



Chuck Broerman  
El Paso County Clerk & Recorder

# OFFICIAL SAMPLE BALLOT FOR 2018 GENERAL ELECTION

## EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO

### TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 6, 2018

Election Department  
(719)575-VOTE (8683)  
www.epcvotes.com

Federal Offices	
<b>Representative to the 116th United States Congress - District 5</b> (Vote for One)	
<input type="radio"/> Stephany Rose Spaulding	Democratic
<input type="radio"/> Doug Lamborn	Republican
<input type="radio"/> Douglas Randall	Libertarian
<input type="radio"/> Write-in: _____	
State Offices	
<b>Governor / Lieutenant Governor</b> (Vote for One Pair)	
<input type="radio"/> Jared Polis / Dianne Primavera	Democratic
<input type="radio"/> Walker Stapleton / Lang Sias	Republican
<input type="radio"/> Bill Hammons / Eric Bodenstab	Unity
<input type="radio"/> Scott Helker / Michele Poague	Libertarian
<b>Secretary of State</b> (Vote for One)	
<input type="radio"/> Wayne Williams	Republican
<input type="radio"/> Jena Griswold	Democratic
<input type="radio"/> Amanda Campbell	American Constitution
<input type="radio"/> Blake Huber	Approval Voting
<b>State Treasurer</b> (Vote for One)	
<input type="radio"/> Brian Watson	Republican
<input type="radio"/> Dave Young	Democratic
<input type="radio"/> Gerald F. Kilpatrick	American Constitution
<b>Attorney General</b> (Vote for One)	
<input type="radio"/> Phil Weiser	Democratic
<input type="radio"/> George Brauchler	Republican
<input type="radio"/> William F. Robinson III	Libertarian
<b>Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large</b> (Vote for One)	
<input type="radio"/> Lesley Smith	Democratic
<input type="radio"/> Ken Montera	Republican
<input type="radio"/> Christopher E. Otwell	Unity
<input type="radio"/> James K. Treibert	Libertarian
<b>Regent of the University of Colorado - Congressional District 5</b> (Vote for One)	
<input type="radio"/> Tony Wolusky	Democratic
<input type="radio"/> Chance Hill	Republican
<b>State Senator - District 2</b> (Vote for One)	
<input type="radio"/> Beth "Hart" Harz	Democratic
<input type="radio"/> Dennis Hisey	Republican
<b>State Senator - District 9</b> (Vote for One)	
<input type="radio"/> Gil Armendariz	Democratic
<input type="radio"/> Paul Lundeen	Republican
<b>State Senator - District 11</b> (Vote for One)	
<input type="radio"/> Pete Lee	Democratic
<input type="radio"/> Pat McIntire	Republican
<b>State Representative - District 14</b> (Vote for One)	
<input type="radio"/> Shane Sandridge	Republican
<input type="radio"/> Paul J. Haddick	Democratic
<b>State Representative - District 15</b> (Vote for One)	
<input type="radio"/> Brenda Krause	Democratic
<input type="radio"/> Dave Williams	Republican

<b>State Representative - District 16</b> (Vote for One)	
<input type="radio"/> Andrew Smith	Democratic
<input type="radio"/> Larry G. Liston	Republican
<input type="radio"/> John Hjersman	Libertarian
<b>State Representative - District 17</b> (Vote for One)	
<input type="radio"/> Kit Roupe	Republican
<input type="radio"/> Thomas "Tony" Exum Sr.	Democratic
<b>State Representative - District 18</b> (Vote for One)	
<input type="radio"/> Mary Elizabeth Fabian	Republican
<input type="radio"/> Marc A. Snyder	Democratic
<input type="radio"/> Maile Foster	Independent
<b>State Representative - District 19</b> (Vote for One)	
<input type="radio"/> Tim Geitner	Republican
<input type="radio"/> Asia M. Zanders	Democratic
<b>State Representative - District 20</b> (Vote for One)	
<input type="radio"/> Terri Carver	Republican
<input type="radio"/> Kent Edward Jamig	Democratic
<b>State Representative - District 21</b> (Vote for One)	
<input type="radio"/> Lois Landgraf	Republican
<input type="radio"/> Liz Rosenbaum	Democratic
County Offices	
<b>El Paso County Commissioner - District 1</b> (Vote for One)	
<input type="radio"/> Frank J. DeLalla	Democratic
<input type="radio"/> Holly Williams	Republican
<b>El Paso County Commissioner - District 5</b> (Vote for One)	
<input type="radio"/> Cami Bremer	Republican
<input type="radio"/> Kari Frederick	Democratic
<b>County Clerk and Recorder</b> (Vote for One)	
<input type="radio"/> Chuck Broerman	Republican
<input type="radio"/> Elizabeth "Lisa" Wilkes	Democratic
<b>County Treasurer</b> (Vote for One)	
<input type="radio"/> Mark Lowderman	Republican
<input type="radio"/> Julie Torres	Democratic
<b>County Assessor</b> (Vote for One)	
<input type="radio"/> Stephen Schleiker	Republican
<input type="radio"/> Sue McKnight	Democratic
<b>County Sheriff</b> (Vote for One)	
<input type="radio"/> Bill Elder	Republican
<input type="radio"/> Grace A. Sweeney-Maurer	Democratic
<b>County Surveyor</b> (Vote for One)	
<input type="radio"/> Destarte Ashleigh Haun	Democratic
<input type="radio"/> Richard E. Mariotti	Republican
<b>County Coroner</b> (Vote for One)	
<input type="radio"/> Chauncey Frederick	Democratic
<input type="radio"/> Leon Kelly	Republican

