon City, Florence, Coal Creek, Brookside, Fremont County, Penrose Water, Beaver Park Water, DeWeese Dye Ditch Company, Fremont Economic Development Corp., Royal Gorge Association of Realtors, Fremont Cattlemen's Association, and the Canon City Hydraulic Ditch Co. We realize the enormous benefit the water district has provided Western Fremont County in the stabilization of water, the protection of private water rights, and the development of storage for both private citizens, municipalities and towns in the Upper Arkansas Basin.

UAWCD would bring extensive water, engineering and legal resources to Eastern Fremont County. The District has accumulated significant water rights, storage rights and facilities. Eastern Fremont County needs storage and the UAWCD can help build this storage for the benefit of the citizens of Eastern Fremont County. UAWCD historically has spearheaded the stabilization of water and provides storage for towns and cities in the Upper Arkansas Basin. UAWCD provides replacement water for private citizen's wells in the unincorporated areas of the Upper Arkansas Basin and would extend these plans to Eastern Fremont also. With climate change the development of storage will be crucial. UAWCD can help provide for the construction of storage for Eastern Fremont County's water.

UAWCD has a policy of first protecting private water rights. UAWCD is the first line of defense to protect against transfers of water to the large front-range municipalities. UAWCD prevented Colorado Springs from using unlimited exchanges to take water from Chaffee and Fremont County. UAWCD continues to be the strongest entity that fights for our water in the Upper Arkansas Basin.

Fremont will hold the majority of seats (6) on the Upper Arkansas Water District board. Eastern Fremont's School District RE-1 receives 2 seats, Fremont School District RE-2, which includes a small sparsely populated area of Southwestern El Paso County, receives 2 seats on the UAWCD board. They will be appointed by the District Court of Fremont County. They will join the present 2 Western Fremont Representatives. A total of 6 seats will be occupied by the Fremont Divisions.

This will help protect our water! Water is worth more than gold as you can drink the water. Aurora and Colorado Springs are not going to protect our water for us. It is something we need to do for ourselves!

UPPER ARKANSAS WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT QUESTION 4D (cont)

Summary of written comments against the proposal:

Inclusion is a vote for a tax increase. UAWCD directors are appointed by the court according to C.R.S. 37-45-114(1)(c). As such, the inclusion will expand the UAWCD area and the directors will be appointed by Judges from the 4th Judicial District as well as the present 11th and the 12th Judicial District. The UAWCD inclusion will be expanded to include Fremont School District RE-1 and Fremont School District RE-2 which area includes 72 square miles of El Paso County. With part of El Paso County included a potential director could come from this area. A potential director from El Paso County may favor Colorado Springs over Fremont County. Directors may be elected in lieu of appointment only if 10% of registered voters file a petition for election for each division and each term in accordance with C.R.S. 37-45-114(2). This is difficult and expensive. All current directors are appointed and are not term limited. The directors can be removed from office by the Judges of the appointing Judicial Districts but cannot be recalled by the electorate.

The district can use taxpayer dollars to purchase water rights. As a quasi-municipality, in accordance with C.R.S. 37-45-118(1)(c) with the power of eminent domain the district can use this legal power to acquire private property for the use of the public such as rights of way for pipelines, canals, water rights with dry-up covenants, and reservoirs.

Historically the district works independent of other government entities such as counties and towns and as such sometimes competes for water, storage and customers. Vote no on inclusion to keep our water in Fremont County and to keep taxes from going up on our property.

SECURITY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT QUESTION 5A

Designated Election Official: Kris S. Smith 400 Security Boulevard Security, CO 80911 (719) 392-3271

> NOTICE OF ELECTION TO INCREASE TAXES SECURITY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO

Election Type: Coordinated Mail Ballot Election

Election Date: November 6, 2007

Ballot Title and Text: Question 5A

SHALL THE SECURITY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$591,932.00 IN THE FIRST FISCAL YEAR (2008) AND ANNUALLY THEREAFTER IN SUCH AMOUNT AS ARE RECEIVED EACH YEAR BY THE IMPOSITION OF AN ADDITIONAL MILL LEVY OF 3.325 MILL UPON TAXABLE PROPERTY WITHIN THE DISTRICT, COMMENCING WITH THE TAX COLLECTION YEAR 2008, AND CONTINUING THEREAFTER, WITH SUCH REVENUES, TOGETHER WITH ANY AND ALL OTHER REVENUES TO BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT FOR THE PURPOSES OF DEFRAYING SALARIES, OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES OF THE DISTRICT, AND/OR OTHER LAWFUL PURPOSES, AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE SPENDING, REVENUE RAISING, AND OTHER LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20, OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, C.R.S., 29-1-301(1), OR ANY OTHER LAWS?

