



El Paso County

NOTICE OF ELECTION
TO INCREASE TAXES / TO INCREASE DEBT /
ON A CITIZEN PETITION / ON A REFERRED MEASURE

THIS PAMPHLET CONTAINS INFORMATION ON BALLOT ISSUES AS REQUIRED BY THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 FOR LOCAL ISSUES.

A "YES" vote on any ballot issue is a vote in favor of changing current law or existing circumstances and a "NO" vote on any ballot issue is a vote against changing current law or existing circumstances."

Date: November 7, 2006
Hours: 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.

NONPROFIT ORG
U.S. POSTAGE PAID
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO
PERMIT NO. 3

ROBERT C. "BOB" BALINK
El Paso County Clerk and Recorder
200 South Cascade Avenue
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903

NOTICE OF ELECTION TO INCREASE TAXES / TO INCREASE DEBT / ON A CITIZEN PETITION / ON A REFERRED MEASURE

ELECTION DATE: November 7, 2006

POLLING PLACE HOURS: 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.

ELECTION OFFICE: El Paso County Clerk & Recorder, 200 South Cascade Avenue, Colorado Springs, CO 80903

TELEPHONE: (719) 575-VOTE (575-8683). For hearing impaired: TDD (719) 520-6286.

INTERNET ADDRESS: <http://car.elpasoco.com/election>

EMAIL ADDRESS: carweb@elpasoco.com

This Notice of Election was prepared in accordance with Section 20 of Article X of the Colorado Constitution and the Colorado Uniform Election Code of 1992, as amended. The information contained in this Notice was prepared by persons required by law to provide summaries of ballot issues and fiscal information. The El Paso County Clerk & Recorder's Office does not warrant, verify or confirm the accuracy or truth of the ballot titles, questions, text, and summaries of comments as presented below, nor is it responsible for errors in spelling, grammar, or punctuation of the materials presented below. For further information or clarification concerning any of the following ballot questions, please contact the respective Designated Election Official as indicated below. Ballot issues for the State will be mailed separately via the State's "Blue Book." Further, this Notice does not contain issues for those jurisdictions conducting separate elections. A separate TABOR Notice will be mailed to the appropriate voters within the jurisdictions conducting an election by mail/poll place. Voters may receive additional materials from other jurisdictions conducting independent elections.

CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS QUESTION 200

Designated Election Official:

Kathryn M. Young, CMC/CERA

City Clerk

City of Colorado Springs

P. O. Box 1575, MC 110

Colorado Springs, CO 80901-1575

NOTICE OF ELECTION ON CITIZEN PETITIONS/ON A REFERRED MEASURE CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO

Election Date: Tuesday, November 7, 2006

Election Hours: 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.

Ballot Title and Text: ISSUE NO. 200

"SHALL AN INITIATED ORDINANCE BE ADOPTED BY THE CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS WHICH SHALL READ AS FOLLOWS: THE PROPERTY TAX IN TAX YEAR 2006 SHALL BE FOUR MILLS, AND THEREAFTER PHASED OUT ONE MILL OR MORE YEARLY. STARTING JANUARY 1, 2008, THE 2% GENERAL SALES TAX SHALL ADJUST TO 1.75% IN FIVE EQUAL YEARLY STEPS. ALL EXCESS REVENUE SHALL BE REFUNDED TO TAXPAYERS YEARLY. THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BE STRICTLY ENFORCED. THESE VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES SHALL BE IN ADDITION TO ANY OTHER TAX CUT OR REVENUE REDUCTION OR REFUND, AND MAY BE DELAYED ONLY AS NEEDED FOR CURRENT GENERAL FUND REVENUE TO INCREASE YEARLY BY FUTURE INFLATION. THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BE AMENDED, SUPERSEDED, OR REPEALED ONLY AT A NOVEMBER ELECTION BY VOTER-APPROVED PETITIONS. ALL RELEVANT CURRENT PROVISIONS OF SECTION 7-90 OF THE CITY CHARTER AND ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE STATE CONSTITUTION SHALL APPLY TO THIS ORDINANCE?"

The property tax in tax year 2006 shall be four mills, and thereafter phased out one mill or more yearly. Starting January 1, 2008, the 2% general sales tax shall adjust to 1.75% in five equal yearly steps. All excess revenue shall be refunded to taxpayers yearly. This ordinance shall be strictly enforced. These voter-approved revenue changes shall be in addition to any other tax cut or revenue reduction or refund, and may be delayed only as needed for current general fund revenue to increase yearly by future inflation. This ordinance shall be amended, superseded, or repealed only at a November election by voter-approved petitions. All relevant current provisions of section 7-90 of the city charter and Article X, section 20 of the state constitution shall apply to this ordinance.

Summary of written comments for the initiated ordinance:

· Issue 200 provides modest city tax relief. 2007 savings of \$3.5 million equals \$9 per resident, about 1% of the "true" budget. At 6% annual net growth (below its historic average, and after deducting tax relief), city revenue over six years will total \$2,264,000,000 (\$2.64 BILLION). Tax relief, phased-in over six years, equals only 5% of that increasing city revenue. Please vote YES on 200.

· Even this small relief can be delayed if city revenue does not first increase by inflation. What must be "cut" if city revenue first grows, say, \$10 million? Nothing! With rising revenue, threatened layoffs and service reductions are impossible, and despicable scare tactics.

· Issue 200 phases out by 2010 about 82% of city property tax (5% of current city revenue). The other 18% ends automatically in 2009 with city bond payoffs. The city falsely claims issue 200 ends 100%.

· The total sales tax rate (7.4%) reduces to 7.15% over five years starting in 2008 – a 3% rate trimming. Taxpayers also get refunds of excess revenue above legal growth limits.

· Neither tax was ever voter-approved. Police, fire, park, road, and upcoming stormwater taxes are untouched. Over six years, illegal stormwater taxes (not voter-approved) collect more than this tax relief saves. That tax would basically double city property taxes. Tax relief offsets that tax increase.

· Tax relief attracts businesses and creates jobs.

· The council illegally blocked the 2005 election. It took four court orders by four judges to let you vote on these petitions. Do not reward illegal actions. Amendment 38 (petition rights) prevents such abuses.

· The "true" budget, including \$39 million in off-budget taxes, is 160% above 15 years ago. Issue 200 only slows its future growth. With inflationary increases guaranteed, no revenue decline or service cut is possible. After 2012, tax relief levels off, but city revenue keeps growing. Make the council live on a budget and cut out the waste.

· The city has \$116 million in liquid funds, which its financial report calls "unrestricted assets." They overpaid millions for land. They gave \$100,000 to a company to stay here. They paid \$10,000 (\$350/hour) to someone to say streetlight taxes are "fees;" then kept that \$14 million. They use city funds to fight issue 200 with fear and deception.

· They grant millions in tax breaks to developers. They raise parking rates for handouts to downtown special interests. They lose millions in construction cost overruns, overpay the IRS \$1.1 million, waste \$5 million yearly on buses. \$650,000 parking garage "study." \$30 million to fix one misdesigned intersection. Millions yearly for tourist advertising. No-interest loans. Many six-figure salaries. \$213,000 payoff to fired city manager. Bloated public relations staff. Etc. (Expect beneficiaries of this pork barrel spending to squeal about losing their subsidies).

· Yet they fight \$20 property tax relief for average homeowners in 2007.

· Recently, council sought doubling or tripling their salaries! Thousands for themselves, nothing for you.

· Please vote YES on 200.

Summary of written comments against the initiated ordinance:

· Eventually eliminates \$35 million (in 2006 dollars) from the City's General Fund (about 15% of the 2006 General Fund Budget), severely crippling the ability to provide basic services.

· A tax cut would negatively impact programs for kids. There would be no support for financially-needy youngsters to participate in city sports programs or physically-challenged kids to participate in free swimming lessons.

· Reduces an already low sales tax rate, the major funding source of all municipal services.

· The senior center could not provide quality programs and social opportunities contributing to the health and well-being of senior citizens. Programs to feed older, disabled citizens would be cut.

· Eliminates the City's portion of the property tax (about 8% of the total property tax bill) which is already one of the lowest municipal property tax rates in the nation.

· Would negatively impact the City's ability to provide police and fire services, putting fewer "first responders" on duty and increasing response times for serious crimes and life threatening emergencies.

· City revenues have not grown disproportionately. Adjusted for inflation, per capita spending remains virtually the same since 1992 and the number of City employees per capita has actually declined.

· This initiative would allow the budget to grow, from one year to the next, based only on inflation. Besides conflicting with both the Colorado Constitution and Colorado Springs City Charter, the budget would not grow in relation to population growth. We would, in effect, be telling our children and grandchildren future City services could not be provided to them.

- Reduced revenue would undermine the City's ability to build and maintain roads, infrastructure and bridges, parks, fire and police stations, storm systems and other public facilities, resulting in a less desirable place to live for us and future generations.
- Because funding for Urban Renewal projects is based on a percentage of sales and/or property taxes, reductions in sales tax and elimination of property tax would put in peril all current and future Urban Renewal projects, including retail and UCCS related activities on North Nevada, and affordable housing and arts related activities in the Southwest Downtown area.
- Previous sales tax reductions eventually led to higher taxes. Capital infrastructure tax of .5% was gradually eliminated starting in 1992. The inability to fund needed parks, public safety, infrastructure, and improved roads led the voters to adopt a .1% Trails, Open Space and Parks tax; .4% Public Safety Sales tax; and 1% Rural Transportation tax. The .5% sales tax was done away with but subsequently replaced, by the voters, with 1.5% in additional taxes only after witnessing the deterioration that occurred. Let us not make the same mistake again.
- Management of City affairs and policies by a county official opposes and offends federal and state provisions for separate governmental powers, checks and balances.
- The overall effect of these revenue changes would have a devastating impact on the City, residents and future generations. Please vote NO on Initiative 200.

CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS QUESTION 201

Designated Election Official:
Kathryn M. Young, CMC/CERA
City Clerk
City of Colorado Springs
P. O. Box 1575, MC 110
Colorado Springs, CO 80901-1575

NOTICE OF ELECTION ON CITIZEN PETITIONS/ON A REFERRED MEASURE CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO

Election Date: Tuesday, November 7, 2006
Election Hours: 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.
Ballot Title and Text: ISSUE NO. 201

“SHALL AN INITIATED ORDINANCE BE ADOPTED BY THE CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS WHICH SHALL READ AS FOLLOWS: FUTURE NON-ENTERPRISE CITY FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS THAT CONTINUE AFTER THE YEAR CREATED SHALL NOT EXCEED TEN YEARS. EACH SHALL REQUIRE VOTER APPROVAL OF A SEPARATE PETITION AT A NOVEMBER ELECTION. TOTAL PAYMENTS DUE DURING ALL SUCH FUTURE FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS COMBINED SHALL NOT EXCEED TEN PERCENT OF THE TAXABLE VALUATION FOR ASSESSMENT OF TAXABLE PROPERTY IN THE CITY. STARTING JANUARY 2007, AND WITHOUT USING INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE, THE CITY SHALL RESERVE YEARLY THREE PERCENT OR MORE OF ITS FISCAL YEAR SPENDING IN AN INTEREST BEARING FUND WITH PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST TO BE USED ONLY TO BUY CITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS FOR CASH. THE CITY SHALL FULLY DEplete THAT FUND BEFORE INCURRING SUCH FUTURE FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS. ‘CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS’ MEANS REAL PROPERTY AND AFFIXED CONSTRUCTION WITH A USEFUL LIFE EXCEEDING 25 YEARS, BUT NOT SUCH FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS, MAINTENANCE, OR CITY PAYROLL COSTS. ‘CITY’ ALSO INCLUDES ANY CITY-RELATED AUTHORITY OR OTHER NON-ENTERPRISE ENTITY. ‘FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS’ INCLUDES CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION, LEASES, CAPITAL LEASES, LEASE-PURCHASES, BONDS, MORTGAGES, DEBTS, NOTES, CONTRACTS, EMPLOYMENT AND SEVERANCE AGREEMENTS, VACATION AND SICK PAY, AND ALL OTHER NON-PENSION MONETARY LIABILITIES WHATSOEVER, WHETHER OR NOT FUTURE PAYMENTS ARE CONTINGENT, SUBJECT TO ANNUAL APPROPRIATION, OR MADE DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY, UNLESS THE FULL AMOUNT OWED FOR ALL FUTURE PAYMENTS IS IRREVOCABLY PLEDGED AND HELD IN CASH. THIS ORDINANCE SHALL NOT IMPAIR ANY LAWFUL EXISTING CONTRACT AND SHALL BE STRICTLY ENFORCED. THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BE AMENDED, SUPERSEDED, OR REPEALED ONLY AT A NOVEMBER ELECTION BY VOTER-APPROVED PETITIONS. ALL RELEVANT CURRENT PROVISIONS OF SECTION 7-90 OF THE CITY CHARTER AND ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE STATE CONSTITUTION SHALL APPLY TO THIS ORDINANCE?”

Future non-enterprise city financial obligations that continue after the year created shall not exceed ten years. Each shall require voter approval of a separate petition at a November election. Total payments due during all such future financial obligations combined shall not exceed ten percent of the taxable valuation for assessment of taxable property in the city. Starting January 2007, and without using intergovernmental revenue, the city shall reserve yearly three percent or more of its fiscal year spending in an interest bearing fund with principal and interest to be used only to buy city capital improvements for cash. The city shall fully deplete that fund before incurring such future financial obligations. “Capital improvements” means real property and affixed construction with a useful life exceeding 25 years, but not such financial obligations, maintenance, or city payroll costs. “City” also includes any city-related

authority or other non-enterprise entity. “Financial obligations” includes certificates of participation, leases, capital leases, lease-purchases, bonds, mortgages, debts, notes, contracts, employment and severance agreements, vacation and sick pay, and all other non-pension monetary liabilities whatsoever, whether or not future payments are contingent, subject to annual appropriation, or made directly or indirectly, unless the full amount owed for all future payments is irrevocably pledged and held in cash. This ordinance shall not impair any lawful existing contract and shall be strictly enforced. This ordinance shall be amended, superseded, or repealed only at a November election by voter-approved petitions. All relevant current provisions of section 7-90 of the city charter and Article X, section 20 of the state constitution shall apply to this ordinance.

Summary of written comments for the initiated ordinance:

- Our city now carries tens of millions of dollars in illegal debt. Issue 201 limits city deficit spending by taking away our wasteful city council's credit card. Balanced budgets will save taxpayers millions in yearly interest costs. Please vote YES on 201.

- Remember county scandals over illegal jail and courthouse debt? The council did even worse. In July 2000, it declared an “emergency” (so citizens could not stop them), then SOLD City Hall to a dummy corporation run by top city bureaucrats. For \$7.2 million in quick cash, the council agreed to repay \$12 million - \$600,000 yearly. We will not get City Hall in 2020, maybe. The council spent the money to redecorate council offices, etc. That is only one example of their shady dealings and why we need Amendment 38, petition rights. (Issues 200 and 201 are petitions).