Municipality Offices	
<b>Town of Monument - Trustee</b> (Vote for One)	
<input type="radio"/> Ann Howe	
<input type="radio"/> Jim Romanello	
<input type="radio"/> Kenneth W. Kimple	
Colorado Supreme Court Justice	
<b>Colorado Supreme Court Justice</b> (Vote YES or NO)	
Shall Justice Richard L. Gabriel of the Colorado Supreme Court be retained in office?	
<input type="radio"/> Yes	
<input type="radio"/> No	
Colorado Court of Appeals Judge	
<b>Colorado Court of Appeals Judge</b> (Vote YES or NO)	
Shall Judge John Daniel Dailey of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?	
<input type="radio"/> Yes	
<input type="radio"/> No	
Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?	
<input type="radio"/> Yes	
<input type="radio"/> No	
Shall Judge Elizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?	
<input type="radio"/> Yes	
<input type="radio"/> No	
Shall Judge David J. Richman of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?	
<input type="radio"/> Yes	
<input type="radio"/> No	
District Court Judge - 4th Judicial District	
<b>District Court Judge - 4th Judicial District</b> (Vote YES or NO)	
Shall Judge Eric Bentley of the 4th Judicial District be retained in office?	
<input type="radio"/> Yes	
<input type="radio"/> No	
Shall Judge Linda Margaret Billings-Vela of the 4th Judicial District be retained in office?	
<input type="radio"/> Yes	
<input type="radio"/> No	
Shall Judge Jill M. Brady of the 4th Judicial District be retained in office?	
<input type="radio"/> Yes	
<input type="radio"/> No	
Shall Judge Robert L. Lowrey of the 4th Judicial District be retained in office?	
<input type="radio"/> Yes	
<input type="radio"/> No	
Shall Judge Timothy Schutz of the 4th Judicial District be retained in office?	
<input type="radio"/> Yes	
<input type="radio"/> No	
Shall Judge Larry Edward Schwartz of the 4th Judicial District be retained in office?	
<input type="radio"/> Yes	
<input type="radio"/> No	
Shall Judge Scott A. Sells of the 4th Judicial District be retained in office?	
<input type="radio"/> Yes	
<input type="radio"/> No	
Shall Judge David L. Shakes of the 4th Judicial District be retained in office?	
<input type="radio"/> Yes	
<input type="radio"/> No	

County Court Judge - El Paso	
<b>County Court Judge - El Paso</b> (Vote YES or NO)	
Shall Judge Christopher Edward Acker of the El Paso County Court be retained in office?	
<input type="radio"/> Yes	
<input type="radio"/> No	
Shall Judge Lawrence D. Martin of the El Paso County Court be retained in office?	
<input type="radio"/> Yes	
<input type="radio"/> No	
Shall Judge Douglas J. Miles of the El Paso County Court be retained in office?	
<input type="radio"/> Yes	
<input type="radio"/> No	
Shall Judge Ann M. Rotolo of the El Paso County Court be retained in office?	
<input type="radio"/> Yes	
<input type="radio"/> No	
QUESTIONS OR ISSUES	
<b>Ballot questions referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and ballot questions initiated by the people are listed numerically. A ballot question listed as an "amendment" proposes a change to the Colorado constitution, and a ballot question listed as a "proposition" proposes a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes. A "yes/for" vote on any ballot question is a vote in favor of changing current law or existing circumstances, and a "no/against" vote on any ballot question is a vote against changing current law or existing circumstances.</b>	
State Measures	
<b>Amendment V (CONSTITUTIONAL)</b>	
Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a reduction in the age qualification for a member of the general assembly from twenty-five years to twenty-one years?	
<input type="radio"/> Yes/For	
<input type="radio"/> No/Against	
<b>Amendment W (CONSTITUTIONAL)</b>	
Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change in the format of the election ballot for judicial retention elections?	
<input type="radio"/> Yes/For	
<input type="radio"/> No/Against	
<b>Amendment X (CONSTITUTIONAL)</b>	
Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning changing the industrial hemp definition from a constitutional definition to a statutory definition?	
<input type="radio"/> Yes/For	
<input type="radio"/> No/Against	
<b>Amendment Y (CONSTITUTIONAL)</b>	
Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change to the way that congressional districts are drawn, and, in connection therewith, taking the duty to draw congressional districts away from the state legislature and giving it to an independent commission, composed of twelve citizens who possess specified qualifications; prohibiting any one political party's control of the commission by requiring that one-third of commissioners will not be affiliated with any political party, one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's largest political party, and one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's second largest political party; prohibiting certain persons, including professional lobbyists, federal campaign committee employees, and federal, state, and local elected officials, from serving on the commission; limiting judicial review of a map to a determination by the supreme court of whether the commission or its nonpartisan staff committed an abuse of discretion; requiring the commission to draw districts with a focus on communities of interest and political subdivisions, such as cities and counties, and then to maximize the number of competitive congressional seats to the extent possible; and prohibiting maps from being drawn to dilute the electoral influence of any racial or ethnic group or to protect any incumbent, any political candidate, or any political party?	
<input type="radio"/> Yes/For	
<input type="radio"/> No/Against	