FISCAL YEAR SPENDING INFORMATION:

2007 (ESTIMATED) 2006 (ACTUAL) 2005 (ACTUAL) 2004 (ACTUAL) 2003 (ACTUAL)	\$1,379,338 \$1,413,585 \$ 925,141 \$1,035,154 \$1,220,746
Overall percentage change in fiscal year spending Overall dollar amount change:	12.99% \$ 158,592
Estimated maximum dollar amount of tax increase for 2008: Estimated 2008 fiscal year spending without tax increase:	\$ 591,932 \$1,536,229

Summary of written comments for the proposal:

The Security Fire Protection District has proudly served the Security/Widefield area communities for over 50 years. As part of our services, we respond to fires, medical emergencies, traffic accidents and calls from citizens that are in need of assistance. As well, the fire department participates in several community activities. In November, the Security Fire Protection District Board of Directors will be asking the residents to raise the mill levy so that we may continue to keep pace with our changing community and provide the most state of the art, professional emergency services to our citizens.

Last year our personnel responded to over 2,400 calls for service compared to just 1,500 calls in 1996. While this is a 60% increase in call volume, our population has increased just over 15% in the same time period. As our community continues to grow, we expect the calls for service to reach over 3,000 within the next 7 to 8 years.

Currently, when a resident makes an emergency call, one firefighter/EMT responds to that call and is then backed up by our volunteers, as they are available to respond. Additional paid firefighter/EMT's are needed to ensure the safety of our personnel, as well as, provide for the best possible care for our residents. In addition, this increased funding would allow us to replace two fire engines that are 20 years old, allowing us to respond to your needs with the most up to date rescue equipment available in the fire service.

The fire district currently receives about \$106.00 annually for fire protection on a home with an approximate appraised value of \$200,000.00. With the mill levy increase, the same homeowner would pay an additional \$53.00 per year or \$4.40 per month.

As well, the Fire Protection District is graded by the Insurance Service Organization (ISO). This rating is on a scale from 1 to 10 with 1 being the best you can receive. Recently, the Security Fire Protection District's rating improved from a rating of 5 to a rating of 4. Based on this rating, the homeowners in our area save up to \$400.00 per year on their homeowners insurance, as opposed to not having any fire protection services.

The Security Fire Protection District's Board of Directors, employees and volunteers would greatly appreciate your support on this Ballot Issue. It will allow us to ensure that the Security/Widefield communities continue to have the best trained and equipped fire and emergency services in all of Southern Colorado.

Summary of written comments against the proposal:

No comments were filed by the constitutional deadline.

TRIVIEW METROPOLITAN DISTRICT QUESTION 5B, 5C, 5D, 5E

Designated Election Official: Dale Hill Triview Metropolitan District 174 North Washington P.O. Box 849 Monument, Colorado 80132

Telephone: (719) 488-6868

NOTICE OF ELECTION TO INCREASE TAXES AND DEBT ON A REFERRED MEASURE
TRIVIEW METROPOLITAN DISTRICT
EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO

Election Type: Coordinated Mail Ballot Election

Election Date: November 6, 2007

Ballot Title and Text: BALLOT ISSUE 5B - WATER IMPROVEMENTS

SHALL TRIVIEW METROPOLITAN DISTRICT DEBT BE INCREASED UP TO \$19,900,000, WITHA REPAYMENT COST OF UP TO \$56,415,150; AND SHALL TRIVIEW METROPOLITAN DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED UP TO \$2,475,168 ANNUALLY (WHICH AMOUNT IS THE MAXIMUM ANNUAL REPAYMENT COST), WITH THE INTENT AND PURPOSE THAT SUCH TAXES ARE INTENDED TO BE USED AS A GUARANTY FOR PAYMENT OF THE BONDS IN THE EVENT THAT THE BOARD DETERMINES OTHER REVENUES OF THE DISTRICT ARE NOT SUFFICIENT TO PAY THE DEBT SERVICE ON THE BONDS, SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING LIMITATIONS:

- SUCH DEBT AND TAXES, IF ANY, SHALL BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING WATER IMPROVEMENTS OF THE DISTRICT, SUCH IMPROVEMENTS MAY INCLUDE BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO PAYMENT FOR DISTRICT'S CURRENT CONTRACTED WATER SUPPLY, THE DENVER BASINAQUIFER; COMPLETION OF THE DISTRICT'S WATER TREAT-MENT PLANTS, WELLS AND LINES; COMPLETION OF WATER RE-USE PROGRAM FOR IRRIGATION WATER TO ASSIST IN CONSERVING EITHER DENVER BASIN AQUIFER OR RENEWABLE WATER SOURCES; PURCHASE OF RENEWABLE WATER SOURCE TO CONSERVE DENVER BASIN AQUIFER WATER;
- IN ORDER TO ACHIEVE THE LOWEST POSSIBLE INTEREST RATES FOR THE DISTRICT, SUCH DEBT SHALL BE A GENERAL OBLIGATION OF THE DISTRICT, MAY BE EVIDENCED BY BONDS, NOTES, CONTRACTS OR LOAN AGREEMENTS AND SHALL BE PAYABLE FIRST FROM OTHER AVAILABLE DISTRICT REVENUES, AND, IF NEEDED, FROM A MILL LEVY THAT MAY BE INCREASED IN ANY YEAR WITHOUT LIMITATION AS TO RATE BUT ONLY IN AN AMOUNT SUFFICIENT TO PAY THE PRINCIPAL OF AND PREMIUM, IF ANY, AND INTEREST WHEN DUE ON SUCH DEBT, OR ANY DEBT ISSUED TO REFINANCE SUCH DEBT;
- SUCH DEBT SHALL HAVE SUCH TERMS AND CONDITIONS AS THE DISTRICT MAY DETERMINE, INCLUDING PROVISIONS FOR REDEMPTION PRIOR TO MATURITY WITH OR WITHOUT PAYMENT OF A PREMIUM OF NOT TO EXCEED 3%;
- THE DISTRICT SHALL BE AUTHORIZED TO ISSUE DEBT TO REFUND THE DEBTAUTHORIZED IN THIS QUESTION, PROVIDED THAT AFTER THE ISSUANCE OF SUCH REFUNDING DEBT THE TOTAL OUTSTANDING PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF ALL DEBT ISSUED PURSUANT TO THIS QUESTION DOES NOT EXCEED THE MAXIMUM PRINCIPAL AMOUNT SET FORTH ABOVE, AND PROVIDED FURTHER THAT ALL DEBT ISSUED BY THE DISTRICT PURSUANT TO THIS QUESTION IS ISSUED ON TERMS THAT DO NOT EXCEED THE AUTHORIZED REPAYMENT COSTS;

AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH DEBT AND TAXES, ANY INVESTMENT INCOME THEREFROM, AND ALL OTHER DISTRICT REVENUE BE COLLECTED AND SPENT WITHOUT LIMITATION OR CONDITION, AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?

Ballot Title and Text: BALLOT ISSUE 5C - WASTEWATER IMPROVEMENTS SHALL TRIVIEW METROPOLITAN DISTRICT DEBT BE INCREASED \$9,090,000, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF UP TO \$25,769,125; AND SHALL TRIVIEW METROPOLITAN DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED UP TO \$1,139,060 ANNUALLY (WHICH AMOUNT IS THE MAXIMUM ANNUAL REPAYMENT COST), WITH THE INTENT AND PURPOSE THAT SUCH TAXES ARE INTENDED TO BE USED AS A GUARANTY FOR PAYMENT OF THE BONDS IN THE EVENT THAT THE BOARD DETERMINES OTHER REVENUES OF THE DISTRICT ARE NOT SUFFICIENT TO PAY THE DEBT SERVICE ON THE BONDS, SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING LIMITATIONS:

- SUCH DEBT AND TAXES, IF ANY, SHALL BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING WASTEWATER IMPROVEMENTS OF THE DISTRICT, SUCH IMPROVEMENTS MAY IN-CLUDE BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO THE PLANNED PHASE III EXPANSION OF THE WASTE-WATER TREATMENT PLANT AND CONSTRUCTION OF NEW SEWER LINES AND RE-LATED EQUIPMENT AND STORM WATER MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL TO MEET FED-ERAL, STATE AND LOCAL REQUIREMENTS;
- IN ORDER TO ACHIEVE THE LOWEST POSSIBLE INTEREST RATES FOR THE DISTRICT, SUCH DEBT SHALL BE A GENERAL OBLIGATION OF THE DISTRICT, MAY BE EVIDENCED BY BONDS, NOTES, CONTRACTS OR LOAN AGREEMENTS AND SHALL BE PAYABLE FIRST FROM OTHER AVAILABLE DISTRICT REVENUES, AND, IF NEEDED, FROM A MILL LEVY THAT MAY BE INCREASED IN ANY YEAR WITHOUT LIMITATION AS TO RATE BUT ONLY IN AN AMOUNT SUFFICIENT TO PAY THE PRINCIPAL OF AND PREMIUM, IF ANY, AND INTEREST WHEN DUE ON SUCH DEBT, OR ANY DEBT ISSUED TO REFINANCE SUCH DEBT;
- SUCH DEBT SHALL HAVE SUCH TERMS AND CONDITIONS AS THE DISTRICT MAY DETERMINE, INCLUDING PROVISIONS FOR REDEMPTION PRIOR TO MATURITY WITH OR WITHOUT PAYMENT OF A PREMIUM OF NOT TO EXCEED 3%:
- THE DISTRICT SHALL BE AUTHORIZED TO ISSUE DEBT TO REFUND THE DEBTAUTHORIZED IN THIS QUESTION, PROVIDED THAT AFTER THE ISSUANCE OF SUCH REFUNDING DEBT THE TOTAL OUTSTANDING PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF ALL DEBT ISSUED PURSUANT TO THIS QUESTION DOES NOT EXCEED THE MAXIMUM PRINCIPAL AMOUNT SET FORTH ABOVE, AND PROVIDED FURTHER THAT ALL DEBT ISSUED BY THE DISTRICT PURSUANT TO THIS QUESTION IS ISSUED ON TERMS THAT DO NOT EXCEED THE AUTHORIZED REPAYMENT COSTS;

AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH DEBT AND TAXES, ANY INVESTMENT INCOME THEREFROM, AND ALL OTHER DISTRICT REVENUE BE COLLECTED AND SPENT WITHOUT LIMITATION OR CONDITION, AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?

Ballot Title and Text: BALLOT ISSUE 5D - ROAD IMPROVEMENTS SHALL TRIVIEW METROPOLITAN DISTRICT DEBT BE INCREASED \$8,410,000, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF UP TO \$23,837,925; AND SHALL TRIVIEW METROPOLITAN DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED UP TO \$1,047,800 ANNUALLY (WHICH AMOUNT IS THE MAXIMUM ANNUAL REPAYMENT COST), WITH THE INTENT AND PURPOSE THAT SUCH TAXES ARE INTENDED TO BE USED AS A GUARANTY FOR PAYMENT OF THE BONDS IN THE EVENT THAT THE BOARD DETERMINES OTHER REVENUES OF THE DISTRICT ARE NOT SUFFICIENT TO PAY THE DEBT SERVICE ON THE BONDS, SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING LIMITATIONS:

 SUCH DEBT AND TAXES, IF ANY, SHALL BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING ROAD IMPROVEMENTS OF THE DISTRICT, SUCH IMPROVEMENTS MAY INCLUDE BUT

TRIVIEW METROPOLITAN DISTRICT QUESTION 5B, 5C, 5D, 5E (cont)

ARE NOT LIMITED TO CONSTRUCTION OF ROADS AND STREETS TO COMPLETE DISTRICT TRANSPORTATION PLAN:

- IN ORDER TO ACHIEVE THE LOWEST POSSIBLE INTEREST RATES FOR THE DISTRICT, SUCH DEBT SHALL BE A GENERAL OBLIGATION OF THE DISTRICT, MAY BE EVIDENCED BY BONDS, NOTES, CONTRACTS OR LOAN AGREEMENTS AND SHALL BE PAYABLE FIRST FROM OTHER AVAILABLE DISTRICT REVENUES, AND, IF NEEDED, FROM A MILL LEVY THAT MAY BE INCREASED IN ANY YEAR WITHOUT LIMITATION AS TO RATE BUT ONLY IN AN AMOUNT SUFFICIENT TO PAY THE PRINCIPAL OF AND PREMIUM, IF ANY, AND INTEREST WHEN DUE ON SUCH DEBT, OR ANY DEBT ISSUED TO REFINANCE SUCH DEBT:
- SUCH DEBT SHALL HAVE SUCH TERMS AND CONDITIONS AS THE DISTRICT MAY DETERMINE, INCLUDING PROVISIONS FOR REDEMPTION PRIOR TO MATURITY WITH OR WITHOUT PAYMENT OF A PREMIUM OF NOT TO EXCEED 3%;
- THE DISTRICT SHALL BE AUTHORIZED TO ISSUE DEBT TO REFUND THE DEBT
 AUTHORIZED IN THIS QUESTION, PROVIDED THATAFTER THE ISSUANCE OF SUCH
 REFUNDING DEBT THE TOTAL OUTSTANDING PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF ALL DEBT
 ISSUED PURSUANT TO THIS QUESTION DOES NOT EXCEED THE MAXIMUM PRINCIPAL AMOUNT SET FORTH ABOVE, AND PROVIDED FURTHER THAT ALL DEBT ISSUED BY THE DISTRICT PURSUANT TO THIS QUESTION IS ISSUED ON TERMS THAT
 DO NOT EXCEED THE AUTHORIZED REPAYMENT COSTS;

AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH DEBTAND TAXES, ANY INVESTMENT INCOME THERE-FROM, AND ALL OTHER DISTRICT REVENUE BE COLLECTED AND SPENT WITHOUT LIMITATION OR CONDITION, AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?

Ballot Title and Text: BALLOT ISSUE 5E - PARK AND RECREATION IMPROVEMENTS SHALL TRIVIEW METROPOLITAN DISTRICT DEBT BE INCREASED \$3,600,000, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF UP TO \$10,203,225; AND SHALL TRIVIEW METROPOLITAN DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED UP TO \$449,995 ANNUALLY (WHICH AMOUNT IS THE MAXIMUM ANNUAL REPAYMENT COST), WITH THE INTENT AND PURPOSE THAT SUCH TAXES ARE INTENDED TO BE USED AS A GUARANTY FOR PAYMENT OF THE BONDS IN THE EVENTTHAT THE BOARD DETERMINES OTHER REVENUES OF THE DISTRICT ARE NOT SUFFICIENT TO PAY THE DEBT SERVICE ON THE BONDS, SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING LIMITATIONS:

- SUCH DEBTAND TAXES, IF ANY, SHALL BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING PARK AND RECREATION IMPROVEMENTS OF THE DISTRICT, SUCH IMPROVEMENTS MAY INCLUDE BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO THE CONSTRUCTING, ACQUIRING AND EQUIPPING OF PLANNED DISTRICT PARKS;
- IN ORDER TO ACHIEVE THE LOWEST POSSIBLE INTEREST RATES FOR THE DISTRICT, SUCH DEBT SHALL BE A GENERAL OBLIGATION OF THE DISTRICT, MAY BE EVI-DENCED BY BONDS, NOTES, CONTRACTS OR LOAN AGREEMENTS AND SHALL BE PAYABLE FIRST FROM OTHER AVAILABLE DISTRICT REVENUES, AND, IF NEEDED, FROM A MILL LEVY THAT MAY BE INCREASED IN ANY YEAR WITHOUT LIMITATION AS TO RATE BUT ONLY IN AN AMOUNT SUFFICIENT TO PAY THE PRINCIPAL OF AND PREMIUM, IF ANY, AND INTEREST WHEN DUE ON SUCH DEBT, OR ANY DEBT ISSUED TO REFINANCE SUCH DEBT;
- SUCH DEBT SHALL HAVE SUCH TERMS AND CONDITIONS AS THE DISTRICT MAY DETERMINE, INCLUDING PROVISIONS FOR REDEMPTION PRIOR TO MATURITY WITH OR WITHOUT PAYMENT OF A PREMIUM OF NOT TO EXCEED 3%;
- THE DISTRICT SHALL BE AUTHORIZED TO ISSUE DEBT TO REFUND THE DEBT AUTHORIZED IN THIS QUESTION, PROVIDED THATAFTER THE ISSUANCE OF SUCH REFUNDING DEBT THE TOTAL OUTSTANDING PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF ALL DEBT ISSUED PURSUANT TO THIS QUESTION DOES NOT EXCEED THE MAXIMUM PRINCI-PAL AMOUNT SET FORTH ABOVE, AND PROVIDED FURTHER THAT ALL DEBT IS-SUED BY THE DISTRICT PURSUANT TO THIS QUESTION IS ISSUED ON TERMS THAT DO NOT EXCEED THE AUTHORIZED REPAYMENT COSTS;

AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH DEBTAND TAXES, ANY INVESTMENT INCOME THERE-FROM, AND ALL OTHER DISTRICT REVENUE BE COLLECTED AND SPENT WITHOUT LIMITATION OR CONDITION, AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?