- Issue 201 does three things. First, it limits future city debt, precisely defined, to 10 years, and caps future total debt repayment costs, including interest, at an amount equal to 10% of taxable valuation for assessment of taxable real property. With a faster pay back, ten-year limits save us millions in interest; just look at your mortgage. The dollar cap protects future generations from excess costs of council's wild overspending. Remember, your children did not vote on it; they only repay it.

- Second, the city will set aside each year 3% of its fiscal year spending, adding the next year 3% of the next year's spending, etc. Example: if spending was a constant \$300 million, they must save \$9 million the first year, \$9 million more the second (= \$18 million), etc. That fund, with accrued interest, can be used only to buy capital improvements for cash (no interest cost). Imagine how fast that fund will grow! The council says buying for cash is impossible, but the new municipal court was debt-free. We can do it again! As existing debts are repaid, city cash flow for city services also increases.

- Finally, if a capital project requires immediate spending above that fund balance, voters may approve petitions for each debt after first spending the fund balance. Building fund growth then starts over again.

- These limits also apply to employee severance contracts, called “golden parachutes,” which pay fired employees for not working! When forced out, the prior city manager got \$213,000 total payout, partly severance pay. Today's manager has a “parachute” fund. (Future multi-year obligations may always be secured by cash reserves.)

- The city has \$20 million in unsecured current debt for unused vacation and sick pay. They try to scare voters by falsely claiming they must reserve \$20 million for that current obligation. But this petition begins, “Future non-enterprise city financial obligations...” “Future” is not “current!” Nor did they claim a need to set aside money for City Hall payments or other current debts. Just another \$20 million “mistake!” Their incompetence proves we must limit future deficit spending.

- Please vote YES on 201.

Summary of written comments against the initiated ordinance:

- Would seriously impact representative government – the City Council would be prohibited from even asking the voters whether or not the City can borrow money.

- Would prohibit even Citizens from asking themselves if they could borrow money for longer than ten years.

- Neighborhood projects, fire and police stations, parks and recreation centers could not be built because of the requirement to only borrow over ten years, especially coupled with reduced revenues if Initiative 200 were to pass – there would not be enough tax revenue available each year to pay off one-tenth of the cost of large projects.

- Urban Renewal projects would be seriously impacted by limits on bonded debts. Typical Urban Renewal projects are funded over a 25 year span and limiting them to 10 years would make them cost prohibitive. This would be akin to forcing a homeowner to only being able to get a ten year mortgage.

- A limitation on the ability to seek bonds or borrow money to build major infrastructure would make our City unique in Colorado. Potential employers considering Colorado Springs would be alarmed at the community's inability to build and maintain public facilities which would jeopardize new jobs for current residents as well as our children.

- Would force the City to set aside over \$20 million dollars for employee accrued sick and vacation balances. This would further reduce any flexibility the City has to fund normal services.

- Artificially restrained bonding ability would impact the City's credit rating, driving interest rates up and making projects even more expensive.

- This initiative will exert a punitive restriction on the City and future Councils that will cripple infrastructure and public works funding forever. Please NO on Initiative 201.

- These are attempts to manipulate the internal affairs of the City of Colorado Springs by an El Paso county commissioner. These initiatives violate the City Charter and usurp the will of the people by denying them the right of representation by City officials. If passed, these initiatives will conflict with the sworn duties of elected City representatives.

- Management of City affairs and policies by a county official opposes and offends federal and state provisions for separate governmental powers, checks and balances.

**CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS
QUESTION 2A**

Designated Election Official:
Kathryn M. Young, CMC/CERA
City Clerk
City of Colorado Springs
P. O. Box 1575, MC 110
Colorado Springs, CO 80901-1575

NOTICE OF ELECTION ON
CITIZEN PETITIONS/ON A REFERRED MEASURE
CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS
EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO

Election Date: Tuesday, November 7, 2006
Election Hours: 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.
Ballot Title and Text: ISSUE NO. 2A

“SHALL A NONEXCLUSIVE FRANCHISE BE GRANTED TO FALCON BROADBAND, INC. FOR THE CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF A CABLE TELEVISION SYSTEM AND TO PROVIDE CABLE TELEVISION SERVICES WITHIN THE CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS (“CITY”), PROVIDING FOR GRANTS TO THE CITY FOR EDUCATIONAL AND GOVERNMENTAL ACCESS, TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY PROGRAMS, REQUIRING ADDITIONAL CHANNELS FOR EDUCATIONAL AND GOVERNMENTAL ACCESS, PROVIDING FOR CUSTOMER SERVICE STANDARDS, REQUIRING CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS, PROVIDING FOR REGULATION OF RATES AND CHARGES AS SHOULD BE ALLOWED BY LAW, REQUIRING INSURANCE, BONDS, AND IMPOSING OTHER REQUIREMENTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE CABLE TELEVISION FRANCHISE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY AND FALCON BROADBAND, INC. FOR A TERM NOT TO EXCEED TEN (10) YEARS AS APPROVED BY ORDINANCES OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS, AND EXEMPTING ANY REVENUES PAID BY FALCON BROADBAND, INC. TO THE CITY PURSUANT TO THE TERMS OF THE FRANCHISE AGREEMENT FROM THE TAXPAYER’S BILL OF RIGHTS, OTHERWISE KNOWN AS “TABOR” (COLORADO CONSTITUTION ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 AND CITY CHARTER ARTICLE VII, SECTION 7-90) WITH THIS REVENUE BEING EXEMPT FROM TABOR SPENDING/REVENUE LIMITATIONS?”

Summary of written comments for proposal:
NO COMMENTS WERE FILED BY THE CONSTITUTIONAL DEADLINE.

Summary of written comments against proposal:
NO COMMENTS WERE FILED BY THE CONSTITUTIONAL DEADLINE.

**CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS
QUESTION 1-B**

Designated Election Official:
Kathryn M. Young, CMC/CERA
City Clerk
City of Colorado Springs
P. O. Box 1575, MC 110
Colorado Springs, CO 80901-1575

NOTICE OF ELECTION ON
A REFERRED MEASURE/TO INCREASE TAXES/TO INCREASE DEBT
CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO

Election Date: Tuesday, November 7, 2006 (MAIL BALLOT)
Election Hours: 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.
Ballot Title and Text: QUESTION NO. 1-B

“SHALL CITY TAXES FOR THE COLORADO SPRINGS DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY BE INCREASED \$750,000 ANNUALLY BY LEVYING AN AD VALOREM MILL LEVY UP TO FIVE MILLS FOR EXPENDITURES ALLOWED BY LAW?”

If approved, the City of Colorado Springs shall be authorized to impose an ad valorem mill levy not to exceed five mills on all real and personal property within the boundaries of the Authority and for the Authority, to be used for the purpose of paying the Authority’s operations, maintenance, and other expenses, as allowed by sections 31-25-807, 31-25-808(1)(a), 31-25-808(1)(b), 31-25-816 and 31-25-817 Colorado Revised Statutes.

Fiscal Year Spending Information

Year	Fiscal Year Spending (FYS)	Federal Funds
2002 actual	\$0.00	\$0.00
2003 actual	\$0.00	\$0.00
2004 actual	\$0.00	\$0.00
2005 actual	\$0.00	\$0.00
2006 (estimate)	\$0.00	\$0.00
2007 (estimate)	\$0.00	\$0.00
2008 (estimate)	\$750,000.00	\$0.00

Overall dollar change to FYS (2002-2008) estimate	\$750,000
Overall percentage change to FYS (2002-2008)	\$ Infinity %
Overall dollar change to FYS plus federal funds (2002-2008)	\$750,000
Overall percentage change to FYS plus federal funds (2002-2008)	\$ Infinity %
Estimated annual amount of new tax increase	\$750,000
Estimated FYS without tax increase	\$0.00
Estimated FYS plus federal funds without tax increase	\$0.00

Summary of written comments for proposal:

- The DDA concept is used in numerous downtowns across the country. A DDA is a legal entity that uses finance tools to level the playing field for downtown development. Downtown has limited markets, and the costs of development and rehabilitating property in downtown is usually higher than in other parts of the city. DDA would operate within a defined geographical district – in this case, a proposed area of downtown Colorado Springs. The DDA is funded through two means; a property-based tax, capped at 5 mills, and capturing a portion of the increased values of property through a Tax Increment Finance or TIF pool. Over the next 30 years, that might yield about \$90 million to invest in downtown development. Without the TIF, these taxes would not be retained by downtown for use in downtown. It is similar to applying the parking enterprise funds to improve downtown parking and pedestrian areas. Downtown re-captures funds through DDA TIF to apply to additional improvements in downtown.

- These tools would be used by the DDA to help finance special projects: residential growth, arts and culture growth and job growth are the major goals of the existing Downtown Action Plan and the new Imagine Downtown plan. The DDA would help achieve these goals. In downtown, the process is more restricted, takes longer and costs 4 – 5 times more than typical suburban green field development. Land, access, financing, building codes, quality of materials – these are trickier variables for downtown development. With its revenues, a DDA can stimulate the market with financing incentives, facilitation of public-private partnerships, and other unique services.

- Approval of the DDA will result in a 5-mill levy on property as a funding base for the Authority. Additionally, approval of the DDA will result in Tax Increment Financing. But most important, approval of the DDA will result in new funds that will be applied to projects that will benefit all of downtown and the community. Decisions will be made by those who help fund the DDA: downtown residents, business and property owners who will govern the board of directors. The Board will take responsibility for hiring staff, setting policy, developing the actual programs and maintaining financial supervision.

- Without the DDA, it will be much more difficult to reach these goals and develop downtown, whether that means new construction or renovation of older properties or attracting new residents, business and members of the community. Recent surveys have shown that people like downtown but they want “more of it.” And throughout the Imagine Downtown community planning process, the consensus seems to be that yes, we have a good downtown, but we want a great downtown. And what will make a great downtown is more housing, more retail, more arts, and more economic vitality. And when that happens, downtown will be a truly significant economic engine for the entire city – not to mention a wonderful community resource.

Summary of written comments against proposal:
NO COMMENTS WERE FILED BY THE CONSTITUTIONAL DEADLINE.

**CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS
QUESTION 1-C**

Designated Election Official:
Kathryn M. Young, CMC/CERA
City Clerk
City of Colorado Springs
P. O. Box 1575, MC 110
Colorado Springs, CO 80901-1575

NOTICE OF ELECTION ON
A REFERRED MEASURE/TO INCREASE TAXES/TO INCREASE DEBT
CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO

Election Date: Tuesday, November 7, 2006 (MAIL BALLOT)
Election Hours: 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.
Ballot Title and Text: QUESTION NO. 1-C

“SHALL THE COLORADO SPRINGS DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (THE “AUTHORITY”), OR THE CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS FOR USE OF THE AUTHORITY FOR PURPOSES OTHER THAN ENTERPRISES, AND AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE, BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN, AND SPEND IN 2007 AND IN ALL SUBSEQUENT YEARS THEREAFTER WHATEVER AMOUNT IS COLLECTED ANNUALLY FROM ANY REVENUE SOURCES INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO TAX RECEIVED AS DESCRIBED IN SECTIONS 31-25-807(3), 31-25-816, AND 31-25-817 COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, FEES, RATES, TOLLS, RENTS, CHARGES, GRANTS, CONTRIBUTIONS, LOANS, INCOME, OR OTHER REVENUES IMPOSED, COLLECTED, OR AUTHORIZED BY LAW TO BE IMPOSED OR COLLECTED BY THE AUTHORITY OR BY THE CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS FOR THE USE OF THE AUTHORITY, AND SHALL SUCH REVENUES BE COLLECTED AND SPENT WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, OR SECTION 7-90 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS AND WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE AUTHORITY AND THE CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS AND SHALL ALL REVENUES GENERATED BY ANY AD VALOREM TAX LEVY UP TO FIVE MILLS COLLECTED, RETAINED, OR SPENT IN 2007 AND COLLECTED, RETAINED, OR SPENT IN ALL SUBSEQUENT YEARS THEREAFTER BE VOTER APPROVED AS AN INCREASED LEVY EXEMPT FROM THE TAX INCREASE LIMITATIONS CONTAINED IN SECTIONS 29-1-301 AND 29-1-302 COLORADO REVISED STATUTES?”

Summary of written comments for the proposal:

- If the eligible voters of the DDA wish to form the DDA, and if the voters also wish to levy the 5 mill property tax, then the voters would most likely wish for the DDA to have the local authority to set those mill levies annually, up to the 5 mill cap. Similarly, the voters would most likely wish to retain any revenues that the 5 mills or less generates each year, for application to the services and programs that will achieve the Downtown Development Plan.
- The DDA Board of Directors is empowered to make decisions each year on setting a budget and developing the program of work consistent with the downtown plan. Therefore, the Board should also be empowered to make use of the funds that voters have approved in this election.
- Thus, the DDA should not be subject to any revenue limitations but rather, to remove those restrictions as allowed under the TABOR law.

Summary of written comments against the proposal:

NO COMMENTS WERE FILED BY THE CONSTITUTIONAL DEADLINE.

**CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS
QUESTION 1-D**

Designated Election Official:
Kathryn M. Young, CMC/CERA
City Clerk
City of Colorado Springs
P. O. Box 1575, MC 110
Colorado Springs, CO 80901-1575

NOTICE OF ELECTION ON
A REFERRED MEASURE/TO INCREASE TAXES/TO INCREASE DEBT
CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO

Election Date: Tuesday, November 7, 2006 (MAIL BALLOT)
Election Hours: 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.
Ballot Title and Text: QUESTION NO. 1-D

“SHALL COLORADO SPRINGS DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY DEBT BE INCREASED \$90,000,000 WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF \$225,000,000 (MAXIMUM) FOR APPROVED PLANS OF DEVELOPMENT AND CONSTITUTING A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE?”

If approved, such debt may be issued for the Authority by the City of Colorado Springs for the purpose of paying the costs of creating and implementing any plan of development, including operating, maintaining, or otherwise providing systems, operations, and administration for the purpose of carrying out the objects and purposes for which the Authority was organized, together with all necessary, incidental and appurtenant properties, capital improvements, facilities, equipment, personnel, contractors, consultants, and costs and all land, easements, and appurtenances necessary or appropriate in connection therewith, such debt to bear interest at a net effective interest rate not in excess of seven and one-half percent (7.5%) per annum, such interest to be payable at such time or times and which may compound periodically as may be determined by the City Council, such debt to be sold in one series or more at a price above, below or equal to the principal amount of such debt and on such terms and conditions as the City Council may determine, including provisions for redemption of the debt prior to maturity with or without payment of premium, and which debt may be refinanced at a net effective interest rate not in excess of the maximum net effective interest rate without additional voter approval; such debt shall be paid from any legally available moneys of the Authority, including the revenues pledged or from taxes pledged pursuant to section 31-25-807(3)(b) Colorado Revised Statutes or both such revenues and taxes with such limitations as may be determined by the Board of the Authority and the City Council, and shall the proceeds of any such debt and the proceeds of such taxes, any other revenue used to pay such debt, and investment income thereon be collected and spent as a voter-approved revenue change, without regard to any spending, revenue-raising, or other limitation contained within Article X, Section 20 of the Colorado Constitution, and Section 7-90 of the Charter of the City of Colorado Springs and without limiting in any year the amount of other revenues that may be collected and spent by the Authority and the City of Colorado Springs.