<b>Amendment Z (CONSTITUTIONAL)</b>	
Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change to the manner in which state senate and state house of representatives districts are drawn, and, in connection therewith, reforming the existing legislative reapportionment commission by expanding the commission to twelve members and authorizing the appointment of members who possess specified qualifications; prohibiting any one political party's control of the commission by requiring that one-third of commissioners will not be affiliated with any political party, one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's largest political party, and one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's second largest political party; prohibiting certain persons, including professional lobbyists, federal campaign committee employees, and federal, state, and local elected officials, from serving on the commission; limiting judicial review of a map to a determination by the supreme court of whether the commission or its nonpartisan staff committed an abuse of discretion; requiring the commission to draw state legislative districts using communities of interest as well as political subdivisions, such as cities and counties, and then to maximize the number of competitive state legislative seats to the extent possible; and prohibiting maps from being drawn to dilute the electoral influence of any racial or ethnic group or to protect any incumbent, any political candidate, or any political party?	
<input type="radio"/> Yes/For	
<input type="radio"/> No/Against	
<b>Amendment A (CONSTITUTIONAL)</b>	
Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution that prohibits slavery and involuntary servitude as punishment for a crime and thereby prohibits slavery and involuntary servitude in all circumstances?	
<input type="radio"/> Yes/For	
<input type="radio"/> No/Against	
<b>Amendment 73 (CONSTITUTIONAL)</b>	
SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$1,600,000,000 ANNUALLY BY AN AMENDMENT TO THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND A CHANGE TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES CONCERNING FUNDING RELATING TO PRESCHOOL THROUGH HIGH SCHOOL PUBLIC EDUCATION, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, CREATING AN EXCEPTION TO THE SINGLE RATE STATE INCOME TAX FOR REVENUE THAT IS DEDICATED TO THE FUNDING OF PUBLIC SCHOOLS; INCREASING INCOME TAX RATES INCREMENTALLY FOR INDIVIDUALS, TRUSTS, AND ESTATES USING FOUR TAX BRACKETS STARTING AT .37% FOR INCOME ABOVE \$150,000 AND INCREASING TO 3.62% FOR INCOME ABOVE \$500,000; INCREASING THE CORPORATE INCOME TAX RATE BY 1.37%; FOR PURPOSES OF SCHOOL DISTRICT PROPERTY TAXES, REDUCING THE CURRENT RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE OF 7.2% TO 7.0% AND THE CURRENT NONRESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE OF 29% TO 24%; REQUIRING THE REVENUE FROM THE INCOME TAX INCREASES TO BE DEPOSITED IN A DEDICATED PUBLIC EDUCATION FUND AND ALLOWING THE REVENUE COLLECTED TO BE RETAINED AND SPENT AS VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES; REQUIRING THE LEGISLATURE TO ANNUALLY APPROPRIATE MONEY FROM THE FUND TO SCHOOL DISTRICTS TO SUPPORT EARLY CHILDHOOD THROUGH HIGH SCHOOL PUBLIC EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS ON AN EQUITABLE BASIS THROUGHOUT THE STATE WITHOUT DECREASING GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS; DIRECTING THE LEGISLATURE TO ENACT, REGULARLY REVIEW, AND REVISE WHEN NECESSARY, A NEW PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE LAW THAT MEETS SPECIFIED CRITERIA; UNTIL THE LEGISLATURE HAS ENACTED A NEW PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE LAW, REQUIRING THE MONEY IN THE FUND TO BE ANNUALLY APPROPRIATED FOR SPECIFIED EDUCATION PROGRAMS AND PURPOSES; REQUIRING THE MONEY IN THE FUND TO BE USED TO SUPPORT ONLY PUBLIC SCHOOLS; REQUIRING GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR PUBLIC EDUCATION TO INCREASE BY INFLATION, UP TO 5%, ANNUALLY; AND REQUIRING THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION TO COMMISSION A STUDY OF THE USE OF THE MONEY IN THE FUND WITHIN FIVE YEARS?	
<input type="radio"/> Yes/For	
<input type="radio"/> No/Against	
<b>Amendment 74 (CONSTITUTIONAL)</b>	
Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution requiring the government to award just compensation to owners of private property when a government law or regulation reduces the fair market value of the property?	
<input type="radio"/> Yes/For	
<input type="radio"/> No/Against	
<b>Amendment 75 (CONSTITUTIONAL)</b>	
Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution providing that if any candidate in a primary or general election for state office directs more than one million dollars in support of his or her own election, then every candidate for that office in the same election may accept five times the amount of campaign contributions normally allowed?	
<input type="radio"/> Yes/For	
<input type="radio"/> No/Against	



**Proposition 109 (STATUTORY)**

SHALL STATE DEBT BE INCREASED \$3,500,000,000, WITH A MAXIMUM REPAYMENT COST OF \$5,200,000,000, WITHOUT RAISING TAXES OR FEES, BY A CHANGE TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES REQUIRING THE ISSUANCE OF TRANSPORTATION REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, NOTE PROCEEDS SHALL BE RETAINED AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND USED EXCLUSIVELY TO FUND SPECIFIED ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPANSION, CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, AND REPAIR PROJECTS THROUGHOUT THE STATE?