Total District Fiscal Year Spending

Fiscal Year	
2007 (estimated)	\$2,495,779
2006 (actual)	\$2,000,963
2005 (actual)	\$1,515,987
2004 (actual)	\$1,166,261
2003 (actual)	\$ 864,623

Overall percentage change from 2003 to 2007 189% Overall dollar change from 2003 to 2007 \$1,631,156

Proposed Tax Increase

District Estimate of the Maximum Dollar Amount of the Proposed Tax Increase For Fiscal Year 2008 (the First Full Fiscal Year of the Proposed Tax Increase):

> BALLOT ISSUE NO. 5B: \$2,475,168 BALLOT ISSUE NO. 5C: \$1,139,060 BALLOT ISSUE NO. 5D: \$1,047,800 BALLOT ISSUE NO. 5E: \$449,995

District Estimate of 2008 Fiscal Year Spending
Without Proposed Tax Increases: \$3,209,622

TRIVIEW METROPOLITAN DISTRICT QUESTION 5B, 5C, 5D, 5E (cont)

Information on District's Proposed Debt

BALLOT ISSUE NO. 5B:

Principal Amount of Proposed Bonds: Not to exceed \$19,900,000

Maximum Annual District Repayment Cost: Not to exceed \$ 2,475,168

Total District Repayment Cost: Not to exceed \$56,415,150

BALLOT ISSUE NO. 5C:

Principal Amount of Proposed Bonds:

Maximum Annual District Repayment Cost:

Not to exceed \$ 9,090,000

Not to exceed \$ 1,139,060

Not to exceed \$25,769,125

BALLOT ISSUE NO. 5D:

Principal Amount of Proposed Bonds: Not to exceed \$ 8,410,000 Maximum Annual District Repayment Cost: Not to exceed \$ 1,047,800 Total District Repayment Cost: Not to exceed \$23,837,925

BALLOT ISSUE NO. 5E:

Principal Amount of Proposed Bonds:

Maximum Annual District Repayment Cost:

Not to exceed \$ 3,600,000

Not to exceed \$ 449,995

Total District Repayment Cost:

Not to exceed \$10,203,225

Information on District's Current Debt*

Principal Amount Outstanding Debt: \$47,550,000

Maximum Annual Repayment Cost: \$6,436,800

Remaining Total Repayment Cost: \$129,133,200

*Excluded from debt are enterprise and annual appropriation obligations. The District's general obligation debt was issued at a variable interest rate. Numbers above reflect maximum annual and total repayment costs at the maximum rate of 8%.

Summary of written comments for the ballot issues NOS. 5B, 5C, 5D AND 5E:

The Triview Metropolitan District (TMD), Monument, Colorado, was formed in the mid-1980s as a Special District under Colorado law. TMD is respons ble for providing the property owners, in the developing District, essential water, sewer, roads, drainage, parks, and other services. To provide those services, TMD from time-to-time borrows funds at favorable terms by issuing general obligation and/or revenue bonds. In addition, part of the infrastructure, and its upkeep, for these essential services, as well as a portion of the long-term debt's annual payment, are paid from current revenues.

The original voter debt, authorized in 1986 and 1987, has been utilized or permitted to expire. The original authorization was approximately \$50 million dollars. This authorization was grossly inadequate for the myriad of required 30-year infrastructure tasks. In addition, for a relatively inactive period of 10 years, there was little development activity in the District, and inflation alone consumed 75% of the buying power of the original authorization. The outstanding debt of \$47.5 million has been carefully issued to provide for the initial, critical increments of water, sewer, roads, and parks.

At present no voter authorized debt exist to continue to provide an economically sound source of funds to complete the next phase of the required infrastructure. The voters are being asked to approve \$41 million in debt authorization for water, sewer, roads, and parks. The critically important requirements are contained in the four Ballot Questions. Portions of this debt authorization may not have to be utilized should revenues be adequate to fund the requirements in the years 2008-2016. TMD's current Finance Plan reflects that there is no need or intent to raise taxes or fees related to this new debt authorization. However, current law requires that the Ballot Questions state what the increased taxes would be if a requirement existed to raise taxes to service the new debt.