Fiscal Year Spending Information

Year	Fiscal Year Spending (FYS)	Federal Funds
2002 actual	\$0.00	\$0.00
2003 actual	\$0.00	\$0.00
2004 actual	\$0.00	\$0.00
2005 actual	\$0.00	\$0.00
2006 (estimate)	\$0.00	\$0.00
2007 (estimate)	\$0.00	\$0.00
2008 (estimate)	\$750,000.00	\$0.00
Overall dollar change to FYS (2002-2008) estimate		\$750,000
Overall percentage change to FYS (2002-2008)		\$Infinity %
Overall dollar change to FYS plus federal funds (2002-2008)		\$750,000
Overall percentage change to FYS plus federal funds (2002-2008)		\$Infinity %
Principal amount of new debt to be issued		\$90,000,000
Maximum annual repayment cost of new debt		\$11,250,000
Maximum total repayment cost of new debt		\$225,000,000
Principal balance of current bonded debt		\$0.00
Maximum annual repayment cost of current debt		\$0.00
Total repayment cost of current debt		\$0.00

Summary of written comments for the proposal:

- The proposed Downtown Development Authority’s underlying intent is to create new financing mechanisms to encourage additional downtown development of all types. Smaller projects and large will be assisted by Tax Increment Financing, if approved. The bonding capacity of the TIF is estimated to be \$90 million over 30 years. That means \$90 million for re-investment into downtown that otherwise would not be captured for use in downtown.
- Voters should approve the TIF bonding capacity to insure that the TIF can be applied to downtown development projects, benefiting the downtown and broader community by insuring that downtown remains the community’s heart and soul.

Summary of written comments against the proposal:

NO COMMENTS WERE FILED BY THE CONSTITUTIONAL DEADLINE.

**TOWN OF PALMER LAKE
QUESTION 2H**

Designated Election Official:
DELLAGRAY
42 VALLEY CRESCENT
PALMER LAKE, COLORADO 80133
719-481-2953

NOTICE OF ELECTION TO INCREASE TAXES
TOWN OF PALMER LAKE
EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO

Election Date: Tuesday, November 7, 2006
Election Hours: 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.
Ballot Title and Text: QUESTION NO. 2H

SALES TAX INCREASE

SHALL THE TOWN OF PALMER LAKE, COLORADO'S SALES TAXES BE INCREASED BY APPROXIMATELY \$75,000 ANNUALLY, INCREASING SALES TAXES FROM 2.5% TO 3%, COMMENCING 2007 AND CONCLUDING 2017, FOR EXCLUSIVE USE FOR ESSENTIAL FIRE SERVICES TO INCLUDE, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE REPAYMENT OF DEBT AND THE HIRING OF PERSONNEL AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS ARE RAISED THEREAFTER, FROM THAT .5% SALES TAX, TO BE SPENT AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY, INCLUDING THE RESTRICTIONS OF ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND 29-1-301(1)(A), C.R.S., AND WITHOUT LIMITING OR AFFECTING THE COLLECTION OR EXPENDING OF ANY OTHER REVENUES?

FISCAL YEAR SPENDING INFORMATION

2006 (ESTIMATED)	\$645,885
2005 (ACTUAL)	\$621,525
2004 (ACTUAL)	\$603,564
2003 (ACTUAL)	\$585,744
2002 (ACTUAL)	\$571,065
2001 (ACTUAL)	\$559,849

Overall percentage change in fiscal year spending:	11.61%
Overall dollar amount of change:	\$75,000

Estimated maximum dollar amount of tax increase for 2007:	\$75,000
Estimated 2007 fiscal year spending without tax increase:	\$645,885

Summary of written comments for the proposal:

Palmer Lake Volunteer Fire Department has been serving the citizens of Palmer Lake since 1934. During this time it has been manned by your friends and neighbors, spending their own personal time in service and dedication to their community. During the 72 years of existence, Palmer Lake Volunteer Fire Department has been surviving on a small budget for many years. With the recent growth in the Town, resulting in an increased call volume, and a push to meet more federally mandated standards for procedures, training, and accreditation, the small budget is far from sufficient and getting worse every year. In addition to the hundreds of hours spent protecting the community and training, members spend many more hours on fund raisers to help supplement the budget. In spite of a low budget, the results of the commitment shown by your friends and neighbors through the years has resulted in an improved fire rating for homeowners as well as a safer town for all.

This ballot initiative, as written, proposes expiration after ten years. Taxes will commence from the year 2007 through 2016. The purpose of setting the timeframe at ten years is to ensure funding for payment of the lease/purchase debt for the 2002 American La France fire engine will be met through its duration. The budget increase from this ballot initiative will allow the Department to hire a part time administrative assistant to offload a portion of the large amount of paperwork required to keep a fire department operating. Many procedures currently in effect are 10 years old or more and desperately need updating, one being the Standard Operating Procedures. At this time, members are in the process of updating these procedures. This increase will also allow the Department to repair and maintain aging vehicles and improve some of the conditions in our over 70 year old fire station.

So, please support those who have been supporting you and your community by giving them the resources required to continue to provide the high level of service the Town of Palmer Lake has grown accustomed to receiving.

Summary of written comments against the proposal:

NO COMMENTS WERE FILED BY THE CONSTITUTIONAL DEADLINE.

**TOWN OF PALMER LAKE
QUESTION 2I**

DESIGNATED ELECTION OFFICIAL:
DELLAGRAY
42 VALLEY CRESCENT
PALMER LAKE, COLORADO 80133
719-481-2953

NOTICE OF ELECTION TO INCREASE TAXES
TOWN OF PALMER LAKE
EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO

Election Date: Tuesday, November 7, 2006
Election Hours: 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.
Ballot Title and Text: QUESTION NO. 2I

LAKE REVITALIZATION WATER CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BOND\LOAN

SHALL THE TOWN OF PALMER LAKE DEBT BE INCREASED \$450,000 WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF NOT TO EXCEED \$611,496 (SUCH AMOUNT BEING THE TOTAL PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST THAT COULD BE PAYABLE OVER THE MAXIMUM LIFE OF SAID DEBT); AND SHALL TOWN OF PALMER LAKE TAXES BE INCREASED \$61,149.60 ANNUALLY, OR BY SUCH LESSER ANNUAL AMOUNT AS MAY BE NECESSARY TO PROVIDE FOR THE PAYMENT OF SUCH TOWN DEBT: SUCH DEBT TO CONSIST OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OR OTHER MULTIPLE FISCAL YEAR FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS, ISSUED OR INCURRED FOR THE PURPOSE OF PAYING THE COSTS OF: (1) OUTFITTING THE D-3 WELL, (2) EXTENDING A LINE FOR LAKE REVITALIZATION AND TO EXTEND A LINE TO SOUTH MONUMENT CREEK FOR AUGMENTATION PURPOSES AND (3) OTHER LAKE REVITALIZATION IMPROVEMENTS AS NECESSARY; SUCH OBLIGATIONS TO BE ISSUED AT A MAXIMUM NET EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE OF 6.25% PER ANNUM, WHICH INTEREST MAY BE PAYABLE AT SUCH TIME OR TIMES AS MAY BE DETERMINED BY THE TOWN; SUCH OBLIGATIONS TO MATURE OR BE PAYABLE IN NOT MORE THAN 10 YEARS AFTER ISSUANCE; SUCH TAXES TO CONSIST OF AN AD VALOREM MILL LEVY IMPOSED WITHOUT LIMITATION OF RATE AND IN AMOUNTS SUFFICIENT TO PRODUCE THE ANNUAL INCREASE SET FORTH ABOVE OR SUCH LESSER AMOUNT AS MAY BE NECESSARY, OR TO BE IMPOSED WITH SUCH LIMITATIONS AS MAY BE DETERMINED BY THE TOWN, TO BE USED SOLELY FOR THE PURPOSE OF PAYING THE PRINCIPAL OF, PREMIUM, IF ANY, AND INTEREST ON THE TOWN'S OBLIGATIONS; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH OBLIGATIONS AND THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES, AND INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON, CONSTITUTE VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES AND BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY EXPENDITURE, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER STATUTORY OR CONSTITUTIONAL EXPENDITURE OR REVENUE-RAISING LIMITATION?

FISCAL YEAR SPENDING INFORMATION

2006 (ESTIMATED)	\$645,885
2005 (ACTUAL)	\$621,525
2004 (ACTUAL)	\$603,564
2003 (ACTUAL)	\$585,744
2002 (ACTUAL)	\$571,065
2001 (ACTUAL)	\$559,849

Overall percentage change in fiscal year spending:	9.5%
Overall dollar amount of change:	\$61,150

Estimated maximum dollar amount of tax increase for 2007:	\$61,150
Estimated 2007 fiscal year spending without tax increase:	\$645,885

Information of Current Bonded Debt:

Principal amount:	\$1,030,000
Maximum annual repayment cost:	\$159,577
Total repayment cost:	\$1,433,260

Information on Proposed Bonded\Loan Debt:

Principal amount:	\$450,000
Maximum annual repayment cost:	\$61,150
Total repayment cost:	\$611,496

Summary of written comments for the proposal:

This ballot issue is important milestone for the Town of Palmer Lake. The state of the lake has been deteriorating for several years now. The efforts of concerned citizens have brought a renewed sense of dedication to revitalizing the lake for the enjoyment of all. This ballot issue provides a means to accomplish a permanent solution to that revitalization.

The solution of utilizing water resources at the Town's disposal is straightforward. The Town owns a well that was dug with taxpayer's money. It has never been used for the production of drinking water, but it could serve the purpose of a source of water for the lake during periods of drought. It could also serve as an alternative source for the Town's requirement under current water laws to augment, meaning producing water that is sent "downstream" for users with more senior water rights.

MANITOU SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT 14 QUESTION 3B

Designated Election Official:

Tim Miller
Manitou Springs School District No. 14
405 El Monte Place
Manitou Springs, Colorado 80829
Telephone: (719) 685-2024

NOTICE OF ELECTION ON A REFERRED MEASURE
MANITOU SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT 14
EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO

Election Date: Tuesday, November 7, 2006

Election Hours: 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.

Ballot Title and Text: BALLOT ISSUE NO. 3B:

SHALL MANITOU SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 14 TAXES BE INCREASED \$1,000,000 ANNUALLY, OR SUCH LESSER AMOUNT AS IS PERMITTED UNDER THE SCHOOL FINANCE ACT OF 1994, AS AMENDED FROM TIME TO TIME, FOR THE PURPOSE OF FINANCING THE EXPENSES OF THE DISTRICT; AND SHALL THE MILL LEVY BE INCREASED OR DECREASED ANNUALLY IF NECESSARY TO RAISE SUCH DOLLAR AMOUNT, PROVIDED THAT THE DISTRICT'S TOTAL MILL LEVY FOR ALL PURPOSES SHALL NOT EXCEED 49 MILLS UNLESS OTHERWISE AUTHORIZED BY LAW, AND SUBJECT TO ALL THE FOLLOWING LIMITS AND CONDITIONS:

REVENUE FROM THE GENERAL FUND MILL LEVY OVERRIDE SHALL BE EXPENDED TO:

- CONTINUE TO ATTRACT AND RETAIN HIGH QUALITY STAFF; AND
- MAINTAIN THE DISTRICT'S EXISTING BUILDINGS AND GROUNDS

AND SHALL THE REVENUES FROM SUCH TAXES AND ANY EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH REVENUES BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?

Total District Fiscal Year Spending

Fiscal Year

2006-07 (estimated)	\$10,247,055
2005-06 (actual)	\$9,939,619
2004-05 (actual)	\$9,697,677
2003-04 (actual)	\$9,560,962
2002-03 (actual)	\$9,352,733

Overall percentage change from 2002-03 to 2006-07:	9.6%
Overall dollar change from 2002-03 to 2006-07:	\$894,322

Proposed Tax Increase

District Estimate of the Maximum Dollar Amount
of the Proposed Tax Increase For Fiscal Year 2007-2008
(the First Full Fiscal Year of the Proposed Tax Increase):

BALLOT ISSUE NO. 3B: \$1,000,000

District Estimate of 2007-2008 Fiscal Year Spending
Without Proposed Tax Increase: \$10,451,996

Summary of Written Comments FOR Ballot Issue No. 3B:

If we value our students as the greatest asset that a community has to offer, and if we decide that strong schools are a necessary component in ensuring the success and future well being of our children, then it is important that we support the funding of D14 at a level that makes us competitive with the surrounding school districts.

This is important to our children, is indispensable to our schools, and invaluable to our community. District 14's base teacher salary is the lowest in the surrounding area. In surrounding districts the median salary is just under \$30,000. D14 comes in dead last at \$28,280. Why would a new teacher come to D14 when neighboring districts are paying \$2,000 more? And when it comes to teachers with experience it gets down right pathetic. Starting salaries for teachers with a masters degree and 15 years experience are nearly \$5,000 less than the area median salary.

Some argue that there must be no harm in paying our teachers and staff less because most of D14's CSAP and ACT results are significantly better than the Colorado average. But, this ignores the fact that 25% of D14's teachers have retired since 2002. If we are to continue to strive for excellence and recruit quality teachers to replace outgoing teachers, our salaries and benefits must be competitive.

The mill levy will also be used for major maintenance needs such as roof repair and replacement, windows, athletic fields and paving. D14 has over \$50 million in buildings and grounds and if they are not going to be maintained then we might as well shut the doors.

This represents a cost savings to the Town of Palmer Lake. The revitalization also represents the potential for increased revenue for the Town through the attraction of businesses and the visitors who support those businesses. These factors can ensure the continued fiscal viability of the Town.

With the expiration of the 1988 Bond in December 2006, and with the passing of this ballot issue, the taxpayers will see no increase in their taxes. In fact there will be a slight decrease in their overall tax bill. The proposed issue is a loan and not a bond. As such, it can be repaid earlier than the terms of the loan with no repayment penalty. This can serve to reduce the overall impact on the taxpayers.

The burden on the taxpayer is not without some cost, but it is relative to the viability of the lake. The citizens must decide what the lake means to this town. It can either mean no new taxes. Or it can mean the restoration of its namesake, the restoration of the beauty and attractiveness of the center of the town, and the potential for attracting income that it does not currently have.

If you feel a sense of pride in the Town of Palmer Lake, please support this ballot initiative.

Summary of written comments against the proposal:

NO COMMENTS WERE FILED BY THE CONSTITUTIONAL DEADLINE.

CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN SCHOOL DISTRICT 12 QUESTION 3A

Designated Election Official:

Barb Sims
Cheyenne Mountain School District No. 12
1775 LaCleda Street
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80906
Telephone: (719) 475-6103

NOTICE OF ELECTION ON A REFERRED MEASURE
EL PASO COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 12
EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO

Election Date: Tuesday, November 7, 2006

Election Hours: 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.

Ballot Title and Text: BALLOT ISSUE NO. 3A:

WITHOUT IMPOSING ANY NEW TAXES OR ANY TAX RATE INCREASE, SHALL EL PASO COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 12 BE AUTHORIZED, FOR THE PURPOSE OF MAINTAINING THE QUALITY OF EDUCATION IN THE SCHOOL DISTRICT, TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND ALL REVENUES RECEIVED IN FISCAL YEAR 2004-05 AND THEREAFTER AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITATIONS OTHERWISE IMPOSED PURSUANT TO ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?