- Yes/For  
 No/Against

**Proposition 110 (STATUTORY)**

SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$766,700,000 ANNUALLY FOR A TWENTY-YEAR PERIOD, AND STATE DEBT SHALL BE INCREASED \$6,000,000,000 WITH A MAXIMUM REPAYMENT COST OF \$9,400,000,000, TO PAY FOR STATE AND LOCAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, CHANGING THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES TO: 1) INCREASE THE STATE SALES AND USE TAX RATE BY 0.62% BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2019; REQUIRING 45% OF THE NEW REVENUE TO FUND STATE TRANSPORTATION SAFETY, MAINTENANCE, AND CONGESTION RELATED PROJECTS, 40% TO FUND MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, AND 15% TO FUND MULTIMODAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, INCLUDING BIKE, PEDESTRIAN, AND TRANSIT INFRASTRUCTURE; 2) AUTHORIZE THE ISSUANCE OF ADDITIONAL TRANSPORTATION REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES TO FUND PRIORITY STATE TRANSPORTATION MAINTENANCE AND CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS, INCLUDING MULTIMODAL CAPITAL PROJECTS; AND 3) PROVIDE THAT ALL REVENUE RESULTING FROM THE TAX RATE INCREASE AND PROCEEDS FROM ISSUANCE OF REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES ARE VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES EXEMPT FROM ANY STATE OR LOCAL REVENUE, SPENDING, OR OTHER LIMITATIONS IN LAW?

- Yes/For  
 No/Against

**Proposition 111 (STATUTORY)**

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning limitations on payday lenders, and, in connection therewith, reducing allowable charges on payday loans to an annual percentage rate of no more than thirty-six percent?

- Yes/For  
 No/Against

**Proposition 112 (STATUTORY)**

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning a statewide minimum distance requirement for new oil and gas development, and, in connection therewith, changing existing distance requirements to require that any new oil and gas development be located at least 2,500 feet from any structure intended for human occupancy and any other area designated by the measure, the state, or a local government and authorizing the state or a local government to increase the minimum distance requirement?

- Yes/For  
 No/Against

**County Measures****El Paso County - 1A**

WITHOUT RAISING ADDITIONAL TAXES, SHALL THE EXISTING 0.23% (TWENTY-THREE HUNDREDTHS OF ONE CENT) PUBLIC SAFETY SALES AND USE TAX BE EXTENDED TO JANUARY 1, 2029, WITH THE ONLY OTHER CHANGE TO THE CONDITIONS OF SAID TAX TO BE MODIFICATION OF THE PUBLIC SAFETY PURPOSES FOR WHICH THE REVENUES GENERATED MAY BE USED TO ALLOW THE MAINTENANCE, REPAIR AND REPLACEMENT OF SUPPLIES, EQUIPMENT, VEHICLES AND FACILITIES, SUCH PURPOSES TO BE LIMITED TO THE FOLLOWING:

- CONTINUING LAW ENFORCEMENT NEEDS**
  - HIRING, TRAINING AND EQUIPPING PATROL DEPUTIES, INVESTIGATORS AND CIVILIAN SUPPORT STAFF
  - CONDUCTING FIRE AND CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS
  - MAINTAINING AND REPAIRING COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT AND REPLACING IT AS IT BECOMES OBSOLETE OR WEARS OUT
  - PURCHASING AMMUNITION, FUEL AND OTHER OPERATIONAL SUPPLIES AND EQUIPMENT, INCLUDING REPLACEMENT OF VEHICLES AS THEY WEAR OUT
- CRIMINAL JUSTICE NEEDS**
  - HIRING, EMPLOYING, TRAINING AND EQUIPPING DETENTION DEPUTIES, CIVILIAN DETENTION SECURITY PERSONNEL AND SUPPORT STAFF TO ASSURE ADEQUATE STAFFING TO MAINTAIN INMATE AND PERSONNEL SAFETY IN THE COUNTY JAIL
  - CONDUCTING CRIMINAL EXTRADITION
  - MAINTAINING AND REPAIRING VIDEO SURVEILLANCE AND VIDEO VISITATION SYSTEMS AND REPLACING THEM AS THEY BECOME OBSOLETE
  - PURCHASING OPERATIONAL EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES
  - ADDRESSING ADDITIONAL SECURITY, SAFETY, OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE COSTS AT THE COUNTY JAIL
- EMERGENCY RESPONSE NEEDS**
  - HIRING, EMPLOYING AND TRAINING WILDLAND FIRE STAFF AND FUNDING EMERGENCY RESPONSE AND MITIGATION EFFORTS
  - MAINTAINING THE EMERGENCY VEHICLE RESPONSE CENTER
  - PURCHASING FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES EQUIPMENT, MAINTAINING WILDLAND FIRE TRUCKS AND REPLACING WILDLAND FIRE TRUCKS AS THEY WEAR OUT

WITH SUCH PUBLIC SAFETY SALES AND USE TAX BEING COLLECTED, ADMINISTERED AND ENFORCED PURSUANT TO EL PASO COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS RESOLUTION NOS. 12-309 AMENDED AND 18-353?