Voter approval of the four Ballot Questions is critical to provide the District the means to acquire the funds to carry on its day-to-day mission of providing essential water, sewer, roads, and parks services. The District was formed to provide these services, and successful completion of that mission depends upon continued voter support to utilize the customary, low cost, long-term debt instruments typically issued by municipalities.

No increase in taxes or fees is required to service this debt annually. Revenues will provide the means to service any debt issued under this voter authorization. In addition, when the voter approves the Ballot Questions, the voter is also authorizing the District to retain all its earned revenues. Retention of the revenues provides the opportunity to pay down any long-term debt faster, and is expected eventually to provide an opportunity to reduce the current mill levy (taxes) on residential property.

TMD needs voter support to continue to provide critical services and protect owners' property values. Please Vote YES on questions 5B, 5C, 5D, and 5E.

Summary of written comments against the ballot issues NOS. 5B, 5C, 5D AND 5E:

No comments were filed by the constitutional deadline.

END OF BALLOT ISSUE NOTICE

As required by Colorado Statutes (C.R.S. 1-7-905), I hereby certify the ballot issue notices are complete as submitted by the political subdivisions.

Robert C. "Bob" Balink El Paso County Clerk & Recorder

ATTENTION:

IDENTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

If you are required to provide ID, the back of your official return envelope will include the following: ID REQUIRED PLACE COPY IN RETURN ENVELOPE. This statement will be to the left of your name and address. If your return envelope includes this statement, place a photocopy of one of the following ACCEPTABLE FORMS OF IDENTIFICATION into the Official Return Envelope. (Do not place the photocopied identification in the Secrecy Envelope with your voted ballot.) Additional postage will be required on the return envelope if you are required to submit a photocopy of your ID.

- •A valid Colorado driver's license;
- •A valid identification card issued by the Department of Revenue;
- •A valid U.S. passport;
- •A valid employee identification card with a photograph of the eligible elector issued by any branch, department, agency, or entity of the United States government or of this state, or by any county, municipality, board, authority, or other political subdivision of this state;
- •A valid pilot's license issued by the federal aviation administration or other authorized agency of the United States;
- •A valid United States military identification card with a photograph of the eligible elector;
- •A copy of a current utility bill, bank statement, government check, government paycheck, or other government document that shows the name and address of the elector;
- •A valid Medicare or Medicaid card issued by the United States Health Care Financing Administration;
- •A certified copy of a United States birth certificate for the elector issued in the United States;
- Certified documentation of naturalization;
- •A valid student identification card with a photograph issued by an institution of higher education in Colorado.

Any form of identification that shows the address of the eligible elector shall be considered identification only if the address is in the State of Colorado.

ELECTION INFORMATION

<u>DESIGNATED BALLOT DROP OFF SITE INFORMATION</u>: You may return your voted ballot by mail (postage required) or you may hand deliver your ballot to a designated Drop Off Site. All sites are open weekdays during the times specified below and 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. on Election Day - unless otherwise specified;

EL PASO COUNTY CLERK & RECORDER'S DOWNTOWN OFFICE

200 South Cascade Avenue Colorado Springs, CO 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m. EL PASO COUNTY CLERK & RECORDER'S CHAPEL HILLS OFFICE

On north side next to JC Penney 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

EL PASO COUNTY CLERK & RECORDER'S POWERS OFFICE

5650 Industrial Place Southeast corner of Powers Blvd. and Airport Rd. 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

REPLACEMENT BALLOT INFORMATION: If you spoil, deface or lose your ballot, you may obtain a replacement ballot, one at a time, not to exceed three ballots in all. If you spoil or deface your ballot, you may contact the Elections Downtown Office to obtain instructions for requesting a replacement ballot by calling (719)575-VOTE (8683). Replacement ballots may be requested from the El Paso County Downtown Elections Office during regular business hours, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., or on Election Day from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.

<u>DEADLINE FOR RETURNING BALLOTS</u>: Ballots must be received by the El Paso County Clerk & Recorder's Office by 7:00 p.m. on Election Day in order for your votes to be counted. Ballots received after 7:00 p.m. will not be counted. Postmarks do not count as received.

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: Contact the Election Department at (719) 575-VOTE (8683) or visit our website at: http://car.elpasoco.com/election