Summary of Written Comments FOR Ballot Issue No. 3A:

This is NOT a tax increase. A "YES" vote costs you nothing.

There are 178 school districts in Colorado and 173 have passed TABOR exemptions. Cheyenne Mountain School District is one of five districts left to do this and needs to do this in order to stay competitive with other Colorado school districts.

Revenue over the TABOR limit will be returned to the State of Colorado, not to the individual taxpayers of the district.

Of 178 school districts in Colorado, Cheyenne Mountain School District is 6th from the bottom in state per pupil funding. In the last 15 years district voters have approved three mill levy overrides to offset this level of funding. The district needs to keep every dollar of revenue that it presently generates in our school district for the kids in our school district.

Current TABOR limits will keep the Cheyenne Mountain School District from pursuing state grants as they are considered revenue.

Money earned from our food service and savings from our self-insured dental plan are considered revenue and count against our TABOR limit. Athletic fees and gate receipts from sporting events also count against our TABOR limits.

The amount returned to the state general fund will increase every year unless Cheyenne Mountain School District passes a TABOR exemption.

TABOR has done many good things but there have been some unintended consequences from this law. TABOR penalizes Cheyenne Mountain for good financial management and entrepreneurial activities.

Voters should grant the Cheyenne Mountain School District permission to keep revenues above the TABOR limits.

Summary of Written Comments AGAINST Ballot Issue No. 3A:

NO COMMENTS WERE FILED BY THE CONSTITUTIONAL DEADLINE.

There is a misconception that new construction and increased property values bring in more taxes for the schools. Because of TABOR, when the total assessed property values in D14 increase, the mill rate decreases.

Finally, this mill levy will not place a large burden on our pocket books. Although the mill rate would increase from 43 mills this year to 49 mills next year, it would still be less than the 51 mills we paid last year. The drop from last year to this year is because the 1988 \$7.8 million school construction bond was paid in full. So, for the average home valued at \$275,000 the tax bill will only increase \$28 over 2005 – not even 8 cents a day.

Manitou Springs School District 14 is an outstanding school system because of our community commitment to education. It is our responsibility to continue to support our children, their schools, and the teachers and staff in the district. This in turn directly benefits our community.

Summary of Written Comments AGAINST Ballot Issue No. 3B:

Manitou Springs is one of the best school systems in El Paso County, but that does not mean we have to pass this tax increase. It is not a question of pro-tax or anti-tax. It is not even a question of whether some teachers deserve a raise. Clearly we all know teachers and staff that are truly exceptional and they need our support and thanks and even a higher salary. No, this is a question of accountability.

The district maintains that it needs higher teacher salaries so that it can attract the best teachers. Then shouldn't they be willing to fire the bad teachers? To give raises to incompetent teachers is insulting to the many that work hard and contribute to the education of our children. Yet, there is no plan to either reward district teachers based on merit or dismiss those that fail. And let's be honest we all know bad teachers. Many local parents remove their children from Manitou Springs Middle School because of the low quality of teachers there, yet, the district does nothing to discipline or remove them.

It is not anti-education to demand excellence from those we employ and support nor is it anti-education to demand reasonable reforms of a public institution before rewarding it with our tax dollars.

And there are other considerations:

- The district maintains a schedule that is openly hostile to working parents.
- Even if you factor in three hours per night grading papers, teachers still work 500 fewer hours than most Americans according to recent Labor Department statistics
- Manitou Springs currently enjoys one of the area's lowest student-teacher ratios – a factor many educators said should result in higher test scores. However, Manitou test scores have stagnated in recent years.

We should not reward this incompetence. These issues and others must be resolved before we give the district more of our tax dollars.

**LEWIS-PALMER SCHOOL DISTRICT 38
QUESTION 3C, 3D, 3E**

Designated Election Official:

Joanne V. Jensen
Lewis-Palmer Consolidated School District No. 38
146 Jefferson Street, P.O. Box 40
Monument, Colorado 80132
Telephone: (719) 488-4700

NOTICE OF ELECTION TO INCREASE TAXES AND DEBT
ON A REFERRED MEASURE
LEWIS-PALMER CONSOLIDATED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 38
EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO

Election Date: Tuesday, November 7, 2006

Election Hours: 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.

Ballot Title and Text: BALLOT ISSUE NO. 3C:

SHALL THE LEWIS-PALMER SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 38 TAXES BE INCREASED BY \$362,000 BY THE IMPOSITION OF A MILL LEVY NOT TO EXCEED ONE (1.0) MILL IN THE 2007 TAX COLLECTION YEAR AND BY WHATEVER AMOUNTS AS ARE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER THROUGH AND INCLUDING TAX COLLECTION YEAR 2016, BY THE IMPOSITION OF A MILL LEVY NOT TO EXCEED ONE (1.0) MILL FOR THE CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION NEEDS OF MONUMENT ACADEMY, A CHARTER SCHOOL WITHIN THE DISTRICT, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, LAND ACQUISITION, BUILDING DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION, INCIDENTAL AND APPURTENANT FACILITIES, EQUIPMENT AND OTHER COSTS NECESSARY FOR OCCUPANCY BY PUPILS TO BE ENROLLED IN MONUMENT ACADEMY; AND SHALL THE REVENUES FROM SUCH TAXES AND ANY EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH REVENUES BE COLLECTED, RETAINED, AND SPENT AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?

Ballot Title and Text: BALLOT ISSUE NO. 3D:

SHALL LEWIS-PALMER CONSOLIDATED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 38 TAXES BE INCREASED \$2,000,000 FOR COLLECTION IN FISCAL YEAR 2008-2009 AND ANNUALLY THEREAFTER, BY AN ADDITIONAL PROPERTY TAX MILL LEVY IN EXCESS OF THE LEVY AUTHORIZED FOR THE DISTRICT'S GENERAL FUND, WITHOUT LIMITATION AS TO RATE, PURSUANT TO AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 22-54-108, C.R.S., SUCH ADDITIONAL TAXES TO BE DEPOSITED IN THE GENERAL FUND AND USED SOLELY FOR THE FOLLOWING:

- PROVIDING FUNDING IN FISCAL YEAR 2008-2009 AND IN EACH FISCAL YEAR THEREAFTER FOR ADDITIONAL OPERATING COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH OPENING AND OPERATING A SECOND HIGH SCHOOL FACILITY WITHIN THE DISTRICT

AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND ALL REVENUES FROM SUCH TAXES AND THE EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH REVENUES AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?

Ballot Title and Text: BALLOT ISSUE NO. 3E:

SHALL LEWIS-PALMER CONSOLIDATED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 38 DEBT BE INCREASED \$57,000,000, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF \$112,400,000 AND SHALL DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$5,005,000 ANNUALLY FOR THE PURPOSE OF (1) ACQUIRING, CONSTRUCTING OR PURCHASING BUILDINGS AND GROUNDS, (2) ENLARGING, IMPROVING, REMODELING, REPAIRING AND MAKING ADDITIONS TO SCHOOL BUILDINGS, (3) CONSTRUCTING OR ERECTING SCHOOL BUILDINGS; AND (4) EQUIPPING OR FURNISHING SCHOOL BUILDINGS, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE FOLLOWING:

- BUILDING A SECOND HIGH SCHOOL IN THE DISTRICT;
- MAKING IMPROVEMENTS TO LEWIS-PALMER HIGH SCHOOL;

AND SHALL THE MILL LEVY BE INCREASED IN ANY YEAR, WITHOUT LIMITATION OF RATE AND IN AN AMOUNT SUFFICIENT TO PAY THE PRINCIPAL OF, PREMIUM, IF ANY, AND INTEREST ON SUCH DEBT OR ANY REFUNDING DEBT (OR TO CREATE A RESERVE FOR SUCH PAYMENT), SUCH DEBT TO BE EVIDENCED BY THE ISSUANCE OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS BEARING INTEREST AT A MAXIMUM NET EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE NOT TO EXCEED 6.5%; SUCH BONDS TO BE SOLD IN ONE SERIES OR MORE, FOR A PRICE ABOVE OR BELOW THE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF SUCH SERIES, ON TERMS AND CONDITIONS, AND WITH SUCH MATURITIES AS PERMITTED BY LAW AND AS THE DISTRICT MAY DETERMINE, INCLUDING PROVISIONS FOR REDEMPTION OF THE BONDS PRIOR TO MATURITY WITH OR WITHOUT PAYMENT OF THE PREMIUM OF NOT TO EXCEED THREE PERCENT; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO ISSUE DEBT TO REFUND THE DEBT AUTHORIZED IN THIS QUESTION PROVIDED THAT SUCH REFUNDING DEBT, ALONG WITH ANY OTHER DEBT INCURRED BY THE DISTRICT PURSUANT TO THIS AUTHORIZATION, IS ISSUED ON TERMS WHICH DO NOT EXCEED THE PRINCIPAL, INTEREST AND REPAYMENT COSTS AUTHORIZED IN THIS QUESTION; AND SHALL SUCH TAX REVENUES AND THE EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH BOND PROCEEDS AND TAX REVENUES BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?

Total District Fiscal Year Spending

Fiscal Year

2006-07 (estimated)	\$50,480,190
2005-06 (actual)	\$48,537,696
2004-05 (actual)	\$40,377,460
2003-04 (actual)	\$38,385,130
2002-03 (actual)	\$32,424,960

Overall percentage change from 2002-03 to 2006-07	55.7%
Overall dollar change from 2002-03 to 2006-07	\$18,055,230

Proposed Tax Increases

District Estimate of the Maximum Dollar Amount of the Proposed Tax Increase For Fiscal Year 2007-2008 (the First Full Fiscal Year of the Proposed Tax Increase):

BALLOT ISSUE NO. 3C:	\$362,000
----------------------	-----------

District Estimate of the Maximum Dollar Amount of the Proposed Tax Increase For Fiscal Year 2008-2009 (the First Full Fiscal Year of the Proposed Tax Increase):

BALLOT ISSUE NO. 3D: \$2,000,000

District Estimate of the Maximum Dollar Amount of the Proposed Tax Increase For Fiscal Year 2007-2008 (the First Full Fiscal Year of the Proposed Tax Increase):

BALLOT ISSUE NO. 3E: \$5,005,000

District Estimate of 2007-2008 Fiscal Year Spending Without Proposed Tax Increases:
\$51,994,596

District Estimate of 2008-2009 Fiscal Year Spending Without Proposed Tax Increases:

\$53,294,461

Information on District's Proposed Debt

BALLOT ISSUE NO. 3E:

Principal Amount of Proposed Bonds:	Not to exceed \$ 57,000,000
Maximum Annual District Repayment Cost:	Not to exceed \$ 5,005,000
Total District Repayment Cost:	Not to exceed \$112,400,000

Information on District's Current Debt *

Principal Amount Outstanding Debt:	\$35,299,980.00
Maximum Annual Repayment Cost:	\$17,610,576.30
Remaining Total Repayment Cost:	\$52,910,556.30

*Excluded from debt are enterprise and annual appropriation obligations.

Summary of Written Comments FOR Ballot Issue No. 3C:

The next generation of Americans will face numerous challenges requiring a highly-educated population. 3C is a small, but important piece of a larger struggle to properly educate and equip the next generation for these challenges. By properly funding Monument Academy we as a community reaffirm the belief that every child deserves a place to learn and a single educational approach cannot fit all children. 3C should be passed as a commitment to the future of our community, state and nation.

More and more families are moving into the area and having enough space to accommodate them is crucial. We could serve so many more students once we expand into a larger and more up-to-date facility. Issue 3C will help.

No children in our school district should have to attend classes in trailers. Our tradition of educational excellence is at stake. All of our district's children deserve a great education, teachers deserve good classrooms, parents deserve great schools to choose from, residents deserve a great school district which attracts homebuyers to live here. Vote to invest in all of our futures.

Yes on 3C supports a new K-12 facility for Monument Academy, over 12% of D38 students. Having a fully operational charter school not only benefits the students that go there, but it relieves the crowding in the other schools as well. Fewer students in your school means your child is getting a better education. Yes on 3C enables MA to build their permanent facility on a new site, alleviating traffic on Woodmoor Drive. Enable MA to continue its fine work in a building worthy of what goes on inside by voting YES on 3C.

My daughters receive an outstanding education from top notch educators at Monument Academy, but they have to be escorted outside and across the parking lot to use the restroom because the classroom mobile units do have those accommodations. MA is an outstanding choice. Support ballot measure 3C.

A YES vote on 3C moves the charter school away from the proposed second high school site, alleviates traffic for Woodmoor residents, and supports ALL D38 students! Other school districts share bond or mill levy override monies with their charter schools; however, District 38 does not share bond or mill levy override monies with Monument Academy. The Mill Levy will be for 10 years and will cost the average homeowner \$25 per year, or slightly more than \$2 per month for 10 years. Currently the school is separated into four different locations. Passage of 3C would allow the school to build a single campus.

Operating funds will cover the balance of funds needed for construction.

Monument Academy has been in existence for 11 years, with a proven track record of success (e.g. MA 3rd graders received the highest CSAP scores in reading last year in the district, and in all El Paso County) in spite of temporary and barely adequate facilities. The unfair housing conditions could be mitigated to some degree by passage of 3C.

Summary of Written Comments AGAINST Ballot Issue No. 3C:

Monument Academy Charter School (MA) is asking for a very expensive tax increase. The mill levy override tax is for 1 mill. This year 1 mill equals a \$25 tax increase on the average priced home. What will a 1 mill tax be next year? MA cannot tell you because the amount of taxes you pay to MA will keep going up as the value of your house increases. There is no limit to the amount of tax revenue MA can collect in the next ten years. Next year the \$25 in taxes for 3C will benefit only 627 students. 3D, the mill levy override, and 3E, the bond, for the second high school will benefit 1,920 students for only \$35. MA is asking for 228% more money per student than the second high school.

Monument Academy is asking for unlimited funds for ten years and does not tell you how they are going to spend it. MA has had 8 different principals in 11 years. Who is going to be accountable for the large amount of money they collect? Will the money even stay at the school? MA must improve its track record before we should entrust them with our hard earned tax dollars.

In 2005-2006 MA enrolled 690 students in kindergarten through 11th grade. The average number of students per grade equaled 57.5. In 2006-2007 MA enrolled 627 in kindergarten through 12th grade. The average number of students per grade equaled 48. MA is not growing; it is shrinking so Monument Academy Charter School does not need more funds.

Summary of Written Comments FOR Ballot Issue No. 3D:

Operating the second high school will increase the per-pupil operating expenses because it will increase the district's overhead. Additional administrators, counselors, and other support personnel will be required at the new school. The mill levy override will allow the district to collect an additional \$2,000,000 per year for the operation of the school. And the collections can never exceed the \$2,000,000 requested in the override. We need to build and operate a second high school for the benefit of all in this community. Vote yes on the mill levy override (3D) and the bond issue (3E).