- Yes/For  
 No/Against

**Municipality Measures****Town of Palmer Lake - 2A**

SHALL THE TOWN OF PALMER LAKE TAXES BE INCREASED BY FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$500,000.00) IN THE FIRST FISCAL YEAR AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS ARE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER, BY IMPOSING AN ADDITIONAL SALES TAX OF 5% ON THE SALE OF RETAIL (RECREATIONAL) MARIJUANA AND RETAIL (RECREATIONAL) MARIJUANA PRODUCTS AS DEFINED IN THE COLORADO RETAIL MARIJUANA CODE, CONDITIONED ON THE TOWN ALLOWING AND REGULATING THE SALE OF RETAIL (RECREATIONAL) MARIJUANA AND RETAIL (RECREATIONAL) MARIJUANA PRODUCTS BY SEPARATE ACTION, WITH THE RATE OF SUCH TAX BEING ALLOWED TO BE DECREASED OR INCREASED ON OR AFTER DECEMBER 31, 2020, WITHOUT FURTHER VOTER APPROVAL SO LONG AS THE RATE OF THE TAX DOES NOT EXCEED 10%, WITH THE REVENUES DERIVED FROM SUCH TAX TO BE COLLECTED AND SPENT TO PROMOTE THE GENERAL PURPOSES OF THE TOWN OF PALMER LAKE AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE NOTWITHSTANDING ANY REVENUE OR EXPENDITURE LIMITATIONS CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, ARTICLE 1 OF TITLE 29, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, OR ANY OTHER LAW?

- Yes/For  
 No/Against

**Town of Palmer Lake - 2B**

SHALL THE TOWN OF PALMER LAKE MUNICIPAL CODE BE AMENDED TO PERMIT THE SALE OF RETAIL (ALSO KNOWN AS ADULT USE OR RECREATIONAL) MARIJUANA AND TO LICENSE AND REGULATE THE SAME AS SET FORTH IN PROPOSED ORDINANCE 14 OF 2018, AVAILABLE ON THE TOWN'S WEB SITE AT [HTTPS://WWW.TOWNOFFALMERLAKE.COM](https://www.townofpalmerlake.com) AND AT TOWN HALL OFFICES?

- Yes/For  
 No/Against

**City of Fountain - 2C**

Without increasing taxes, shall the City of Fountain have the authority to provide, or to facilitate or partner or coordinate with service providers for the provision of, "advanced (high-speed internet) service," "cable television service," and "telecommunication service," either directly, indirectly, or by contract, to residential, commercial, nonprofit, government or other subscribers and to acquire, operate and maintain any facility for the purpose of providing such services, restoring local authority and flexibility that was taken away by title 29, article 27, part 1 of the Colorado revised statutes?

- Yes/For  
 No/Against

**Town of Green Mountain Falls - 3A**

SHALL THE TOWN OF GREEN MOUNTAIN FALLS TAXES BE INCREASED THIRTY TWO THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED TWENTY TWO DOLLARS (\$32,522.00) ANNUALLY IN 2019, AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS ARE COLLECTED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER, BY THE ADOPTION OF A LODGING OCCUPATIONAL TAX OF UP TO \$4.50/DAY PER OCCUPIED ROOM, ON THE PROVISION OF HOTEL ROOMS AND OTHER SIMILAR ACCOMMODATIONS IN TOWN, AND SHALL THE TOWN BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT AND SPEND THE FULL PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAX WITHOUT LIMITATION AND WITHOUT LIMITING THE EXPENDITURE OF ANY OTHER REVENUES OR FUNDS UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20, OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?

- Yes/For  
 No/Against

**Town of Green Mountain Falls - 3B**

In order to save money on the cost of publication, shall the Town elect not to publish in the newspaper its proceedings related to payments of bills, contracts awarded and rebates allowed, with such information being reported publicly at least monthly as part of Board of Trustee meeting packets?

- Yes/For  
 No/Against

**Town of Green Mountain Falls - 3C**

In order to save money on the cost of publication, shall Town ordinances, after they are adopted, be published in the newspaper by title only, with the full text of all such ordinances being made available for public review at Town Hall and, when possible, on the Town's website?

- Yes/For  
 No/Against

**Town of Green Mountain Falls - 3D**

Shall the number of Town Trustees be reduced in number from six to four?

- Yes/For  
 No/Against

**School District Measures****Lewis-Palmer School District No. 38 - 4A**

SHALL LEWIS-PALMER CONSOLIDATED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 38 TAXES BE INCREASED UP TO \$1 MILLION ANNUALLY COMMENCING IN COLLECTION YEAR 2019 THROUGH AND INCLUDING DECEMBER 31, 2025, PURSUANT TO AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 22-54-108, C.R.S., SUCH ADDITIONAL REVENUES TO BE DEPOSITED IN THE GENERAL FUND AND USED FOR EDUCATIONAL PURPOSES, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO:

INCREASING SAFETY AND SECURITY AT ALL EXISTING ELEMENTARY, MIDDLE, AND HIGH SCHOOLS, INCLUDING MONUMENT ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL; BY HIRING ADDITIONAL STAFF MEMBERS DEVOTED TO THE SAFETY AND SECURITY OF STUDENTS AND TO PROVIDE ONGOING SAFETY TRAINING TO ALL STAFF;

AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND ALL REVENUES FROM SUCH TAXES AND THE EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH REVENUES AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?

- Yes/For  
 No/Against

**Lewis-Palmer School District No. 38 - 4B**

SHALL LEWIS-PALMER CONSOLIDATED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 38 DEBT BE INCREASED \$36.5 MILLION, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF NOT MORE THAN \$66.3 MILLION, AND SHALL DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED NOT MORE THAN \$5.2 MILLION ANNUALLY, AND SHALL SUCH DEBT BE ISSUED FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PURPOSES, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO:

MAKING SAFETY AND SECURITY ENHANCEMENTS TO ALL DISTRICT SCHOOL BUILDINGS, INCLUDING MONUMENT ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL;

CONSTRUCTING AND EQUIPPING A NEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL;

SUCH DEBT TO BE EVIDENCED BY THE ISSUANCE AND PAYMENT OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, WHICH SHALL BEAR INTEREST, MATURE, BE SUBJECT TO REDEMPTION, WITH OR WITHOUT PREMIUM OF NOT TO EXCEED 3%, AND BE ISSUED, DATED AND SOLD AT SUCH TIME OR TIMES, AT SUCH PRICES (AT, ABOVE OR BELOW PAR) AND IN SUCH MANNER AND CONTAINING SUCH TERMS, NOT INCONSISTENT HERewith, AS THE DISTRICT MAY DETERMINE; AND SHALL AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES BE LEVIED IN ANY YEAR, WITHOUT LIMITATION AS TO RATE, TO PAY THE PRINCIPAL OF, PREMIUM, IF ANY, AND INTEREST ON SUCH BONDS AND ANY BONDS ISSUED TO REFINANCE SUCH BONDS AND TO FUND ANY RESERVES FOR THE PAYMENT THEREOF, PROVIDED THAT SUCH LEVY SHALL NOT PRODUCE REVENUE WHICH EXCEEDS THE AMOUNTS SET FORTH ABOVE?

- Yes/For  
 No/Against

**El Paso County Colorado School District 49 - 4C**

IN ORDER TO DECREASE THE CURRENT MILL LEVY OVERRIDE RATE OF 19.081 MILLS TO 18.500 MILLS. SHALL SCHOOL DISTRICT 49 (EL PASO COUNTY COLORADO SCHOOL DISTRICT 49) MILL LEVY OVERRIDE TAXES BE \$16.5 MILLION IN 2018 FOR COLLECTION IN 2019 AND WHATEVER AMOUNTS AS MAY BE GENERATED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY THE IMPOSITION OF A MILL LEVY NOT TO EXCEED 18.500 MILLS FOR THE PURPOSES APPROVED BY THE VOTERS IN 2014 AND 2016?

- Yes/For  
 No/Against

**Ellicott School District No. 22 - 4D**

SHALL ELLICOTT SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 22 DEBT BE INCREASED \$4.37 MILLION, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF UP TO \$7.9 MILLION, AND SHALL DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED BY UP TO \$575,000 ANNUALLY BY THE ISSUANCE OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS TO FINANCE THE COSTS OF ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING PURPOSES (WITH THE ABILITY OF THE DISTRICT TO COMPLETE ALL OF SUCH PURPOSES TO DEPEND UPON THE AVAILABILITY OF STATE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE WHICH IS NOT REQUIRED TO BE REPAYED UNDER THE "BEST" PROGRAM):

- ADDRESSING SAFETY, SECURITY AND EDUCATIONAL DEFICIENCIES IN ALL SCHOOL FACILITIES, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO CREATING SECURE ENTRANCES AT THE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL AND HIGH SCHOOL;

- IMPROVING ACCESSIBILITY AT SCHOOLS AND ADDRESSING OTHER COMPLIANCE ISSUES UNDER THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT;

- MODERNIZING, UPDATING, RENOVATING, AND EQUIPPING SCHOOL FACILITIES INCLUDING A CAFETERIA EXPANSION AT THE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL AND ADDITIONAL CLASSROOM CONSTRUCTION AT THE HIGH SCHOOL;

AND TO THE EXTENT OF AVAILABLE FUNDS CONSTRUCTING AN AUXILIARY PRACTICE GYM FOR STUDENT AND COMMUNITY USE, AS WELL AS TRACK RESURFACING, WHICH GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS SHALL BEAR INTEREST, MATURE, BE SUBJECT TO REDEMPTION, WITH OR WITHOUT PREMIUM, AND BE ISSUED AT SUCH TIME, AT SUCH PRICE (AT, ABOVE OR BELOW PAR) AND IN SUCH MANNER AND CONTAINING SUCH TERMS, NOT INCONSISTENT WITH THIS BALLOT ISSUE, AS THE BOARD OF EDUCATION MAY DETERMINE; AND SHALL AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES BE LEVIED WITHOUT LIMIT AS TO THE MILL RATE TO GENERATE AN AMOUNT SUFFICIENT IN EACH YEAR TO PAY THE PRINCIPAL OF, PREMIUM IF ANY, AND INTEREST ON SUCH DEBT AND TO FUND ANY RESERVES FOR THE PAYMENT THEREOF?