It isn't often a world-class bargain comes along. Here's one to consider. If someone offered you the chance to dramatically increase the value of your home for \$26 would you do it? The simple facts: The average household would pay just \$26 next year to cover propositions 3D and 3E combined. Real estate experts state public school quality is one of the most important determinants of house price. Housing economists have concluded that strong schools add 44% to a house's market value.

This is perhaps the cheapest way you will ever find to add market value to your home. Consider how much you spend per year on flower beds or water for your lawn. More than \$26? Do you think it can add as much as 44% to your home's value?

If you don't believe the research showing that school quality drives up house values, then think about this: as families consider new homes in Jackson Creek, or want to buy the home that you are selling, what is the first question they ask? Would you like your new neighbors to be families who are proud of our district schools and moved here to attend them, or families who don't care about school quality?

We need a new school. Our current school exceeded maximum capacity two years ago, is teaching in modular extensions, and has new families rapidly moving into the area. We need a solution. And we need it urgently.

Take a moment to think about what \$26 per year means to an average household in Tri-Lakes (house market value of \$315,000). It means cutting out one gourmet cup of coffee every eight weeks. It means one less Egg McMuffin per month.

Consider the gains. If a less crowded high school system added just one percent to our home values, the average home would increase in value \$3,150. If someone offered you a \$3,150 value for a cost of \$26, wouldn't you take it? Continuing to pay for that value in subsequent annual installments of \$35 for 20 years would cost \$726 for a \$3,150 value. Remember that we were being cautious about the 1% gain in home values. Instead, housing economists estimate gains up to 44 times that amount for a strong school system. So go ahead. Invest a small amount in the market value of your home. Vote YES for 3D and 3E.

Summary of Written Comments AGAINST Ballot Issue No. 3D:

In 2004 District 38 asked for \$750,000 per year to operate and maintain a second high school. In Issue 3D they ask for \$2 million per year, a 167% increase. District 38 is asking for more than is needed at this time. Don't be misled. Where is the rest going, you don't have a choice! VOTE NO on 3D.

This \$2 million tax increase per year is FOREVER, whether it is found to be needed for not. We have no way to petition to remove it. Read carefully, they ask to collect, retain and spend earnings from investments from this revenue as a voter approved tax increase. This mill levy override is supposed to be used to operate and maintain the new high school but they are expecting to have earnings from the excess to use for whatever. This is not fiscally responsible. VOTE NO on 3D.

The amount of tax revenue needed to pay this \$2 million annually is closer to \$75 per year on a \$315,000 assessed home. The school district quotes a tax increase lower than this because their optimistic projections would actually yield you a tax decrease if you VOTE NO on 3D.

The School District's approach of asking for the most they think they can get is fiscally irresponsible. VOTE NO on 3D.

By building a second HS instead of expanding the existing HS, District 38 will duplicate operating expense (staff, custodians, utilities, etc.). Not the best use of your tax dollars. Vote NO on 3D.

Safety is a primary concern for our students, as the High School will be located within 500 feet of I-25 and Monument Hill, where speed limits are in excess of 75 mph.

Students within 1/2 mile must walk to school and will do so on Monument Hill Road and through Woodmoor. Woodmoor streets do not meet minimum El Paso County standards. There are no sidewalks, and the students will be negotiating the roads with new drivers. This is not safe!

Monument Hill Road is designated as the primary access point to the High School. Major infrastructure modifications are required which have not been estimated by the District or CDOT. Additional tax dollars will be required.

An average of one truck per hour carrying Hazardous Material travel Monument Hill on I-25. Two weigh stations are located within 1/4 mile of the proposed location. How will the students be evacuated if major Haz-Mat accident? Lack of due diligence for student safety? VOTE NO on 3D.

The Fire Department must enhance its training to recruit and keep new volunteer firefighters. Approximately 80% of the Fire Department's responses are to emergency medical incidents. The firefighters' skills must reflect this fundamental change in the nature of emergency services. We must support the Fire Department's goal of eventually having all of its firefighters possess at least Emergency Medical Technician (EMT) and Firefighter I certifications, and hazardous materials training.

Like other fire districts in the State, the Fire Department is authorized to establish a pension fund for its volunteer firefighters; yet, since it was created 18 years ago, the Fire Department has not had the money to establish a pension fund. Our volunteer firefighters—who do so much for our community—deserve this basic benefit!! The additional money will enable the Fire Department to establish a pension fund.

The community expects the Fire Department to provide high quality, life-saving emergency services in minutes. The Fire Department's volunteer firefighters have done their best to meet the community's increasing needs; however, we cannot expect them to provide these critical services with old, outdated or inadequate fire trucks and equipment.

Please support our Fire Department by voting "yes" on Ballot Issue 5A!!!

Summary of Written Comments AGAINST Ballot Issue 5A:

Any voter that has read the local newspaper or taken in a Board meeting over recent years knows the Peyton Fire Protection District has been in constant turmoil. There have been 19 different Directors on the Board, three or four different Fire Chiefs and who knows how many volunteers join then quit the Fire Department since 2000. There has been state investigation of a Board member, missing and unaccounted-for taxpayer funds and equipment, state-level audits, suspension of revenue disbursements by the County Treasurer, missing, incomplete or official documents never filed and on and on. Now this Fire District wants the voters to approve a 300% increase in the mill levy. Three of the five members of the District Board that voted for this tax increase have since resigned after firing the latest Fire Chief! Is this the District we want to hand a 300% tax increase? No way! Vote "NO" on issue 5A.

The open Board positions have just been filled within a few weeks of the election. The District has started looking for a new Fire Chief. The books and documentation are being slowly fixed and new volunteers being recruited. That is all to the positive. However, the track record of the District for years has been a poor one. Hoping for improvement is no substitute for lack of a track record. When only two of the Board members who voted to put a tax increase on the ballot and the volunteers who run the Fire Department don't have enough faith in the District to stay and fix the problems, it is surprising that the current Board did not pull question 5A from the ballot. Now is not the time to reward the District for poor behavior. The voters can make the right choice for them. Vote "NO" on issue 5A.

Due to its poor performance and lack of documentation the District failed its latest ISO inspection and is now on probation for the next 12 months. If it doesn't pass the ISO inspection then the District will have its insurance rating dropped from a 9 to a 10. That is the lowest possible rating for a Fire District.

Since becoming a tax funded Fire District in 1988, the District has been a poor Shepard of those funds and has a very poor record for showing any progress or improvement. Until the essential people, organization and processes are in place more money should not be awarded to such a District. Would any business have the temerity to triple its prices while delivering ever-worsening products and services? There is only one answer until dedicated people, some semblance of minimal organization and process are in place and properly functioning for a reasonable period of time. Vote "NO" on issue 5A.

**FALCON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT
QUESTION 5B, 5C**

DESIGNATED ELECTION OFFICIAL

Alex Donnell
Falcon Fire Protection District
7030 North Meridian Road
Falcon, Colorado 80831
Telephone: 719-495-4050

NOTICE OF ELECTION TO INCREASE TAXES AND DEBT
ON A REFERRED MEASURE
FALCON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT
EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO

Election Date: Tuesday, November 7, 2006

Election Hours: 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.

Ballot Title and Text: BALLOT ISSUE 5B :

SHALL FALCON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$416,000 (FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR DOLLAR INCREASE) ANNUALLY, AND BY SUCH ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER, BY INCREASING ITS EXISTING PROPERTY TAXES BY 1.98 MILLS, COMMENCING WITH LEVY YEAR 2006 FOR COLLECTION IN CALENDAR YEAR 2007, TO BE USED BY THE DISTRICT FOR OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE AND CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING IMPROVED FIREFIGHTING, RESCUE AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES THROUGH A PAID AND VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT, THE REVENUE FROM SUCH TAXES AND ANY EARNINGS ON SUCH TAXES CONSTITUTING A PERMANENT

VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE WITHIN THE MEANING OF ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITATIONS SET FORTH IN SECTION 29-1-301 OF THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, AND ANY OTHER LAW?

Ballot Title and Text: BALLOT ISSUE 5C:

SHALL FALCON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT DEBT BE INCREASED \$7,500,000 WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF \$14,000,000 AND SHALL DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$750,000 ANNUALLY TO PAY THE DEBT INCURRED TO PROVIDE PROPER FIRE PROTECTION, EMERGENCY RESPONSE AND SAFETY FOR THE RESIDENTS, PROPERTY OWNERS AND BUSINESSES OF THE DISTRICT BY IMPROVING THE FIRE SAFETY AND EMERGENCY RESPONSE CAPABILITY OF THE DISTRICT'S PAID AND VOLUNTEER FIREFIGHTERS INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO:

- ACQUIRING, CONSTRUCTING AND EQUIPPING A NEW FIRE STATION IN DISTRICT NO 4 (CLAREMONT RANCH) IN ORDER TO DECREASE RESPONSE TIME TO THE SOUTHERN PORTION OF THE DISTRICT;
- ACQUIRING, CONSTRUCTING AND EQUIPPING A NEW FIRE STATION IN DISTRICT NO. 1 (WOODMEN HILLS AREA) IN ORDER TO DECREASE RESPONSE TIME BY BEING MORE CENTRALLY LOCATED IN THE HIGH CALL VOLUME AREA;
- REMODELING AND RENOVATING THE EXISTING FIRE STATION IN DISTRICT NO. 2 (BLACK FOREST RESERVE AREA) IN ORDER TO DECREASE RESPONSE TIME TO THE NORTHERN PORTION OF THE DISTRICT;
- ACQUIRING, CONSTRUCTING AND EQUIPPING A NEW ADMINISTRATION AND TRAINING CENTER;
- ACQUIRING, CONSTRUCTING AND/OR PURCHASING REAL PROPERTY, FACILITIES, ADDITIONAL FIRE PROTECTION APPARATUS AND EQUIPMENT, INCLUDING FIRE ENGINES TO ENSURE THE SAFETY OF THE COMMUNITY.

AND SHALL THE MILL LEVY BE INCREASED IN ANY YEAR, WITHOUT LIMITATION OF RATE AND IN AN AMOUNT SUFFICIENT TO PAY THE PRINCIPAL OF, PREMIUM, IF ANY, AND INTEREST ON SUCH DEBT OR ANY REFUNDING DEBT (OR TO CREATE A RESERVE FOR SUCH PAYMENT), SUCH DEBT TO BE EVIDENCED BY THE ISSUANCE OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS BEARING INTEREST AT A MAXIMUM NET EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE NOT TO EXCEED 6.00%; SUCH BONDS TO BE SOLD IN ONE SERIES OR MORE, FOR A PRICE ABOVE OR BELOW THE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF SUCH SERIES, ON TERMS AND CONDITIONS, AND WITH SUCH MATURITIES AS PERMITTED BY LAW AND AS THE DISTRICT MAY DETERMINE, INCLUDING PROVISIONS FOR REDEMPTION OF THE BONDS PRIOR TO MATURITY WITH OR WITHOUT PAYMENT OF THE PREMIUM OF NOT TO EXCEED THREE PERCENT; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO ISSUE DEBT TO REFUND THE DEBT AUTHORIZED IN THIS QUESTION PROVIDED THAT SUCH REFUNDING DEBT, ALONG WITH ANY OTHER DEBT INCURRED BY THE DISTRICT PURSUANT TO THIS AUTHORIZATION, IS ISSUED ON TERMS WHICH DO NOT EXCEED THE PRINCIPAL, INTEREST AND REPAYMENT COSTS AUTHORIZED IN THIS QUESTION; AND SHALL THE EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH BOND PROCEEDS AND TAX REVENUES BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?

Total District Fiscal Year Spending

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	
2006 (estimated)	\$1,269,812
2005 (actual)	\$ 993,843
2004 (actual)	\$ 883,050
2003 (actual)	\$ 805,489
2002 (actual)	\$ 705,686
Overall percentage change from 2002 to 2006	79.94 %
Overall dollar change from 2002 to 2006	\$564,126

Proposed Tax Increase

District Estimate of the Maximum Dollar Amount of the Proposed Tax Increase For Fiscal Year 2007 (the First Full Year of the Proposed Tax Increase):

BALLOT ISSUE 5A:	\$416,000
BALLOT ISSUE 5B:	\$750,000

District Estimate of 2007 Fiscal Year Spending Without Proposed Tax Increase: \$1,472,982

Information on District's Proposed Debt

FALCON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BALLOT ISSUE B:

Principal Amount of Proposed Bonds:	Not to exceed \$ 7,500,000
Maximum Annual District Repayment Cost:	Not to exceed \$ 750,000
Total District Repayment Cost:	Not to exceed \$14,000,000

Information on District's Current Debt ¹

Principal Amount Outstanding Debt:	\$ 0
Maximum Annual Repayment Cost:	\$ 0
Remaining Total Repayment Cost:	\$ 0

¹ Excluded from debt are enterprise and annual appropriation obligations.

Summary of Written Comments FOR Ballot Issue 5B:

There are many important reasons why you should vote YES on Ballot Issues 5B and 5C:

Passage of 5B and 5C is about saving lives and saving money.

Compared to our neighboring fire districts, Falcon has the largest service area—over 130 square miles—and the most emergency calls. Our growth is stretching our fire district's resources to the limit.

You may have a fire station located near your home, but if the firefighters are out on a call in another part of the district, that's a problem. Ballot Issues 5B and 5C address this problem.

Currently, there is no training facility in the district, and therefore firefighters must train outside the district (if those other facilities are even available). Our firefighters need an in-district facility at which they can train for emergency response. Ballot Issues 5B and 5C address this problem.

Our fire district is often faced with situations in which only one or two firefighters can respond within an acceptable amount of time. Our personnel and facilities are spread too thin. If there's a house fire, or another type of emergency requiring more than one or two emergency responders, not having enough personnel is a big problem. Ballot Issue 5B and 5C address this problem.

Passage of Ballot Issues 5B and 5C is NOT just about better serving new developments in our district. Their passage will help maintain emergency response times for every resident district-wide.

How can the passage of 5B and 5C save district homeowners money? Home insurance premiums are, in part, based on a fire district's ISO safety rating. If the district's ISO safety rating improves, as a result of new fire stations being built and staffed, many residents will benefit financially from lower insurance premiums. The amount of these insurance premium savings could substantially outweigh the tax impact of the bond and mill levy.

Our emergency response needs are not going away. If we wait to eventually build new stations, it's simply going to cost us more money. Construction costs continue to increase. Passage of Ballot Issues 5B (mill levy) and 5C (bond issue) will allow for the construction and operation of two new fire stations that our community desperately needs. The two new stations will be located in the Claremont Ranch (District 4) and Woodmen Hills (District 1) areas. The station in the Black Forest Reserve area (District 2) will be repaired and upgraded. The bond issue will also pay for a training facility, eliminating the need for our emergency responders to be outside the district, which will positively impact response times.

Our entire community will be safer with the passage of 5B and 5C. That's the bottom line. Vote YES on 5B and 5C!

Summary of Written Comments AGAINST Ballot Issue 5B:

The District is demanding tax increases much faster than inflation PLUS growth. Their admissions on similar tax increases, which lost big this May (and in 2004), are posted on our local county commissioner's website, which is his name, then dot com. Please read those official documents, then vote "NO" on 5B.