- Yes/For  
 No/Against

**Harrison School District No. 2 - 4E**

SHALL EL PASO COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 2 (HARRISON) DEBT BE INCREASED \$180 MILLION, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF NOT MORE THAN \$335 MILLION AND SHALL DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED NOT MORE THAN \$16.2 MILLION ANNUALLY, AND SHALL SUCH DEBT BE ISSUED FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PURPOSES, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO:

PROVIDING IMPROVEMENTS AND RENOVATIONS TO ALL EXISTING SCHOOLS AND FACILITIES;

IMPROVING SECURITY, SAFETY, TECHNOLOGY, AND ADA COMPLIANCE AT ALL SCHOOLS AND FOR ALL STUDENTS;

EXPANDING SOARING EAGLES ELEMENTARY SCHOOL AND SAND CREEK INTERNATIONAL ELEMENTARY TURNING EACH INTO K-8 SCHOOLS;

BUILDING A NEW UP-TO-DATE FACILITY FOR CARMEL MIDDLE SCHOOL; AND

THE DISTRICT SHALL ENSURE ACCOUNTABILITY WITH A CITIZENS OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE WHICH SHALL ANNUALLY REVIEW AND REPORT TO THE PUBLIC ON THE USE OF FUNDS;

SUCH DEBT TO BE EVIDENCED BY THE ISSUANCE AND PAYMENT OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, WHICH SHALL BEAR INTEREST, MATURE, BE SUBJECT TO REDEMPTION, WITH OR WITHOUT PREMIUM OF NOT TO EXCEED 3%, AND BE ISSUED, DATED AND SOLD AT SUCH TIME OR TIMES, AT SUCH PRICES (AT, ABOVE OR BELOW PAR) AND IN SUCH MANNER AND CONTAINING SUCH TERMS, NOT INCONSISTENT HERewith, AS THE DISTRICT MAY DETERMINE; AND SHALL AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES BE LEVIED IN ANY YEAR, WITHOUT LIMITATION AS TO RATE, TO PAY THE PRINCIPAL OF, PREMIUM, IF ANY, AND INTEREST ON SUCH BONDS AND ANY BONDS ISSUED TO REFINANCE SUCH BONDS AND TO FUND ANY RESERVES FOR THE PAYMENT THEREOF; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT'S DEBT LIMIT BE INCREASED FROM AN AMOUNT EQUAL TO 20% OF THE DISTRICT'S ASSESSED VALUE TO AN AMOUNT EQUAL TO 6% OF THE DISTRICT'S ACTUAL VALUE, AS CERTIFIED BY THE EL PASO COUNTY ASSESSOR AND SHALL SUCH TAX REVENUES AND THE EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH BOND PROCEEDS AND TAX REVENUES BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?

- Yes/For  
 No/Against

**Manitou Springs School District No. 14 - 4F**

MAY MANITOU SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 14 COLLECT THE PROPERTY TAX REVENUES PERMITTED BY C.R.S. 22-54-108 AS PREVIOUSLY APPROVED BY DISTRICT VOTERS IN 2015 NOTWITHSTANDING ANY MILL LEVY LIMITATION?

- Yes/For  
 No/Against

**Special District Measures****Triview Metropolitan District - 6A**

WITHOUT INCREASING THE CURRENT TAX RATE OF 35 MILLS, SHALL TRIVIEW METROPOLITAN DISTRICT COLLECT UP TO \$3,200,000 IN PROPERTY TAX REVENUE IN 2019, AND SUCH AMOUNT AS GENERATED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY LEVYING UP TO THE EXISTING 35 MILLS, AND USE THE REVENUES FROM THE LEVY FOR THE PAYMENT OF DEBT AND FOR THE PAYMENT OF GENERAL OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE AND/OR CAPITAL ACQUISITION PURPOSES OF THE DISTRICT, WHICH MAY INCLUDE:

- JACKSON CREEK PARKWAY EXPANSION;
- STREET OVERLAYS AND IMPROVEMENTS;
- REPLACING DAMAGED CURBS, GUTTERS AND SIDEWALKS;
- IMPROVING PARKS AND OPEN SPACE INCLUDING MAINTENANCE AND CONSTRUCTION OF TRAILS, PARK FACILITIES SUCH AS GAZEBOS, PLAY GROUND EQUIPMENT AND IRRIGATION SYSTEMS;
- REPAIRING AND MAINTAINING DRAINAGE FACILITIES;
- ACQUIRING RENEWABLE WATER RIGHTS;
- ACQUIRING, PARTICIPATING IN, AND/OR CONSTRUCTING REGIONAL WATER PROJECTS;

PROVIDED THAT NOTHING HEREIN AFFECTS THE ABILITY OF THE DISTRICT TO INCREASE THE MILL LEVY IF NECESSARY TO PAY DEBT SERVICE ON ITS DEBT; PROVIDED FURTHER THAT AFTER THE DISTRICT'S DEBT IS NO LONGER OUTSTANDING, THE DISTRICT MAY CONTINUE TO IMPOSE 10 MILLS ANNUALLY FOR ONGOING OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE AND/OR CAPITAL PURPOSES;

AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH REVENUE AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS THEREON BE COLLECTED AND SPENT WITHOUT LIMITATION OR CONDITION, AND WITHOUT LIMITING THE COLLECTION OR SPENDING OF ANY OTHER DISTRICT REV ENUES OR FUNDS UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, THE ANNUAL 5.5% PROPERTY TAX REVENUE LIMITATION SET FORTH IN SECTION 29-1-301 OF COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, OR ANY OTHER LAW?

- Yes/For  
 No/Against

**Falcon Fire Protection District - 6B**

SHALL FALCON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT'S TAXES BE INCREASED \$2,398,065 (FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR DOLLAR INCREASE) ANNUALLY, BEGINNING IN LEVY YEAR 2018 (FOR COLLECTION IN CALENDAR YEAR 2019) BY INCREASING THE DISTRICT'S EXISTING PROPERTY TAX BY 6.274 MILLS FOR A TOTAL MILL LEVY OF 14.886 MILLS TO BE USED BY THE DISTRICT FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING PROPER FIRE PROTECTION, EMERGENCY RESPONSE AND SAFETY FOR THE RESIDENTS, PROPERTY OWNERS, AND BUSINESSES SERVED BY THE DISTRICT, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO:

- IMPLEMENTING A FULL-SERVICE, IN-DISTRICT, ADVANCED LIFE SUPPORT AMBULANCE SERVICE OPERATED BY THE DISTRICT TO IMPROVE 911 RESPONSE TIMES FOR MEDICAL EMERGENCIES; AND
- STRATEGICALLY ADDING FIREFIGHTERS AND EMTS AT EACH OF THE DISTRICT'S STAFFED FIRE STATIONS TO ADDRESS INCREASED EMERGENCY CALL VOLUME AND TO MAINTAIN EMERGENCY SERVICES;

ALL REVENUE AND ANY EARNINGS ON THIS TAX CONSTITUTING A PERMANENT VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE WITHIN THE MEANING OF ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITATIONS SET FORTH IN SECTION 29-1-301 OF THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, AND ANY OTHER LAW?

- Yes/For  
 No/Against

**Black Forest Fire Rescue Protection District - 6C**

SHALL BLACK FOREST FIRE-RESCUE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$550,000.00 IN 2019, AND BY SUCH AMOUNT AS MAY BE COLLECTED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY THE IMPOSITION OF AN ADDITIONAL MILL LEVY OF UP TO 5.285 MILLS, FOR GENERAL OPERATING PURPOSES INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO:

- MAINTAINING TIMELY EMERGENCY SERVICES AND 911 RESPONSE TIMES;
- ATTRACTING AND RETAINING EXPERIENCED FIRST RESPONDERS, AND MITIGATING COSTLY TURNOVER RATES;
- FULLY STAFFING FIRE STATION TWO WITH AN EMERGENCY RESPONSE DUTY CREW; AND
- FUNDING A LONG-TERM APPARATUS REPLACEMENT SCHEDULE TO ALLOW FOR TIMELY REPLACEMENT OF FIRE APPARATUS;

AND SHALL THE DISTRICT'S TOTAL MILL LEVY OF UP TO 14.5 MILLS BE SUBJECT TO ADJUSTMENT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER TO OFFSET REVENUE LOSSES FROM REFUNDS, ABATEMENTS AND ANY CHANGE TO THE PERCENTAGE OF ACTUAL VALUATION USED TO DETERMINE ASSESSED VALUATION, SO THAT TAX REVENUES COLLECTED ARE NOT LESS THAN WHAT WOULD HAVE BEEN COLLECTED HAD SUCH CHANGE NOT OCCURRED?

- Yes/For  
 No/Against

**Ellicott Fire Protection District - 6D**

SHALL THE ELLICOTT FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED BY \$152,174.00 IN FISCAL YEAR 2019 AND BY WHATEVER TAX REVENUES ARE GENERATED BY AN AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAX MILL LEVY RATE IN EACH FISCAL YEAR THEREAFTER NOT TO EXCEED AN INCREASED MILL LEVY OF 5.5 MILLS FOR A TOTAL MILL LEVY NOT TO EXCEED 15.016 MILLS IN EACH FISCAL YEAR THEREAFTER WITH THE INCREASED MILL LEVY TO BE APPORTIONED BETWEEN THE LABOR FUND, GENERAL OPERATING AND THE PROJECT FUND FOR THE ONGOING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF FIRE PROTECTION SERVICES AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES AND ANY INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT IN FISCAL YEAR 2019 AND IN EACH FISCAL YEAR THEREAFTER, SUCH AUTHORIZATION TO CONSTITUTE A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE WHICH MAY BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, THE LIMITS IMPOSED ON INCREASES IN PROPERTY TAXATION BY SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES IN ANY YEAR, OR ANY OTHER LAW WHICH PURPORTS TO LIMIT THE DISTRICT'S REVENUES OR EXPENDITURES AS IT CURRENTLY EXISTS OR AS IT MAY BE AMENDED IN THE FUTURE, ALL WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT?

- Yes/For  
 No/Against

**Elbert Fire Protection District - 7A**

SHALL ELBERT FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO ADJUST THE OPERATING MILL LEVY BEGINNING IN 2020 AND ANNUALLY THEREAFTER AT A RATE SUFFICIENT TO GENERATE UP TO \$243,157.56 (THE AMOUNT BEING COLLECTED IN 2018), SUBJECT TO ANNUAL ADJUSTMENT FOR INFLATION AND LOCAL GROWTH, FOR OPERATIONS AND OTHER PURPOSES?

- Yes/For  
 No/Against

**END OF BALLOT**