The District actually filed false and libelous criminal charges (twice) against our county commissioner for opposing their May tax increase. Those frivolous and malicious charges were quickly rejected. (The District was trying to intimidate our commissioner him into silence about these latest massive tax increase proposals.)

Today's tax is 5.712 mills (more than double Peyton's tax). These will be HUGE percentage increases!

Higher taxes hurt the economy and discourage job creation. Taxes are almost 50% of incomes now; saving one tax dollar is like a \$2 pay raise. Colorado local taxes are among the highest in America.

Study their 5-year spending history. Has your revenue grown that fast? Where did the money go?

Property taxes hurt those on fixed incomes, particularly seniors. Why not bill fire, health, and auto insurance companies for District service calls? Taxpayers are now subsidizing big insurance companies. Why not charge impact fees on developers? We subsidize developers, too.

2005 revenue (less illegal lease purchase money) is \$994,594. In 1996, it was only \$120,955. That's 8.22 TIMES its level 10 years ago. Even without these new demands, their mailed May election notice said the district will collect \$1,472,982 next year, UP 48% IN TWO YEARS!

The money is for multiple new buildings. The existing station is perfectly adequate. How long after they get these presents until they ask voters for huge salary increases to STAFF these extra buildings?

Voters in 2000 approved a property tax rate increase of 111%. Nevertheless, the fire chief said these new issues, 5B and 5C, won't even solve his "problem" and, win or lose, he will return every election for more. Why vote for a tax increase that doesn't solve anything? Remember, they get huge increases from new homes and businesses every year. That more than covers their increased call costs.

What OTHER property besides the ones named, is involved here? What is their secret agenda? Under these two vague ballot issues, they can buy anything they want!

This is called a FIRE department, but its actual fire calls as a percentage of all calls is in single digits. Why? Fire prevention is more popular with voters than sending multiple fire trucks to fender benders.

This vague tax increase is FOREVER. You cannot petition to remove it because you now have no legal right to petition special districts! (One more reason to support Amendment 38--Petition Rights--this fall.)

Is FFD planning to sell its existing station—OUR property? To whom? For how much? Why secrecy?

What has FFD done with its EIGHT-FOLD increase in revenue during the past 10 years? They won't tell you. There is no accountability. Vote "NO" on issue 5B.

Summary of Written Comments FOR Ballot Issue 5C:

There are many important reasons why you should vote YES on Ballot Issues 5B and 5C:

Passage of 5B and 5C is about saving lives and saving money.

Compared to our neighboring fire districts, Falcon has the largest service area—over 130 square miles—and the most emergency calls. Our growth is stretching our fire district's resources to the limit.

You may have a fire station located near your home, but if the firefighters are out on a call in another part of the district, that's a problem. Ballot Issues 5B and 5C address this problem.

Currently, there is no training facility in the district, and therefore firefighters must train outside the district (if those other facilities are even available). Our firefighters need an in-district facility at which they can train for emergency response. Ballot Issues 5B and 5C address this problem.

Our fire district is often faced with situations in which only one or two firefighters can respond within an acceptable amount of time. Our personnel and facilities are spread too thin. If there's a house fire, or another type of emergency requiring more than one or two emergency responders, not having enough personnel is a big problem. Ballot Issue 5B and 5C address this problem.

Passage of Ballot Issues 5B and 5C is NOT just about better serving new developments in our district. Their passage will help maintain emergency response times for every resident district-wide.

How can the passage of 5B and 5C save district homeowners money? Home insurance premiums are, in part, based on a fire district's ISO safety rating. If the district's ISO safety rating improves, as a result of new fire stations being built and staffed, many residents will benefit financially from lower insurance premiums. The amount of these insurance premium savings could substantially outweigh the tax impact of the bond and mill levy.

Our emergency response needs are not going away. If we wait to eventually build new stations, it's simply going to cost us more money. Construction costs continue to increase.

Passage of Ballot Issues 5B (mill levy) and 5C (bond issue) will allow for the construction and operation of two new fire stations that our community desperately needs. The two new stations will be located in the Claremont Ranch (District 4) and Woodmen Hills (District 1) areas. The station in the Black Forest Reserve area (District 2) will be repaired and upgraded. The bond issue will also pay for a training facility, eliminating the need for our emergency responders to be outside the district, which will positively impact response times.

Our entire community will be safer with the passage of 5B and 5C. That's the bottom line. Vote YES on 5B and 5C!

Summary of Written Comments AGAINST Ballot Issue 5C:

The District is demanding long-term debt. The fire chief's answers on a similar debt increase, which lost BIG this May (and in 2004), is posted on our local county commissioner's website, which is his name, then dot com. Please read those documents, then vote "NO" on 5C.

The District actually filed false and libelous criminal charges (twice) against our county commissioner for opposing their May debt increase; those frivolous and malicious charges were quickly rejected. (The District was trying to intimidate our commissioner him into silence about this latest massive debt increase.)

This is both a debt increase and a tax increase. Why not vote on those two concepts separately? People borrow money based on existing revenue all the time. Note the tax increases "without limitation."

They want to impose debt (bondage) on our children for many years. You cannot change your mind after the election and get it removed. Children will pay for, but can't vote on, our overspending.

Why not charge impact fees on developers? We subsidize developers for costs their customers created. Infrastructure should be part of the price of houses, not an afterthought stuck with taxpayers.

With about 12,000 active voters in the district, your personal, individual debt is over \$1,150. It is twice that for a couple. For what? The basics should not cost extra.

Study their 5-year spending history. Has your revenue grown that fast? Where did the money go? Revenue is growing fast enough to pay for both operating costs and capital construction needs, without 20-year debt.

Look at the millions that taxpayers would pay in interest, which builds nothing. What a waste! Governments should balance budgets, not deficit spend like 5C. This is why Amendment 38 offers local petition rights

The debt is for multiple new buildings. The existing station is perfectly adequate. How long after they get these toys until they ask voters for huge salary increases to STAFF these extra buildings? A year or two?

This debt includes bond dealer profits. We pay interest on that too, which is tax-free income to rich Investors buying bonds you repay with your hard-earned taxable income.

What OTHER property besides the ones named, is involved here? What is their secret agenda? Under this vague ballot issue, they can buy anything they want!

The District has FIVE secret multi-year debts not disclosed in their election notice. They put YOU in debt for a current balance of \$1,193,297, and REFUSED to let you vote on it. When voters say "NO," as they have twice, governments should not say "We're going to do it anyway" (like the county jail and courthouse debt). These debts violate voter approval requirements in the constitution's Taxpayer's Bill of Rights, which all elected officials must swear to uphold. Tell them to live on their very generous, rapidly-growing budget.

This new debt is not to refinance the old debt we never voted on. It is \$14 MILLION additional debt.

Vote NO on 5C. Ask your neighbors to do the same.

**TRIVIEW METROPOLITAN DISTRICT
QUESTION 5D**

DESIGNATED ELECTION OFFICIAL

Dale Hill
Triview Metropolitan District
174 North Washington
P.O. Box 849
Monument, Colorado 80132
Telephone: (719) 488-6868

NOTICE OF ELECTION ON A REFERRED MEASURE
TRIVIEW METROPOLITAN DISTRICT
EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO

Election Date: Tuesday, November 7, 2006
Election Hours: 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.
Ballot Title and Text: BALLOT ISSUE NO. 5D:

COMMENCING JANUARY 1, 2007 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2017, SHALL TRIVIEW METROPOLITAN DISTRICT (WITHOUT INCREASING EXISTING TAX RATES OR IMPOSING A NEW TAX) BE AUTHORIZED, FOR THE PRINCIPAL PURPOSE OF PAYING DOWN THE DISTRICT'S DEBT SOONER, TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE PURSUANT TO ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND REGARDLESS OF THE PROVISIONS OF ANY OTHER LAW, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION THE 5.5% PROPERTY TAX REVENUE LIMIT OF 29-1-301, C.R.S.,

(1) ALL REVENUES ACCOUNTED FOR IN THE DISTRICT'S GENERAL FUND SUCH AS PROPERTY TAXES, SPECIFIC OWNERSHIP TAXES, SALES TAXES, PROPERTY TAXES AND OTHER REVENUES RECEIVED FROM THE TOWN OF MONUMENT, IMPACT FEES, GRANT REVENUES, AND INVESTMENT INCOME, AND

(2) IN ANY YEAR IN WHICH THE DISTRICT'S WATER AND SEWER UTILITY DOES NOT QUALIFY AS AN ENTERPRISE, REVENUES ACCOUNTED FOR IN THE ENTERPRISE FUND, SUCH AS WATER AND SEWER USER FEES, TAP FEES, INCLUSION FEES, WATER REUSE FEES, GRANTS, AND INVESTMENT INCOME?

Summary of Written Comments FOR Ballot Issue No. 5D:

PRO Statement for Triview Metropolitan District's November 2006 Election

The Triview Metropolitan District (the District) was formed in 1985 and annexed by the town of Monument in 1987. Approximately 60% of the Town's land mass and 50% of the Town's residents live in the District. The District is asking its voters to allow the District to retain and spend the revenues it earns for a limited eleven year period in order to pay down its long term debt at the earliest possible time to reduce the tax payers' cost of borrowing and potentially to later reduce the property taxes in the District. The limited, eleven year period is the time frame over which the District would most likely incur a liability to return a portion of its revenues under existing statutes to the taxpayers and thereby inhibiting its ability to efficiently pay down its long-term debt and simultaneously provide essential public services to the District residents. The majority of the planned municipal development (purpose of the District) will have been completed during this same eleven year period.

The District's current outstanding long-term debt was incurred to provide the residents of the District essential municipal services such as roads, traffic control, water, sewer, parks and recreation, and mosquito control. Some of the original debt, for this essential infrastructure and related public services, was incurred in 1987 when interest rates were as high as 13% for all business transactions across the nation. With revenues stemming from the recent addition of commercial properties and the revenue growth from all sources expected in the next eleven years, the District has recently refinanced a major portion of this long term debt reducing the interest rate significantly and thus saving the taxpayers \$5.2 million dollars.

The proposal to allow the District to collect, retain, and expend revenues it receives is another step to further reduce the cost of borrowing for the District's residents. The proposal does not add any new taxes nor does it increase any existing taxes. The revenues to be retained will be used to pay down the District's long-term debt and will come primarily from sales taxes, use taxes, property taxes, auto taxes, user charges, and fees. These sources of revenues come from all property owners (residential and commercial) and residents and non-residents who utilize commercial facilities located in the District.

Retention of the revenues earned by the District is essential to pay down the long-term debt in the shortest possible time and continue to provide an efficient level of public services at the lowest possible cost to the District's residents. Further, having accomplished these two objectives the potential exists in the future to reduce the mill levies (taxes) on property. The proposal only waives the revenue and spending limitations of Article X, Section 20 of the Colorado Constitution (Tabor) for a limited, essential, eleven year period and does not add a new tax or increase an existing tax.

Summary of Written Comments AGAINST Ballot Issue No. 5D:

NO COMMENTS WERE FILED BY THE CONSTITUTIONAL DEADLINE.

**TRI-LAKES FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT
QUESTION 5E**

DESIGNATED ELECTION OFFICIAL

ROBERT M. DENBOSKE
FIRE CHIEF
18650 HWY 105, P.O. BOX 2668
MONUMENT, COLORADO 80132
719-484-0911

NOTICE OF ELECTION TO INCREASE TAXES
TRI-LAKES FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT
EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO

Election Date: Tuesday, November 7, 2006
Election Hours: 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.
Ballot Title and Text: QUESTION NO. 5E

SHALL TRI-LAKES FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$263,000 ANNUALLY, OR BY SUCH AMOUNT AS MAY BE RAISED BY THE IMPOSITION OF AN ADDITIONAL AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAX RATE OF 1.50 MILLS, BEING AN INCREASE FROM 7.00 MILLS TO 8.50 MILLS, TO BE CERTIFIED IN 2006 AND COLLECTED IN 2007, AND CONTINUING EACH YEAR THEREAFTER AS OTHERWISE ALLOWED BY LAW, WHICH INCREASE SHALL BE FOR FUNDING THE GENERAL FUND OF THE DISTRICT TO PROVIDE PROPER FIRE PROTECTION, EMERGENCY RESPONSE, AND SAFETY FOR THE RESIDENTS, PROPERTY OWNERS AND BUSINESSES OF THE DISTRICT INCLUDING:

- ACQUIRING ADDITIONAL PERSONNEL TO STAFF EXISTING AND PROPOSED FIRE STATIONS;
- ACQUIRING AND PURCHASING ADDITIONAL FIRE PROTECTION APPARATUS AND EQUIPMENT, INCLUDING FIRE ENGINES, LADDER TRUCKS OR WATER TENDERS;
- ACQUIRING OR CONSTRUCTING OTHER REAL PROPERTY, FACILITIES OR EQUIPMENT AS NECESSARY TO ENSURE THE SAFETY OF THE COMMUNITY;

AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES AND ANY INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE IN 2007, AND IN EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, OR SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, AND WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT?

FISCAL YEAR SPENDING INFORMATION:

2006 (ESTIMATED)	\$1,195,793
2005 (ACTUAL)	\$923,623
2004 (ACTUAL)	\$816,748
2003 (ACTUAL)	\$765,287
2002 (ACTUAL)	\$686,523

Overall percentage change in fiscal year spending: 22%
Overall dollar amount of change: \$263,000.00

Estimated maximum dollar amount of tax increase for 2007; \$263,000.00

Summary of written comments for the proposal:

The Board of Directors, staff, volunteers and I are asking you to approve a property tax mill levy increase from 7 mills to 8.5 mills. This amounts to an annual tax increase of \$11.94 on each \$100,000 of the market value of your home. For example, on a \$300,000 home the annual increase will be \$35.82, or less than the cost of a tank of gas. In 1997 the voters approved an increase to 7 mills effective in 2001 with the promise to provide a fully manned and equipped fire station on Rollercoaster Road and that promise was kept. This time our tax money will help pay for additional firefighters and Emergency Medical Technicians (EMTs). In 2004 we received a lower Insurance Service Office (ISO) rating which in-turn lowered our homeowners' insurance rates if you are protected with fire hydrants. In 2006 because of the many improvements and with the formation of the Tri-Lakes Monument Fire Authority it was felt that a still lower ISO rating could be achieved so a special out-of-cycle evaluation was requested and performed. Everything went well and we can be optimistic, but we won't know the final outcome until mid-November. If you live in an area without fire hydrants a special 8B rating might be able to lower your insurance rates as well. These annual homeowners' insurance rate reductions will more than offset the additional taxes being asked for. Property taxes lag growth by about two years so this year a Growth Impact Fee program was implemented so that new developments will pay their own way, up front, but the law does not allow impact fees to be used to recruit, train, equip and retain the additional people that are needed now and in the future. In the past three years we have been fortunate in winning several Federal and State matching grants, but to benefit from these grants we must still pay for our share of the match. The largest of these matching grants is a four-year \$700,000 SAFER grant to hire seven additional firefighters. But that is only a partial solution; we still need additional firefighters and EMTs to provide the urban-class fire protection and emergency medical service we all expect and deserve. Our Fire Department now responds to emergency calls within six minutes 88 percent of the time and we can all be proud of this and their many other achievements, and with additional staff we know they can do even better, especially on second and third calls. We believe that past and continuing actions have earned your trust and respect, and to continue improving we are asking for your help once again. Please support your firefighters and EMTs by joining us in voting YES on issue 5E on November 7th.

Summary of written comments against the proposal:

NO COMMENTS WERE FILED BY THE CONSTITUTIONAL DEADLINE.

**BAPTIST ROAD RURAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
QUESTION A**

Designated Election Official:

Scott Meszaros
Town of Monument
166 Second Street
Monument, CO 80132
Telephone: 719-884-8014

**NOTICE OF ELECTION TO INCREASE TAXES ON A REFERRED MEASURE
BAPTIST ROAD RURAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO**

Election Date: Tuesday, November 7, 2006

Election Hours: The election shall be conducted by mail ballot. Ballots will be distributed by U.S. Mail sent not earlier than October 13, 2006, and not later than October 23, 2006, to all verified eligible electors of the Authority. If you are an eligible elector of the Authority and do not receive a ballot, you may request a ballot from the designated election official at the local election office address and telephone number.

The local election office will be open Monday through Friday between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., and between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m. on election day. Ballots must be received by 7:00 p.m. on election day, November 7, 2006.

Ballot Title and Text: Ballot Issue A:

SHALL BAPTIST ROAD RURAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY ("BRRTA") TAXES BE INCREASED \$1,500,000 IN THE FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR, AND BY WHATEVER AMOUNT OF REVENUE IS GENERATED ANNUALLY IN EVERY YEAR OF THE TAX THEREAFTER, BY THE IMPOSITION BY BRRTA OF A TEMPORARY SALES AND USE TAX PURSUANT TO PART 6, ARTICLE 4, TITLE 43, OF THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES AT THE RATE OF 1.00% (ONE CENT PER DOLLAR) UPON EVERY TRANSACTION OR OTHER INCIDENT WITH RESPECT TO WHICH A SALES AND USE TAX IS LEVIED BY THE STATE OF COLORADO (EXCLUDING PURCHASES OF FOOD FOR DOMESTIC HOME CONSUMPTION, PRESCRIPTION MEDICATIONS, RESIDENTIAL UTILITY BILLS OR OTHER EXEMPT TRANSACTIONS AS DETAILED IN ARTICLES 26 AND 30, TITLE 39, AS AMENDED FROM TIME TO TIME, OF THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES), SUCH TAXES TO BE USED SOLELY FOR THE REPAYMENT OF THE DEBT AUTHORIZED BY THE BRRTA ELECTORS AT THE NOVEMBER 4, 1997 ELECTION ("DEBT") FOR THE PURPOSE OF PAYING FOR THE COSTS OF IMPROVEMENTS TO THE BAPTIST ROAD INTERCHANGE WITH INTERSTATE 25 AND RELATED IMPROVEMENTS TO BAPTIST ROAD AS DESCRIBED IN THE DEBT AUTHORIZATION, AND IN CONNECTION THEREWITH (1) THE DEBT AUTHORIZATION IS HEREBY REDUCED FROM \$25,000,000 IN PRINCIPAL TO \$21,500,000 IN PRINCIPAL, (2) THE TOTAL AUTHORIZED REPAYMENT COST OF SUCH DEBT SHALL BE REDUCED FROM \$125,000,000 TO \$50,000,000 AND (3) THE DEBT IS HEREBY REAUTHORIZED WITH SUCH CHANGES AS DESCRIBED ABOVE; COLLECTION OF SUCH

SALES TAX SHALL COMMENCE NO EARLIER THAN JANUARY 1, 2007 OR THE DATE ON WHICH THE DEBT AS REAUTHORIZED HEREBY IS ISSUED, WHICHEVER IS LATER, AND COLLECTION SHALL TERMINATE UPON THE EARLIER OF (1) SUCH TIME AS THE DEBT AND ANY REFUNDINGS THEREOF ARE PAID IN FULL OR FULLY DEFEASED, OR (2) 20 YEARS AFTER COMMENCEMENT OF SUCH TAXES AND; THE DEBT SHALL BE PAID FROM THE PROCEEDS OF THE TAXES AUTHORIZED HEREBY AND ANY REIMBURSEMENTS RECEIVED BY BRRTA FROM THE COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION?

Authority Estimates of Maximum Dollar Amount of Tax Increase and of Authority Fiscal Year Spending Without The Increase For First Full Fiscal Year of Proposed Tax Increase:

Estimated Maximum Dollar Amount of Tax Increase For 2007: \$1,500,000

Estimated 2007 Fiscal Year Spending Without Proposed Tax Increase (assumes no other tax increases are approved): \$ 500,000

Total Authority Fiscal Year Spending:

2006 (Estimated)	\$501,649
2005 (Actual)	\$318,703
2004 (Actual)	\$241,783
2003 (Actual)	\$ 91,640
2002 (Actual)	\$321,300

Overall Percentage Change From 2002 to 2006: 36%
Overall Dollar Change From 2002 to 2006: \$180,349

Summary of Written Comments For the Proposal:

Issue A is written to implement a one cent sales tax increase on commercial goods (food and prescription drugs exempt) at retail areas located within the Baptist Road Rural Transportation Authority's (BRRTA) district boundaries. The Revenues collected from this increased sales tax will go exclusively to the cost of the reconstruction of the Baptist Road/I-25 Interchange. The financing mechanism will be 20 year revenue bonds and the sales tax will remain in effect until these bonds are paid off. There is an agreement with the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) for future payback of these construction costs (approximately \$15 million). Once the total payback is received, the bonds will be completely retired and the sales tax will cease. Any residual money from the payback would go to the transportation needs of the Baptist Road Corridor. The pros to this issue are that construction costs are currently unstable and have been increasing by approximately 25% a year. Baptist Road desperately needs this reconstruction now for both traffic mobility and traffic safety. (These revenue bonds will not impact the value or security of any home within the district).

Summary of Written Comments Against the Proposal:

NO COMMENTS WERE FILED BY THE CONSTITUTIONAL DEADLINE.

END OF BALLOT ISSUE NOTICE

As required by Colorado Statutes (C.R.S. 1-7-905), I hereby certify the ballot issue notices are complete as submitted by the political subdivisions.

Robert C. "Bob" Balink
El Paso County Clerk & Recorder

Polling Locations for the 2006 El Paso County General Election Tuesday, November 7, 2006 7:00 a.m. - 7:00 p.m.

5101621001 HOLY CROSS LUTHERAN CHURCH	5121921130 FOUNTAIN VALLEY SENIOR CENTER	5091421259 COLO TECH UNIVERSITY
5111621002 MANN MIDDLE SCHOOL	5121921131 S.A. WILSON SCHOOL	5042021260 MONUMENT PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH
5101621003 STRATTON ELEMENTARY	5121921132 VENETUCCI ELEMENTARY	5101921261 ELLICOTT MIDDLE SCHOOL
5091621004 EDISON ELEMENTARY	5112121133 BROADMOOR ELEMENTARY	5111721262 DEERFIELD HILLS COMMUNITY CTR
5101621005 STRATTON ELEMENTARY	5122121134 BROADMOOR ELEMENTARY	5101621263 RADIANT ASSEMBLY OF GOD CHURCH
5101621006 HEART OF THE SPRINGS CHURCH	5122121135 UTE PASS ELEMENTARY	5111721264 CENTENNIAL ELEMENTARY
5101621007 HEART OF THE SPRINGS CHURCH	5042021136 MONUMENT TOWN HALL	5042021265 MONUMENT PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH
5101621008 ST PAULS UNITED METHODIST CH	5111821137 LINCOLN ELEMENTARY	5111821266 EASTBOROUGH CHURCH OF THE NAZARENE
5121821009 STEELE ELEMENTARY	5101521138 NEW HEART COMMUNITY CHURCH	5111821267 MANITOU SPRINGS CITY HALL
5121821010 CORPUS CHRISTI PARISH HALL	5111521139 EASTBOROUGH CHURCH OF THE NAZARENE	5101521268 SUNNYSIDE CHRISTIAN CHURCH
5111821011 CORPUS CHRISTI PARISH HALL	5101521140 PRINCE OF PEACE LUTHERAN CH	5091421269 MARTINEZ ELEMENTARY
5111821012 MANN MIDDLE SCHOOL	5101521141 SUNNYSIDE CHRISTIAN CHURCH	5091421270 PIONEER ELEMENTARY
5111821013 TAYLOR ELEMENTARY	5122121142 SKYWAY ELEMENTARY	5092021271 FOOTHILLS ELEMENTARY
5111821014 COLORADO SPRINGS SENIOR CENTER	5111821143 ADAMS ELEMENTARY	5112121272 GORMAN EDUCATION CENTER
5111621015 COLORADO SPRINGS SENIOR CENTER	5101621144 VILLAGE 7 PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH	5091421273 EAST LIBRARY
5101621016 JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY	5091621145 CRAGMOR CHRISTIAN REFORMED CH	5111721274 BRICKER ELEMENTARY
5101621017 JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY	5092121146 JACKSON ELEMENTARY	5122121275 BROADMOOR COMMUNITY CHURCH
5101621018 COMMUNITY OF CHRIST CHURCH	5122121147 HOLMES MIDDLE SCHOOL	5122121276 OAK CREEK ELEMENTARY
5111821019 NORTH MIDDLE SCHOOL	5091421148 SUNRISE UNITED METHODIST CH	5122121277 CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN ELEMENTARY
5121821020 FIRST PRES CH WEBER ST CENTER	5111821149 MANITOU SPRINGS CITY HALL	5122121278 CHIPETA ELEMENTARY
5111821021 PALMER HIGH-BOYS GYM	5101521150 SUNNYSIDE CHRISTIAN CHURCH	5091421279 VIEWPOINTE RETIREMENT COMM
5111821022 PALMER HIGH-BOYS GYM	5091421151 SUNRIDGE RETIREMENT COMMUNITY	5101521280 CIMARRON HILLS FIRE DEPT HQTRS
5111821023 COLUMBIA ELEMENTARY	5091621152 GRACE BIBLE CHURCH	5092121281 VIEWPOINTE RETIREMENT COMM
5111821024 TAYLOR ELEMENTARY	5092021153 P.P.C. RAMPART CAMPUS	5042021282 LEWIS-PALMER ELEMENTARY
5111821025 COLUMBIA ELEMENTARY	5121921154 JANITELL JR HIGH	5102021283 FALCON HIGH SCHOOL
5111621026 FIRST SOUTHERN BAPTIST CHURCH	5101521155 VILLAGE 7 PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH	5101521284 FALCON BAPTIST CHURCH
5111821027 QUEEN PALMER ELEMENTARY	5121921156 TALBOTT ELEMENTARY	5102021285 FALCON HIGH SCHOOL
5101621028 FIRST SOUTHERN BAPTIST CHURCH	5101621157 MITCHELL HIGH SCHOOL	5091621286 CRAGMOR CHRISTIAN REFORMED CH
5101621029 CIRCLE DRIVE BAPTIST CHURCH	5042021158 ANTELOPE TRAILS ELEMENTARY	5122121287 MANITOU SPRINGS CITY HALL
5111621030 QUEEN PALMER ELEMENTARY	5091421159 WOODMEN VALLEY CHAPEL	5042021288 FAMILY OF CHRIST LUTHERAN CHURCH
5111821031 BETHANY LUTHERAN CHURCH	5091421160 ROCKRIMMON ELEMENTARY	5091621289 PULPIT ROCK CHURCH
5111821032 HILLSIDE COMMUNITY CENTER	5091421161 EAGLEVIEW MIDDLE SCHOOL	5042021290 PRAIRIE WINDS ELEMENTARY
5111821033 HILLSIDE COMMUNITY CENTER	5112121162 OAK CREEK ELEMENTARY	5101521291 IRVING MIDDLE SCHOOL
5111821034 HILLSIDE COMMUNITY CENTER	5101521163 CHRIST TEMPLE APOSTOLIC CHURCH	5101521292 SABIN MIDDLE SCHOOL
5111821035 ROGERS ELEMENTARY	5091421164 SUNRIDGE RETIREMENT COMMUNITY	5122121293 CHIPETA ELEMENTARY
5111821036 ROGERS ELEMENTARY	5111721165 EASTBOROUGH CHURCH OF THE NAZARENE	5122021294 WOODMEN-ROBERTS ELEMENTARY
5121821037 BRISTOL ELEMENTARY	5091621166 PULPIT ROCK CHURCH	5092021295 FRONTIER ELEMENTARY
5111821038 CALVARY BAPTIST CHURCH	5091621167 GRACE BIBLE CHURCH	5091421296 MOUNTAIN RIDGE MIDDLE SCHOOL
5111821039 WASHINGTON ELEMENTARY	5121921168 KING ELEMENTARY SD 3	5092021297 TIMBERVIEW MIDDLE SCHOOL
5111821040 WASHINGTON ELEMENTARY	5101521169 DOHERTY HIGH SCHOOL	5101521298 VISTA GRANDE BAPTIST CHURCH
5111821041 BRISTOL ELEMENTARY	5101521170 MADISON ELEMENTARY	5121921299 FRENCH ELEMENTARY
5122121042 PIKE ELEMENTARY	5121921171 DEAN FLEISCHAUER ACTIVITY CTR	5042021300 TRINITY LUTHERAN CHURCH
5121821043 PIKE ELEMENTARY	5111721172 CARMEL MIDDLE SCHOOL	5101521301 SAND CREEK HIGH SCHOOL
5091421044 VIEWPOINTE RETIREMENT COMM	5101521173 PATRICK HENRY ELEMENTARY	5091421302 FRONTIER ELEMENTARY
5101621045 EDISON ELEMENTARY	5101521174 HORIZON MIDDLE SCHOOL	5091421303 CORNERSTONE BAPTIST CHURCH
5091621046 EDISON ELEMENTARY	5091421175 VISTA GRANDE COMMUNITY CHURCH	5091421304 TRAILBLAZER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
5091621047 CRAGMOR CHRISTIAN REFORMED CH	5111721176 PIKES PEAK ELEMENTARY	5092121305 CHIPETA ELEMENTARY
5111821048 WEST CENTER	5101621177 LONGFELLOW ELEMENTARY	5121821306 ALTAMIRA APTS CLUBHOUSE
5111821049 PLEASANT VALLEY BAPTIST CHURCH	5091421178 PIONEER ELEMENTARY	5111821307 MANITOU SPRINGS MIDDLE SCHOOL
5111821050 WEST CENTER	5091421179 VISTA GRANDE COMMUNITY CHURCH	5101921308 FALCON BAPTIST CHURCH
5121821051 MIDLAND ELEMENTARY	5122121180 FELLOWSHIP OF THE ROCKIES CHURCH	5042021309 KILMER ELEMENTARY
5111821052 PLEASANT VALLEY BAPTIST CHURCH	5042021181 KILMER ELEMENTARY	5091621310 KELLER ELEMENTARY
5122121053 MASONIC CENTER	5101621182 WASSON HIGH SCHOOL	5122121311 CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN ELEMENTARY
5111821054 ROCK OF AGES EVANG LUTH CHURCH	5111721183 MONROE ELEMENTARY	5121721312 PINELLO ELEMENTARY
5111821055 ROCK OF AGES EVANG LUTH CHURCH	5101521184 VILLAGE 7 PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH	5091421313 EAGLEVIEW MIDDLE SCHOOL
5111821056 STRATTON MEADOWS ELEM	5121921185 WEBSTER ELEMENTARY	5101521314 FRIENDSHIP ASSEMBLY OF GOD
5111821057 CHAMBERLIN ELEMENTARY	5091621186 FREMONT ELEMENTARY	5101521315 SKYVIEW MIDDLE SCHOOL
5111721058 GORMAN EDUCATION CENTER	5101621187 COMMUNITY OF CHRIST CHURCH	5091421316 PRAIRIE HILLS ELEMENTARY
5111721059 KOREAN BAPTIST CHURCH	5122121188 PP LIB - CHEYENNE MTN BRANCH	5122121317 FOX MEADOW MIDDLE SCHOOL
5121921060 S.A. WILSON SCHOOL	5122121189 STRATMOOR HILLS ELEMENTARY	5091421318 MARTINEZ ELEMENTARY
5121921061 NORTH SECURITY ELEMENTARY	5091421190 ROCKRIMMON ELEMENTARY	5091421319 PIONEER ELEMENTARY
5121921062 WIDFIELD ELEMENTARY	5091421191 KING ELEMENTARY SD 11	5122121320 WILSON UNITED METHODIST CHURCH
5121921063 VENETUCCI ELEMENTARY	5042021192 LEWIS-PALMER ELEMENTARY	5092021321 P.P.C. RAMPART CAMPUS
5122121064 SKYWAY ELEMENTARY	5101521193 CHRIST TEMPLE APOSTOLIC CHURCH	5092021322 EXPLORER ELEMENTARY
5122121065 FELLOWSHIP OF THE ROCKIES CHURCH	5111721194 FOX MEADOW MIDDLE SCHOOL	5092021323 FAMILY OF CHRIST LUTHERAN CHURCH
5122121066 FELLOWSHIP OF THE ROCKIES CHURCH	5111721195 RESURRECTION LUTHERAN CHURCH	5121921324 LORRAINE COMMUNITY CENTER
5112121067 BROADMOOR ELEMENTARY	5092121196 JACKSON ELEMENTARY	5091421325 NORWOOD BIBLE CHURCH
5122121068 CANON ELEMENTARY	5122121197 HOLMES MIDDLE SCHOOL	5091421326 CHAPEL HILLS BAPTIST CHURCH
5111821069 COMMUNITY CONGREGATIONAL CH	5122121198 BROADMOOR COMMUNITY CHURCH	5111721327 RESURRECTION LUTHERAN CHURCH
5111821070 COMMUNITY CONGREGATIONAL CH	5101521199 IRVING MIDDLE SCHOOL	5101721328 SAND CREEK ELEMENTARY
5122121071 UTE PASS ELEMENTARY	5091621200 RUSSELL MIDDLE SCHOOL	5111721329 WILDFLOWER ELEMENTARY
5092021072 WOODMEN VALLEY CHAPEL	5121921201 KING ELEMENTARY SD 3	5101921330 PEYTON ELEMENTARY
5092021073 PINE VALLEY ELEMENTARY	5091421202 WOODMEN VALLEY CHAPEL	5101921331 SWINK HALL
5042021074 LEWIS-PALMER ELEMENTARY	5122121203 OAK CREEK ELEMENTARY	5121921332 JANITELL JR HIGH
5092021075 OUR LADY OF THE PINES CHURCH	5101521204 PENROSE ELEMENTARY	5042021333 LEWIS-PALMER MIDDLE SCHOOL
5042021076 PALMER LAKE - TOWN HALL	5101721205 WILDFLOWER ELEMENTARY	5102021334 MERIDIAN RANCH ELEMENTARY
5101521077 FALCON BAPTIST CHURCH	5091621206 FREMONT ELEMENTARY	5121921335 DEAN FLEISCHAUER ACTIVITY CTR
5101921078 PEYTON ELEMENTARY	5121921207 KING ELEMENTARY SD 3	5042021336 PALMER LAKE - TOWN HALL
5101921079 SWINK HALL	5101521208 RUDY ELEMENTARY	5102021337 MERIDIAN RANCH ELEMENTARY
5101921080 TOWN HALL - RAMAH	5101521209 SPRINGS RANCH ELEMENTARY	5111721338 MOUNTAIN VIEW PRESBYTERIAN CH
5101621081 WASSON HIGH SCHOOL	5091421210 EAST LIBRARY	5042021339 KILMER ELEMENTARY
5101921082 MIAMI-YODER SCHOOL	5091421211 TRINITY CHURCH OF THE NAZARENE	5121921340 FRENCH ELEMENTARY
5091621083 LINCOLN ELEMENTARY	5091421212 KING ELEMENTARY SD 11	5121921341 SECURITY CHURCH OF NAZARENE
5101921084 ELLICOTT MIDDLE SCHOOL	5101621213 ASCENSION LUTHERAN CHURCH	5101521342 SAND CREEK HIGH SCHOOL
5101521085 MITCHELL HIGH SCHOOL	5121721214 STRATMOOR HILLS ELEMENTARY	5101521343 FRIENDSHIP ASSEMBLY OF GOD
5121921086 JORDAHL ELEMENTARY	5091621215 GRANT ELEMENTARY	5122021344 WOODMEN-ROBERTS ELEMENTARY
5121921087 DEAN FLEISCHAUER ACTIVITY CTR	5101921216 PANORAMA MIDDLE SCHOOL	5091421345 JENKINS MIDDLE SCHOOL
5111721088 TURMAN ELEMENTARY	5101621217 STRATTON ELEMENTARY	5122121346 PINON VALLEY ELEMENTARY
5122121089 PP LIB - CHEYENNE MTN BRANCH	5101521218 NEW HEART COMMUNITY CHURCH	5101921347 NEW HEART COMMUNITY CHURCH
5121721090 STRATMOOR HILLS VFD	5122121219 CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN ELEMENTARY	5122121348 TRAILBLAZER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
5111721091 PANORAMA MIDDLE SCHOOL	5122021220 FOOTHILLS ELEMENTARY	5042021349 MONUMENT TOWN HALL
5101521092 PRINCE OF PEACE LUTHERAN CH	5122121221 WILSON UNITED METHODIST CHURCH	5122121350 CHIPETA ELEMENTARY
5101621093 MADISON ELEMENTARY	5101721222 BRICKER ELEMENTARY	5101521351 CHRIST TEMPLE APOSTOLIC CHURCH
5101621094 LONGFELLOW ELEMENTARY	5092021223 EXPLORER ELEMENTARY	5122121352 MASONIC CENTER
5101621095 ASCENSION LUTHERAN CHURCH	5091421224 PRAIRIE HILLS ELEMENTARY	5101521353 CIMARRON HILLS FIRE DEPT HQTRS
5111721096 MONTEREY ELEMENTARY	5091421225 WOODMEN-ROBERTS ELEMENTARY	5092021354 MOUNTAIN RIDGE MIDDLE SCHOOL
5122121097 GOLD CAMP ELEMENTARY	5091421226 ROCKRIMMON ELEMENTARY	5042021355 CREEKSIDE MIDDLE SCHOOL
5111721098 MOUNTAIN VIEW PRESBYTERIAN CH	5101521227 VISTA GRANDE BAPTIST CHURCH	5042021356 ANTELOPE TRAILS ELEMENTARY
5111821099 ADAMS ELEMENTARY	5091421228 SUNRISE UNITED METHODIST CH	5092021357 ACADEMY ENDEAVOUR
5091621100 PULPIT ROCK CHURCH	5101521229 FAITH COVENANT CHURCH	5091421358 CHAPEL HILLS BAPTIST CHURCH
5091621101 GRANT ELEMENTARY	5121921230 PINELLO ELEMENTARY	5091421359 SCOTT ELEMENTARY
5091621102 BATES ELEMENTARY	5111721231 PANORAMA MIDDLE SCHOOL	5091421360 VISTA GRANDE BAPTIST CHURCH
5122121103 JACKSON ELEMENTARY	5122121232 GOLD CAMP ELEMENTARY	5102021361 FALCON HIGH SCHOOL
5122121104 MASONIC CENTER	5092021233 WOLFORD ELEMENTARY	5121921362 SECURITY CHURCH OF NAZARENE
5091421105 WOODMEN VALLEY CHAPEL	5101521234 DOHERTY HIGH SCHOOL	5121921363 RESTORATION CHURCH
5111821106 MANITOU SPRINGS CITY HALL	5111721235 STRATMOOR HILLS VFD	5121921364 LORRAINE COMMUNITY CENTER
5111821107 MONROE ELEMENTARY	5121921236 RESTORATION CHURCH	5121921365 JORDAHL ELEMENTARY
5101621108 RADIANT ASSEMBLY OF GOD CHURCH	5101521237 NEW HEART COMMUNITY CHURCH	5122121366 OTERO ELEMENTARY
5101521109 PENROSE ELEMENTARY	5101621238 GRANT ELEMENTARY	5101521367 SKYVIEW MIDDLE SCHOOL
5091621110 RUSSELL MIDDLE SCHOOL	5091421239 HIGH PLAINS ELEMENTARY	5101521368 STETSON ELEMENTARY
5042021111 BLACK FOREST LUTHERAN CHURCH	5092021240 WOLFORD ELEMENTARY	5101521369 GATEWAY CHURCH
5121921112 WEBSTER ELEMENTARY	5121921241 SUNRISE ELEMENTARY	5101521370 SPRINGS RANCH ELEMENTARY
5111721113 MONTEREY ELEMENTARY	5121921242 SUNRISE ELEMENTARY	5091421371 PRAIRIE HILLS ELEMENTARY
5101521114 SABIN MIDDLE SCHOOL	5092021243 DA VINCI ACADEMY	5042021372 CREEKSIDE MIDDLE SCHOOL
5121921115 TALBOTT ELEMENTARY	5111521244 EMERSON-EDISON CHARTER SCHOOL	5092021373 DA VINCI ACADEMY
5101621116 WINSLOW COURT RETIREMENT COMM	5101521245 FAITH COVENANT CHURCH	5092021374 TIMBERVIEW MIDDLE SCHOOL
5101521117 PATRICK HENRY ELEMENTARY	5091421246 TRINITY CHURCH OF THE NAZARENE	5091421375 SCOTT ELEMENTARY
5101921118 HANOVER SCHOOL	5042021247 PRAIRIE WINDS ELEMENTARY	5101521376 RIDGEVIEW ELEMENTARY
5101921119 EDISON SCHOOL	5122121248 OTERO ELEMENTARY	5102021377 WOODMEN HILLS ELEMENTARY
5101621120 COMMUNITY OF CHRIST CHURCH	5111721249 SAND CREEK ELEMENTARY	5101521378 STETSON ELEMENTARY
5111821121 STEELE ELEMENTARY	5101521250 HORIZON MIDDLE SCHOOL	5101521379 GATEWAY CHURCH
5101621122 JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY	5091421251 CHAPEL HILLS BAPTIST CHURCH	5101521380 WOODMEN HILLS ELEMENTARY
5101621123 CIRCLE DRIVE BAPTIST CHURCH	5091421252 HIGH PLAINS ELEMENTARY	5102021381 WOODMEN HILLS ELEMENTARY
5111821124 ROGERS ELEMENTARY	5092021253 FRONTIER ELEMENTARY	5091421382 JENKINS MIDDLE SCHOOL
5111821125 ROGERS ELEMENTARY	5092021254 EXPLORER ELEMENTARY	5092021383 MOUNTAIN RIDGE MIDDLE SCHOOL
5111821126 CALVARY BAPTIST CHURCH	5101621255 HOLY CROSS LUTHERAN CHURCH	5101521384 GATEWAY CHURCH
5111821127 WEST CENTER	5101621256 MITCHELL HIGH SCHOOL	5101521385 CHURCH FOR ALL NATIONS
5112121128 MASONIC CENTER	5111621257 QUEEN PALMER ELEMENTARY	5000021386 CENTENNIAL HALL
5111821129 CHAMBERLIN ELEMENTARY	5111821258 HOLMES MIDDLE SCHOOL	

ATTENTION:

NEW IDENTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

If you vote during early voting or at your polling place on Election Day, you **MUST** present one of the following forms of identification:

- A valid Colorado driver's license;
- A valid identification card issued by the Department of Revenue;
- A valid U.S. passport;
- A valid employee identification card with a photograph of the eligible elector issued by any branch, department, agency, or entity of the United States government or of this state, or by any county, municipality, board, authority, or other political subdivision of this state;
- A valid pilot's license issued by the federal aviation administration or other authorized agency of the United States;
- A valid U.S. military identification card with a photograph of the eligible elector;
- A copy of a current utility bill, bank statement, government check or other government document that shows the name and address of the elector. (A cable bill, a telephone bill, documentation from a public institution of higher education in Colorado containing at least the name, date of birth, and legal residence address of the student elector, or a paycheck from a government institution or a Certificate of Degree of Indian or Alaskan Native Blood are sufficient forms of ID);
- A valid Medicare or Medicaid card issued by the United States Health Care Financing Administration;
- A certified copy of a U.S. birth certificate for the elector issued in the United States; or
- Certified documentation of naturalization.

ELECTION INFORMATION

EARLY VOTING is available Monday through Friday only, beginning Monday, October 23, 2006, and ending Friday, November 3, 2006, at the locations and times listed below:

**EL PASO COUNTY CLERK & RECORDER'S
DOWNTOWN OFFICE ***

200 South Cascade Avenue
Colorado Springs, CO
8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

MONUMENT

Monument Hill Church
18725 Monument Hill Rd.
Monument, CO
8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

**EL PASO COUNTY CLERK & RECORDER'S
CHAPEL HILLS OFFICE ***

On north side next to JC Penney
8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

FOUNTAIN

Fountain City Hall
116 S. Main St.
Fountain, CO
8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

**EL PASO COUNTY CLERK & RECORDER'S
POWERS OFFICE ***

Southeast corner of Powers Blvd. and Airport Rd.
8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

FALCON

Falcon Elementary
12050 Falcon Highway
Falcon, CO
8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

ABSENTEE BALLOT: To receive your absentee ballot by mail, your request for an absentee ballot must be received by our office no later than Tuesday, October 31, 2006 by 5:00 p.m. You may obtain an absentee application at any of the three office locations denoted with an asterisk (*), download from our website at <http://car.elpasoco.com/election> or submit a letter to include your full name, birth date, residence address, address to which ballot should be mailed, and signature. The request may either be mailed to El Paso County Election Department, PO Box 2007, Colorado Springs, CO 80901-2007 or faxed to (719) 520-7327. Please request your ballot as early as possible. Voted absentee ballots may be returned by mail or dropped off at any of the early voting sites listed above through Friday, November 3rd, 2006. Following this period, voted absentee ballots may only be dropped off at one of the El Paso County Clerk and Recorder's offices denoted by an asterisk (*) through Election Day, November 7th, 2006.

PRECINCT POLLING PLACE: On Election Day, polls will be open from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, please contact the Election Department at (719) 575-VOTE (8683) or visit our website at: <http://car.elpasoco.com/election>